

October 1987

Regression Equations and Table for Estimating Numbers of Eggs in Spruce Budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) Egg Masses in Michigan

Gary W. Fowler
University of Michigan

Bruce A. Montgomery
Michigan State University

Gary A. Simmons
Michigan State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle>



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Fowler, Gary W.; Montgomery, Bruce A.; and Simmons, Gary A. 1987. "Regression Equations and Table for Estimating Numbers of Eggs in Spruce Budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) Egg Masses in Michigan," *The Great Lakes Entomologist*, vol 20 (3)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22543/0090-0222.1616>

Available at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol20/iss3/11>

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Great Lakes Entomologist by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

**REGRESSION EQUATIONS AND TABLE FOR ESTIMATING
NUMBERS OF EGGS IN SPRUCE BUDWORM (LEPIDOPTERA:
TORTRICIDAE) EGG MASSES IN MICHIGAN¹**

Gary W. Fowler², Bruce A. Montgomery³, and Gary A. Simmons³

ABSTRACT

Three simple linear regression equations were developed to estimate the numbers of eggs in spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana*, egg masses in Michigan. One equation was developed for each of 2-row, 2-row +, and 3-row egg masses. A table of estimated numbers of eggs per egg mass is given for each of the three row types for egg mass lengths from 1 to 13 mm.

Numbers of eggs in spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana* (Clemens), egg masses yield useful information for population dynamics studies and general survey assessments (Morris 1955). The objective of this paper is to present prediction models for numbers of eggs in spruce budworm egg masses in Michigan. These prediction models are the first ones developed for spruce budworm in Michigan.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The data used to develop the prediction models were obtained from four balsam fir, *Abies balsamea* (L.) Miller, stands and one white spruce, *Picea glauca* (Moench) A. Voss, stand in Michigan's Upper Peninsula from 1979 to 1982, providing egg masses from a range of population densities from very low to extreme (Fowler and Simmons 1982, Simmons and Fowler 1984). The pooled data set included 496 egg masses.

Egg-mass length was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm with a microscope ocular micrometer. Only current-year, nonparasitized egg masses with clearly distinguishable chorions were included in the data set (Jennings and Addy 1968). Numbers of egg rows and numbers of eggs were counted and recorded. Egg masses either had 2 rows, 2 rows + (two rows with a partial third row), or 3 rows (Leonard et al. 1973).

The significance of each regression equation was tested using the *F*-test (Neter et al. 1985). The analysis of covariance *F*-test was used to test equality among regression equations (Snedecor and Cochran 1968). Skewness and kurtosis coefficients and correlations between absolute error terms and egg mass lengths showed no serious departures from the assumptions of normality and homogeneity, respectively, for all hypothesis tests (Neter et al. 1985, Snedecor & Cochran 1968). Results of all hypothesis tests were considered significant if the significance probability $P < 0.05$.

¹Michigan Agricultural Experimental Station Journal Article No. 12,226.

²School of Natural Resources, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1115.

³Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1115.

RESULTS

For the pooled data sets, plots of numbers of eggs in an egg mass versus egg mass length for each row type indicated positive linear relationships. One simple linear regression was developed for each row type. All prediction models were highly significant (F , $P < 0.001$). The three row-type regressions were significantly different from each other (F , $P < 0.001$).

Table 1 shows the estimated numbers of eggs per egg mass for various egg mass lengths for the three row types. The prediction models for each row type are also shown along with sample sizes (n), standard errors of the estimate ($s_{y \cdot x}$), and coefficients of determination (r^2).

The average numbers of eggs per egg mass were 19.5, 27.7, and 31.8 for 2-row, 2-row +, and 3-row egg masses, respectively. The average length of egg mass was 5.24, 5.95, and 6.08 mm for 2-row, 2-row +, and 3-row egg masses, respectively. The range of length of egg masses was 2.0–8.5, 3.0–10.5, and 2.5–9.0 mm for 2-row, 2-row +, and 3-row egg masses, respectively.

Table 1. Estimated number of eggs per egg mass for the three row types in Michigan, and the prediction models for each row type.

Length (mm)	Row Type		
	2-Row ^a	2-Row + ^b	3-Row ^c
1.0	1.13	2.24	5.21
1.5	3.30	4.81	7.83
2.0	5.46	7.38	10.45
2.5	7.63	9.95	13.07
3.0	9.80	12.52	15.69
3.5	11.97	15.09	18.30
4.0	14.14	17.67	20.92
4.5	16.30	20.24	23.54
5.0	18.47	22.81	26.16
5.5	20.64	25.38	28.77
6.0	22.81	27.95	31.39
6.5	24.98	30.52	34.01
7.0	27.14	33.10	36.63
7.5	29.31	35.67	39.25
8.0	31.48	38.24	41.86
8.5	33.65	40.81	44.48
9.0	35.82	43.38	47.10
9.5	37.98	45.96	49.72
10.0	40.15	48.53	52.34
10.5	42.32	51.10	54.95
11.0	44.49	53.67	57.57
11.5	46.66	56.24	60.19
12.0	48.82	58.81	62.81
12.5	50.99	61.39	65.43
13.0	53.16	63.96	68.04

$${}^a\hat{Y}_i = -3.2075 + 4.3360 X_i, n = 202, s_{y \cdot x} = 3.07, r^2 = 0.82$$

$${}^b\hat{Y}_i = -2.9081 + 5.1435 X_i, n = 127, s_{y \cdot x} = 4.09, r^2 = 0.72$$

$${}^c\hat{Y}_i = -0.02237 + 5.2358 X_i, n = 167, s_{y \cdot x} = 4.13, r^2 = 0.72$$

CONCLUDING REMARKS

These prediction models should be adequate for most applications. However, it should be noted that the relationship between numbers of eggs in an egg mass and egg mass length will vary from stand to stand, over time, and with stage of infestation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Our thanks to Dr. John A. Witter, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI for critical review. Special thanks to James Laramie and Steve Kvarnberg for their assistance with data analysis. Thanks are also extended to Larry Waisanen for his assistance with data collection and to the members of our field and laboratory crews for their assistance: B. Abbott, J. Berlin, A. Davis, S. Huston, C. Landauer, R. Mech, R. Drapek, L. Hill, M. Kendrick, C. Lubben, W. Overbaugh, T. Schreiner, J. Simon, E. Sorenson, and S. Todd. The work was supported in part by a grant from CANUSA Spruce Budworms Research Program and by funds and facilities made available through The University of Michigan and Michigan State University.

LITERATURE CITED

- Fowler, G. W., and G. A. Simmons. 1982. Spruce budworm egg mass density on balsam fir: Low to very high levels. *Great Lakes Entomol.* 15:277-286.
- Jennings, D. T., and N. D. Addy. 1968. A staining technique for jack pine budworm egg masses. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 61:1766.
- Leonard, D. E., G. A. Simmons, and G. K. Van Derwerker. 1973. Spruce budworm: techniques to improve counting of eggs. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 66:992.
- Morris, R. F. 1955. The development of sampling techniques for forest insect defoliators, with particular reference to the spruce budworm. *Canadian J. Zool.* 33:226-294.
- Neter, J., W. Wasserman, and M. H. Kutner. 1985. *Applied linear statistical models*. Richard D. Irwin, Inc., Homewood, IL.
- Simmons, G. A., and G. W. Fowler. 1984. Considerations when sampling spruce budworm egg masses on balsam fir in the Lake States: Low to extreme population levels. *Great Lakes Entomol.* 17:87-95.
- Snedecor, G. W., and W. G. Cochran. 1968. *Statistical methods*. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames.