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Abstract

What effect does a reward system have on first grade student behavior? Is there anyway to have my students behave better than they are currently? There is plenty of research that has been conducted on different types of distracting behavior as well as different types of systems and programs that try to influence that behavior. Three first grade classes were selected, observed, and data was recorded on any disruptive or unwanted behavior for three consecutive weeks. For the first three weeks, a reward system was implemeted for each class. Each class was given the opportunity to earn a “free day” in physical education class by decreasing their disruptive behavior during class. Only two of the classes were able to earn their free day.

Methods

Study Design:
This study was a Quasi research experiment, which uses observation and data collection to measure effectiveness of an intervention placed.

Two 3-week periods were created to observe, and collect data prior and post an application of a positive reinforcement discipline model or reward system.

The data observed, recorded and tallied was individual and group disruptive behaviors that the student demonstrated in the classroom (not following directions, not following the rules, acting out, talking back to the instructor, and etc.).

After 3 weeks, the reward system would be introduced. Every class will start out with a set amount of stickers (ex: 12), and every time the class misbehaves or a certain amount of students misbehaves, a strike will be given. 3 Strikes equal 1 sticker removed.

In order to receive the reward, the students will have to have a certain amount of stickers (ex: 6 stickers) by the end of the second 3 week period.

The prize is a free activity day where the students can select any activity they want from a list of activates that they played before.

Measures:
Journal/Log-used to mark down who was disruptive, what they were doing and what class they were in, and to keep track of strikes given during class.

Tally Sheet: A tabiled attendance sheet that was used for tallying how many times a student in class was disruptive as well as estimating the overall disruptiveness of a particular class.

Goal of Reinforcement “Reward System”

The overall goal is to decrease disruptive behavior while increasing desired behavior by rewarding proper student behavior.

Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that first grade student behavior will be positively affected by a positive reinforcement system of discipline.

Results

When comparing the results of the 1st three-week period with the results of the second 3-week period, there are three factors to look at.

First, the difference in the amount of tallies before and after the reward system was put into play. According to Figure 1, each class increased.

Class #1 decreased from 42 to 27 tallies, Class #2 decreased from 70 to 20 tallies, and Class #3 decreased from 123 to 75 tallies.

The second comparison is the difference during the 3 weeks periods made by the genders. According to Figure 2, the boys increased from 72 to 470 tallies, which is a 298-tally increase. The girls also increased from 76 tallies to 132 tallies, which is an increase of 56 tallies. The boys represented 642 of the disruptive behaviors over the 6 week study while the girls represented only 308 disruptive behavior observed and recorded of the 6 week study.

The third comparison is the percentage of class responsibility during the 6-week span. There were a total of 950 disruptive behaviors during this study. According to Figure 3, Class #1 class was responsible 23% of those disruptive behaviors, while Class #2 and Class #3 were responsible for 38% and 39% respectively.

Discussion

The results of the six-week study showed significant factors that should be addressed. If one were to look at the amount of strikes that the classes obtained, the study looks like it proved the reward system discipline model was success for 2 of the 3 classes (Picture of board not available for confidentiality purposes).

However, when one takes a closer look at the results, it shows contradicting information. Looking at the graphs, it is evident that the disruptive outbursts doubled. The boys were responsible for most of these outbursts, and the two classes that received the reward were actually responsible for a significant amount of the disruptive behaviors.

The results show that student behavior was not positively affected by the reward system. Yes, two classes earned the reward, but all of the disruptive behaviors of all the classes doubled which was not the designed affect of the reward system. A reward system was established to decrease the amount of undesired and disruptive behavior but instead it increased it. The reward system worked by decreasing individual disruptive behaviors because no student wanted to be the individual to obtain a strike for their class. The reward system did not work on group behaviors, which cost the class to gain strikes and tallies for the students.

Implications and Future research

Due to the split schedule of the researcher, this study was conducted early during the tenure at the institution. Six weeks is not an ample amount of time to conduct a full study in a classroom, especially when the researcher is with the students for only forty minutes once a week. Circumstances such as a student removal and days where school was not in session also played a factor.

Future researchers should make a point to provide ample time to conduct this study. They should also focus on a particular type of disruptive behavior either an individual student or a class entirely.

Sources


Contact Information

Nicholas Strzelecki
Valpao University
Major: Physical Education and Secondary Education
Email- nicholas.strzelecki@valpo.edu