The Great Lakes Entomologist

Volume 25 Number 1 - Spring 1992 Number 1 - Spring 1992

Article 8

April 1992

Influence of Tree Species on Frequency of Trap-Nest Use by Passaloecus Species (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae)

John M. Fricke Concordia College

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle



Part of the Entomology Commons

Recommended Citation

Fricke, John M. 1992. "Influence of Tree Species on Frequency of Trap-Nest Use by Passaloecus Species (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae)," The Great Lakes Entomologist, vol 25 (1)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22543/0090-0222.1769

Available at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol25/iss1/8

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Great Lakes Entomologist by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

51

INFLUENCE OF TREE SPECIES ON FREQUENCY OF TRAP-NEST USE BY *PASSALOECUS* SPECIES (HYMENOPTERA: SPHECIDAE)

John M. Fricke1

ABSTRACT

Habitat selection by *Passaloecus* spp. based upon tree species used as stations for artificial nesting sites were studied. Data suggest that *Passaloecus* areolatus preferred *Juglans* and that *P. cuspidatus* preferred *Pinus*.

Wasps of the genus Passaloecus (Pemphredoninae) nest in hollow twigs or in beetle borings in wood, provisioning their cells with paralyzed aphids (Bohart and Menke 1976). Little is known about the ecological differences between sympatric species. The importance of habitat, such as trees to which trap-nest bundles are attached, has received little attention in previous research on the biology of Passaloecus spp. (Danks 1971, Fye 1965, Krombein 1967, Vincent 1978). Tree species might be important to these wasps for several reasons: the presence of suitable prey (e.g., aphids), availability of appropriate nesting cavities aside from trap-nests, and availability of closure materials.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Trap-nesting biology of *Passaloecus* spp. was investigated from 1984 through 1987 on the campus of Concordia College, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Fricke 1991b). Trap-nest stations were established in a mixed hardwood forest edge between a small red pine plantation and an old field. The long axis of the edge ran from north-west to south-east. Bundles of trap-nests were positioned so that bore openings faced north-west, north-east, south-east, and south-west. Trap-nests were arranged in bundles presenting a mixture of regular and randomized patterns of drilled and blank trap-nest faces. Trap-nest design has been previously described (Fricke 1991a). Bore diameters, bundle configurations, tree species, and bundle heights are summarized in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data from trap-nesting studies were examined for the possible influence of tree species on the selection of nesting sites by *Passaloecus* spp. In 1984, all trap-nesting stations were *Juglans*, and *P. cuspidatus* Smith was the only *Passaloecus* observed and reared. In 1986, 19 stations were *Juglans* and

¹Natural Science and Mathematics Division, Concordia College, Ann Arbor, MI 48105.

Table 1.—Bore diameters, bundle configurations, tree species, and bundle heights used in studies of *Passaloecus* spp. trap-nesting biology, 1984–1987.

Year	Bore Diameters	Number of Bundles and Bundle Configuration	Tree Species	Bundle Heights
1984a	3.2-4.8 mm	48 (3 × 3)	Juglans	0.5-1.5 m
1984b	3.2-8.0 mm	$17(3\times3)$	Juglans	0.5-1.5 m
1986a	1.6-4.8 mm	96 (3 × 4)	Juglans Populus Fraxinus Prunus	0.5-2.0 m
1986b	1.6-4.8 mm	36 (3 × 4)	Juglans Fagus	1.0-9.0 m
1987	2.4-7.2 mm	$147 (3 \times 4)$	Juglans Pinus	0.75-1.75 m

another 9 stations were distributed as follows: 5 Fraxinus, 1 Prunus, 2 Populus, and 1 Fagus. Thirty trap-nests were provisioned by P. cuspidatus; 18 by P. monilicornis Dahlbom; 6 by P. annulatus (Say), and 51 by P. arcolatus Vincent. Chi-square (X^2) (I) was used to test for differences in Passaloecus spp. tree selection between Juglans and all other tree species. The expected frequencies were based upon station deployment frequencies: Juglans (67.86%) and others (32.14%). Observed and expected frequencies of tree selection are given in Table 2. The X^2 statistic indicated that the differences in distribution of Passaloecus spp. among these trees was not random. These data suggest that P. arcolatus has a strong preference for Juglans; few were observed on other trees.

Data from four stations (3 Juglans and 1 Fagus) with bundles of trap-nests distributed at 1 meter intervals to a height of 9 m were particularly interesting. Each bundle consisted of 12 trap-nests in a 3×4 configuration; hence each tree received 108 trap-nests. Of 432 trap-nests, 259 were used by trap-nesting wasps and bees. Respective frequencies of trap-nest use at these stations were 75, 71, 52 (Juglans), and 61 (Fagus). The chi-square test indicated no significant difference in the frequency of trap-nest use among these trees by either wasps or bees. However, 55 trap-nests were provisioned by Passaloecus spp. determined by closure materials, aphid remains, and prepupae. P. areolatus was found in 40 trap-nests and P. cuspidatus was found in 5 trap-nests. The respective distribution of Passaloecus among these trees was 17, 28, 10 (Juglans), and 0 (Fagus). While 61 trap-nests were provisioned by trap-nesting wasps and bees at the Fagus station, none was used by Passaloecus. The X^2 (I) test for differences in frequency of habitat selection among tree species by Passaloecus was highly significant ($X^2 = 30.03$, df = 3, p. 001). Competition is an unlikely explanation for the absence of Passaloecus from the Fagus station. The arrays of trap-nest users, other than Passaloecus spp., among these stations were similar. Other factors may account for the absence of Passaloecus

Table 2. — Tree selection by three Passaloecus species, 1986.

Passaloecus	Juglans		Others	
species	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.
cuspidatus	18	20.36	12	9.64
monilicornis	16	12.21	2	5.79
areolatus	49	34.61	2	12.64

 $X^2 = 23.66$, df = 2, p < .0005

https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol25/iss1/8 DOI: 10.22543/0090-0222.1769

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Table 3.—Tree species frequency and frequency of trap-nest use by *Passaloecus cuspidatus*, 1987.

	Tree species				
	Pinus	Juglans	Fraxinus	Others	
Tree Frequency Use Frequency	14 (28.57%) 55 (66.27%)	22 (44.90%) 19 (22.89%)	8 (16.33%) 5 (6.02%)	5 (10.20%) 4 (4.82%)	

 $X^2 = 57.99$, df = 3, p < .0005

1992

spp. from Fagus, e.g. a lack of natural nesting cavities, lack of appropriate closure material (resin, frass, and loose bark), or absence of aphids.

During the 1987 season the establishment of trap-nesting stations was based upon the relative abundance of tree species at the study site. This differed significantly from station selection in 1986, when Pinus were systematically excluded. Tree species distribution for 49 trap-nesting stations established in 1987 was: Pinus – 14, Juglans – 22, Fraxinus – 8, and others (Ulmus, Acer, and Populus) – 5. The respective frequencies of trap-nest use by P. cuspidatus among these trees were: Pinus – 55, Juglans – 19, Fraxinus – 5, and others – 4 (Table 3). The chi-square (I) test statistic indicated a highly significant habitat selection among tree species by P. cuspidatus. Pinus stations were clearly preferred by this sphecid.

LITERATURE CITED

Bohart, R. M. and A. S. Menke. 1976. Sphecid wasps of the World. University of California Press, ix + 695 pp.

Danks, J. V. 1971. Biology of some stem-nesting aculeate Hymenoptera. Trans. Roy. Entomol. Soc. London, 122:323-399.

Fricke, J. M. 1991a. A trap-nest design for small trap-nesting Hymenoptera. Great Lakes Entomol. 24(2):121-122.

Fricke, J. M. 1991b. Trap-nest bore diameter preferences among sympatric *Passaloecus* spp. (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Great Lakes Entomol. 24:123-125.

Fye, R. E. 1965. The biology of the Vespidae, Pompilidae and Sphecidae from trapnests in northwestern Ontario. Canadian Ent. 97:716-744.

Krombein, K. V. 1967. Trap-nesting Wasps and Bees: Life Histories, Nests, and Associates. Smithsonian Press, Washington D.C. vi+570~pp.

Vincent, D. L. 1978. A revision of the genus Passaloecus (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae) in America north of Mexico. Wasmann J. Biol. 36:127-198.

53