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MR. DOOLEY ON MR. LEACH'S BOOK†

"Well sir," said Mr. Dooley, "I jus' finished readin' a book, Hinnissy, that shood make thim loiyers and judges squirm a bit. Ye know I'm not a great wan f'r lithrachoor, havin' manny throubles iv me own, but I'm not prejudiced again' books. Whin a first-rate book comes along, I'm as quick as any wan to say it isn't so bad, and this here book is a jim dandy.

"'Tis called Property Law Indicted an' was written be Barton Leach. He's a Havvard, ye know, an' a perfesser at that, same as Felix. But he's not near as verbose as Felix was to my way iv thinkin'.

"Ye see, it's this way, Hinnissy, menny iv our property laws kin be thraced back to th' likes iv Blackstone an' Kent an' John Chipman Gray. Now them fellers were top-notch in their day, but that day has passed, says Mr. Leach, an' it's time to do a bit iv house-cleanin' an' git rid iv th' rools that make sinse no more.

"But it seems them loiyers an' judges have bin singin' a toon that if 'twas good enough f'r Blackstone, Kent an' Gray, thin it's good enough f'r them—an' that's bad, says Mr. Leach. Wan iv th' big obstacles to property reform seems to be th' notion that any change iv th' law by joadicial decision is retroactiv' an' such action wud be fraught with gr-reat danger where land titles to property are involved. This is non-sinse, says Leach. Even th' United States Supreme Coort in Johnson v. New Jersey† jus' last year recognized that whin th' Coort reverses itself (as it does ivry now and thin) th' Coort may limit th' effect iv its action to future decisions.

"After demonstratin' that th' obstacles to reform are built on sand, Mr. Leach gits down to specifics as to th' rools that need reformin'. He mentions Shelley's Rool, a creatoor iv th' feudal system an' how it makes it impossible to giv' land to th' airs iv a life tinnent be means iv a contingent remainder. 'Tis madness, says Leach. Th' Doctrine iv Worthier Title, another feudal rimnint, is equally demoralizin', says he. If a

† The dialect conversation between the Irish Mr. Dooley and his friend Hennessey as a means of commentary was originated by Mr. Finley Peter Dunne in 1893. Numerous collections of the Dooley Essays appeared before Mr. Dunne's death in 1936. For a recent selection of these essays see F. DUNNE, MR. DOOLEY ON IVRYTHING AND IVRYBODY (1963) (Selected and with an Introduction by Robert Hutchinson).

grantor wans to thransfer real property in thrust to pay th' income to himself f'r life an' thin to convey th' property to his airs be way iv re-

mainder, let him do it, says Mr. Leach. Even th' gr-reat Cardozo, whin
given th' chance to bury this rool in New York, botched th' job an' only
burried it a little bit.²

"Certain 'rools iv construction' do not escape Leach's critical eye,
Hinnissy. As he says, th' cases involvin' problims iv interpretation iv
gift insthruments presents 'a nauseatin' colliction iv joodicial garbage.'³
P'r instince, suppose H an' W are married an' W gives birth to a child
who lives only wan day. H's father left a thrust in favor iv his grand-
child 'payable at age twinty-wan.' This his bin consthrued to create a
visted intrist subject to postponed enjoyment. Thus, th' child who lived
wan day would take an' intrist which would pass to his airs, H and W.
Had the thrust bin a gift to th' grandchild 'at twinty-wan' it would iv
been conthrued as bein' contingent on reachin' that age an' th' baby would
have gotten nothin'. This distinctshin is silly, says Leach, an' sinse th'
legislatoors won't pay attintion to sich trifles, th' coorts must 'remove
this garbage from th' juridical gutter.'

"Thin th' perfesser turns to th' Rool against Perpetooities, Hinnissy,
an' whin Leach speaks about th' Rool, people pay attintion. He doesn't
advocate th' abolition iv th' Rool, but attacks sivral unwise doctrins re-
lated to it. He blasts th' Fertil Octogenarian Rool with its concloosive
phresumption that a woomin iv anny age can beget children. He ques-
tions th' All-or-Nothin' Rool which declares that if a gift to anny po-
tential mimber iv a class is void, thin th' whole gift fails. Nor does th'
Might-Iv-Been Rool make anny sinse to Leach whin manny gifts can be
saved be waitin' to see what actually happens.

"Oh, I tell ye, Hinnissy, th' perfesser builds a powerful case f'r re-
form. Here is a loiyer standin' up an' in a loud voice tellin' his own to
clean house sinse they can't expect th' legislatoors to do it f'r thim. He
believes that loiyers have a perfessional dooty to leave some 'footphrints
on th' sands iv time' so that at th' end iv their car-reers they can point to
some wan or more areas iv th' law in which they leave th' law better thin
they found it.

"I'll wager, Hinnissy, that those fellers Blackstone, Kent an' Gray
are turnin' over in their gr-raves at th' though iv havin' their sacred doc-
trines tampered with be wan iv their own and a Havvard at that. But as
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Shakespere says, Hinnissy, to thine own self be thrue an’ ye will not thin be false to ivry man.

“If ye read the book, Hinnissy, be shoor an’ look at th’ footnotes. Wan footnote pritty well sums up th’ whole perpose iv th’ book. It says:

Lives iv gr-reat men all remind us
That they’re leadin’ us astray,
So let’s kick thim all behind us
An’ proceed th’ ither way.”

CHARLES R. GROMLEY*