https://scholar.valpo.edu/jmms/ https://proscholar.org/jmms/

ISSN: 2392-7674

Follow-up care after metabolic surgery – a prospective study in the North-Eastern region of Romania

Sorina Boariu¹, Ana Maria Scutariu¹, Adrian Cureniuc², Anamaria Meaucă², Roxana Adriana Stoica^{3*}, Anca Pantea Stoian³, Delia Reurean Pintilei²

- ¹ Department of Nutrition, Nutrition Clinic of Iasi, Iasi, Romania
- 2 Consultmed Medical Center, Department of Diabetes, Nutrition, and Metabolic Diseases, Iasi, România
- ³ Department of Diabetes, Nutrition, and Metabolic Diseases, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT



Background. Anti-obesity therapeutic strategies are continuously evolving. Bariatric procedures or metabolic surgery are among the most effective and longlasting therapies for obesity and its complications. Our objective was to investigate the clinical and biological evolution after metabolic surgery in a group of patients from North-Eastern Romania and to evaluate a nutritional intervention plan for this population. Materials and Methods. Our clinic surveyed 50 patients who received nutritional counselling before and after bariatric surgery. The patient's weight loss, metabolic markers, and lifestyle changes from January to December 2021 were recorded. SPSS Statistics v.18 was used for statistical analyses. Results and Discussions. Patients had a mean age of 37.70 ± 11.38 years, with an initial body mass index (BMI) of 42.98 ± 6.12 kg/m². One month after surgery, longitudinal gastrectomy patients lost 12.6 kg (114.33 \pm 19.26 vs 101.73 ± 17.27 kg), and gastric bypass patients lost 10.86 kg (119.33 ± 20.83 vs 108.47±17.96 kg). Body composition improved in the first six months after the intervention due to adipose (mean value 37.83±6.42 from 46.38±6.63) and visceral (mean value 8.50 ± 4.42 from 13.72 ± 5.67) tissues decrease. Muscle tissue increased from 35.54±12.63 to a mean value of 39.95±17.96. HbA1c values improved from 5.90±0.63% to 5.30±0.30% in the first month after the intervention. The nutritional intervention was safe without significant deficits after 1 and 6 months. Conclusions. Metabolic surgery is associated with a weight loss of 10.8 to 12.6 kg and improved body composition. Patients require followup and further dietary counseling to sustain long-term results.

Category: Original Research Paper

Received: April 16, 2023 Accepted: June 18, 2023 Published: October 25, 2023

Keywords:

bariatric surgery, metabolic surgery, malnutrition, longitudinal gastrectomy, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, weight reduction, weight restoration

*Corresponding author:

Roxana Adriana Stoica,

Department of Diabetes, Nutrition, and Metabolic Diseases, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, 050474 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: roxana88stoica@gmail.com

Introduction

According to the International Classification of Diseases [1], obesity is a chronic, complex, and relapsing illness, but it is also a substantial risk factor in the development of other chronic pathologies (see: www.worldobesityday.org), such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer [2]. Multiple factors can influence the weight gain (https://www.worldobesityday.org/assets/downloads/Worl d_Obesity_Atlas_2022_WEB.pdf), including the sociocultural and economic factors, exposure to an obesogenic environment (ultra-processed foods and advertising spots that promote their consumption), limited access to health services, and insurers' failure to cover dietetic and nutrition services [3]. Furthermore, genetic predisposition and certain medications can also contribute to weight gain. It is essential to address these various factors in order to effectively make

prevention and manage obesity, as it affects not only an individual's physical health but also their mental well-being. Additionally, promoting education and awareness about healthy eating habits and regular physical activity can play a crucial role in combating the obesity epidemic. Estimations indicate that by 2035, one in four individuals worldwide will be obese, and the number of pediatric obesity cases will increase by 100 percent [4]. In 2019, 10.9% of people in Romania were obese, defined as having a body mass index (BMI) above 30 kg/m² [5]. The treatment of obesity focuses on lifestyle modifications, physical exercise, pharmaceutical compounds, and surgical interventions [6]. Lifestyle changes, including a balanced and nutritious diet, can help manage weight and prevent obesity. Regular physical exercise not only aids in weight management but also improves overall cardiovascular health and metabolism. Additionally, drugs and surgical interventions may be considered for individuals

To cite this article: Boariu S, Scutariu ASM, Cureniuc A, Meaucă A, Stoica RA, Stoian AP, Pintilei DR. Follow-up care after metabolic surgery – a prospective study in the North-Eastern region of Romania. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2023;10(2):312-320. doi:10.22543/2392-7674.1415

with severe obesity or those without significant weight loss through lifestyle changes alone. The surgical treatment of obesity encompasses Longitudinal gastrostomy (LG), Rouxen-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), Single Anastomosis Duodeno-Ileal Bypass with Sleeve gastrostomy (DIB-SG), Biliopancreatic Diversion with Duodenal Switch (BD-DS), and Adjustable Gastric Band (AGB) [7-9].

The American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS) and the International Federation for the Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic Diseases (IFSO) suggest the following criteria for the indication of bariatric intervention: (a) For a BMI above 35 kg/m2, bariatric surgery is suggested regardless of the presence, absence, or severity of comorbidities; (b) For a BMI between 30 and 34.9 kg/m2, bariatric surgery should be considered for individuals with metabolic illnesses (such as type 2 diabetes) or for those who do not achieve significant or long-term weight loss with nonsurgical obesity therapy [10,11]. Metabolic and bariatric surgery has been shown to be more successful than diet, exercise, and other lifestyle therapies in attaining considerable and long-lasting weight loss as well as in ameliorating obesity-related health problems (such as hypertension and sleep apnea) and cancer risk [12-14]. It's important to understand that bariatric surgery is not a cureall, but rather a tool to aid in achieving long-term weight loss and improving overall health outcomes. Additionally, patients must undergo thorough evaluation and counselling before considering bariatric surgery to ensure they understand the potential risks and benefits of the procedure. Depending on the precise technique performed, almost 60% of excess body weight may be lost [10]. Perioperative nutrition and follow-up dietary support ensure the success of the intervention by promoting healthy eating habits and providing ongoing guidance. This comprehensive approach helps patients maintain their weight loss in the long term and prevent potential complications. monitoring of nutritional status and lifestyle modifications is also essential to optimizing the overall health outcomes of individuals who undergo bariatric surgery [12-14].

Our purpose was to assess the effects of metabolic surgery on clinical and biological parameters in a group of patients from the North-Eastern area of Romania. This prospective study also evaluated a tailored nutritional intervention protocol for this population.

Materials and Methods

Between January and December of 2021, a multidisciplinary medical team monitored the evolution of weight loss, body composition, lifestyle changes, and biochemical parameters in 50 Romanian adult patients. They presented consecutively in a specialized medical center in Iasi, in the North-Eastern region of Romania. Subjects received nutritional counselling about pre- and

post-bariatric interventions. During each postoperative follow-up (1 and 6 months), anthropometric, blood pressure, and blood test data were gathered. The study respected the Declaration of Helsinki. The informed consent was signed before enrolling the patients.

Anthropometric and bioimpedance data

To evaluate the progression of weight loss, anthropometric data (height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference) and body composition data (analyzed with Tanita DC-240 Total Body Composition Analyzer - adipose tissue, visceral tissue, muscle mass, total body water, bone mass, basal metabolic rate, metabolic age) were collected at each postoperative follow-up visit.

Dietary intervention

A certified dietitian created a post-bariatric regimen for every patient. This plan featured suggestions and sample menus for each week/phase [12].

Week 1.

 Participants were recommended a clear liquid diet (water, tea, clear soup, and fruit juice diluted with water).

Week 2.

- They began introducing thicker liquids (such as yogurt, milk, low-fat cottage cheese, cream soup, and broth-blended soup).
- Vitamin and mineral complex, calcium citrate, and vitamin D supplements for those with deficit.

Week 3 and 4.

- Diet transitioned to blended and pureed foods (pureed chicken or turkey, fish, canned tuna fish in water, mashed baby food, mashed potatoes/sweet potatoes, porridge) and protein supplements to meet daily protein requirements.
- Vitamin and mineral complex, calcium citrate, and vitamin D supplements were standard recommended.

Month 2 and 3.

• Transition to more robust diets (soft meat, fish, chicken, turkey, grains, cooked vegetables and fresh fruit).

Month 4.

 The participants were able to consume items with a regular consistency, and their individualized diet plans comprised of three balanced meals and one or two snacks.

Biochemical tests

In order to evaluate preoperative and postoperative evolution and potential nutritional deficiencies under the post-bariatric regimen, we collected the following blood tests at each follow-up visit: complete blood count (CBC), blood glucose, HbA1c, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol,

LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, creatinine, alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total calcium, ionic calcium, magnesium, ferritin, sideremia, vitamin B12, folic acid, 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (25-OHD).

Statistical analysis

Data were collected from the electronic database of the nutrition clinic in Iasi, Romania, and analyzed using Excel and SPSS software v18. The results are presented as mean, standard deviation, or median (interquartile range) for nonnormally distributed variables. Student t-test was used for group comparison. Hypothesis testing was 2-tailed.

Statistical significance was defined in our study at p value<0.05.

Results

Our sample comprised 50 patients who presented for dietary counselling before and after bariatric procedures, of which 43 were female (86%), with longitudinal gastrectomy (LG) constituting the most prevalent intervention (Table 1). Individuals aged 19 to 64 were enrolled, with a BMI between 37.7 and 56.6 kg/m2 and an initial assessment weight between 89.8 and 175 kg.

Table 1. Descriptive data compared by type of intervention

Variables	Indicators	Type of intervention		Total study group	P value
variables	Indicators	LG (no= 35)	RYGB (no= 15)	(no= 50)	r value
Gender	Female Male	32 (91.4%) 3 (8.6%)	11 (73.3%) 4 (26.7%)	43 (86.0%) 7 (14.0%)	0.105
Age	mean ± SD median (IQR)	38.29 ± 11.31 38 (45)	36.33 ± 11.83 36 (35)	37.70 ± 11.38 37 (45)	0.583
Weight, kg	mean ± SD median (IQR)	115.41 ± 21.02 116 (92.2)	121.23 ± 20.69 121 (73.7)	117.16 ± 20.89 $117 (92.2)$	0.373
BMI, kg/m2	mean ± SD median (IQR)	42.91 ± 6.20 42 (21)	43.15 ± 6.13 43 (19.6)	42.98 ± 6.12 42 (21)	0.901
SBP, mmHg	mean ± SD median (IQR)	143.74 ± 21.03 144 (82)	134.80 ± 16.93 135 (60)	140.83 ± 20.05 $141 (92)$	0.159
DBP, mmHg	mean ± SD median (IQR)	85.45 ± 13.54 144 (82)	79.93 ± 9.20 135 (60)	83.65 ± 12.47 84 (56)	0.162
HbA1c, %	mean ± SD median (IQR)	5.68 ± 0.61 5.68 (2.8)	6.12 ± 0.94 6.12 (2.91)	5.81 ± 0.74 5.81 (3.4)	0.064

Legend: LG- longitudinal gastrectomy, RYGB- Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, BMI- body mass index, SBP-systolic blood pressure, DBP- diastolic blood pressure, SD-standard deviation, IQR- interquartile range.

Weight loss and body composition

One month following surgery, patients with LG lost an average of 12.6 kg (101.73±17.27 kg), and patients with RYGB lost 10.86 kg (108.47 ± 17.96 kg), with men losing more weight. At six months after surgery, the average weight reduction for LG was 30.19 kg (84.14±15.13 kg), and for RYGB was 35.96 kg (83.37±10.37 kg) (Table 2).

In the first six months following the intervention, body composition improved due to a decrease in the percentage of adipose tissue (mean value of 37.83±6.42 from 46.38±6.63; and an increase in the percentage of muscular tissue (mean value of 39.95±17.96 from 35.54±12.63).

Glycemic profile

The glycemic profile, as measured by the HbA1c value, also improved in individuals with glucose metabolism problems, achieving an average value of 5.30 ± 0.30 % one month after the intervention, compared to an average value of 5.90 ± 0.60 % one month prior (Table 3).

Vitamin and mineral deficit

After surgery, under medical nutritional supervision and vitamin supplementation, patients significantly increased folate and 25-OHD values at 1- and 6-months follow-up. Sideremia also increased significantly at six months (Table 3).

	Q 1		Type of intervention		
Statistic data		ata	LG	RYGB	Total study group
Weight	ļ .				
Initial	No		35	15	50
weight	Mean ± S	D	114.33 ± 19.26	119.33 ± 20.83	115.41 ± 21.02
	No		34	12	46
1 month	$Mean \pm SD$		101.73 ± 17.27	108.47 ± 17.96	103.49 ± 17.51
	Weight lo	ss, kg	12.60	10.86	11.92
	P		0.001	0.001	0.001
	No	D	18	6	24
6 months	Mean ± S		84.14 ± 15.13	83.37 ± 10.37	83.95 ± 13.88
	Weight lo	ss, kg	30.19 0.001	35.96 0.001	31.46 0.001
Waight loss	•		0.001	0.001	0.001
Weight loss	, Kg	No	3	4	7
Initial	Male	No Mean ± SD	$\frac{3}{131.1 \pm 22.74}$	$\frac{4}{135.12 \pm 22.51}$	$\frac{7}{133.4 \pm 21.98}$
weight		No Nean ± SD	32	133.12 ± 22.31	133.4 ± 21.98
	Female	Mean ± SD	113.94 ± 21.08	116.39 ± 21.02	114.56 ± 20.84
		No No	3	3	6
	Male	Mean ± SD	116.56 ± 18.44	124.16 ± 18.73	120.36 ± 18.36
1 month		No	31	9	40
	Female	Mean ± SD	100.29 ± 17.97	101.27 ± 17.93	100.51 ± 17.76
	3.5.1	No	2	1	3
6 months	Male	Mean \pm SD	105.05 ± 14.24	$98,1 \pm 14.28$	102.73 ± 14.28
o monuis	Female	No	9	4	13
	remale	Mean \pm SD	81.53 ± 14.24	82.35 ± 14.30	81.69 ± 13.98
Adipose tiss	sue (%)				
Initial	No		32	15	47
Initial	Mean ± S	D	47.03 ± 5.97	44.99 ± 7.92	46.38 ± 6.63
	No		34	12	46
1 month	Mean \pm S		44.19 ± 6.34	42.21 ± 7.90	43.67 ± 6.75
	Adipose to	issue loss, %	2.84	2.64	2.69
	-		0.001	0.001	0.001
	No Mean ± S	D	38.74 ± 6.15	$6\\35.08 \pm 6.97$	24 37.83 ± 6.42
6 months		issue loss, %	8.29	5.62	57.83 ± 0.42 5.90
	P	13340 1033, 70	0.001	0.019	0.002
Visceral fat			10000	010-27	71772
Initial	No		32	15	47
	Mean ± SI)	13.25 ± 4.98	14.73 ± 6.99	13.72 ± 5.67
	No		32	13	45
1 month	Mean \pm SD		11.38 ± 4.52	12.54 ± 5.44	11.71 ± 4.77
	Visceral tissue loss, %		1.80	2.23	1.93
	P		0.001	0.127	0.001
6 months	No		17	5	22
	$Mean \pm SD$		8.65 ± 4.83	8.00 ± 2.92	8.50 ± 4.42
	Visceral tissue loss, %		4.35	5.60	4.64
Mugala 41a	P (0/4)		0.001	0.046	0.001
Muscle tissi			22	1.5	47
Initial	No Marrie CE	`	32	15	47 25 54 + 12 62
	Mean \pm SI	,	36.38 ± 13.96	33.50 ± 9.36	35.54 ± 12.63

1 month	No Mean ± SD Muscular tissue increase,% P	34 38.07 ± 14.61 1.30 0.007	$1233.52 \pm 10.370.250.830$	46 36.88 ± 13.67 1.02 0.029
6 months	No Mean ± SD Muscular tissue increase,% P	17 39.21 ± 17.90 6.09 0.001	$5 \\ 42.48 \pm 20.01 \\ 12.96 \\ 0.050$	22 39.95 ± 17.96 7.56 0.001

Legend. LG- longitudinal gastrectomy, RYGB- Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, BMI- body mass index, SBP-systolic blood pressure, DBP- diastolic blood pressure, SD-standard deviation, IQR- interquartile range

Table 3. Biological variables evolution in the whole sample (no=50)

sumple (no 50)					
Variable	Initial (no)	First month (no)	Sixth month (no)		
Glucose	106.74 ± 3.12 (50)	92.54 ± 1.94 (43) p<0.001	85.64 ± 1.35 (22) p<0.001		
HbA1c (%)	5.90 ± 0.63 (50)	5.30 ± 0.30 (9) $p=0.003$	-		
Folate (ng/ml)	6.53 ± 2.77 (38)	8.61 ± 3.56 (33) $p=0.002$	9.12 ± 4.32 (21) p=0.001		
Vitamin B12 (pg/ml)	363 ± 109 (36)	434 ± 199 (31) p=0.066	372 ± 135 (20) p=0.868		
Ferritin (ng/ml)	84.02 ± 57.18 (43)	111.63 ± 84.01 (32) $p=0.003$	98.32 ± 68.63 (10) p=0.409		
Sideremia (ug/dl)	71.80 ± 37.71 (29)	77.92 ± 32.49 (23) $p=0.567$	91.38 ± 31.60 (19) p=0.016		
25- hydroxy- vitamin D (ng/ml)	18.60 ± 6.47 (36)	22.32 ± 7.35 (31) $p=0.001$	31.29 ± 8.16 (21) $p=0.001$		

Legend. Normal values according to laboratory: sideremia 50-170 ug/dl; Ferritin 15-160 ng/ml; 25-OHD > 30 ng/ml; folate 3.1-20.5 ng/ml; vitamin B12 187-883 pg/ml

Discussions

Bariatric or metabolic surgery is one of the most successful and long-lasting treatments for obesity and its associated complications. It involves surgically altering the digestive system to promote weight loss and improve overall health despite post-surgical complications [10-12]. Bariatric surgery is typically recommended for individuals with a body mass index (BMI) of 40 or higher or a BMI of

35-39.9 with obesity-related health conditions such as diabetes or high blood pressure. The three most common types of bariatric surgery are gastric bypass surgery, gastric sleeve surgery, and adjustable gastric banding. Each procedure has its own unique description and mechanism of action [15,16], and each patient demands a unique approach. Alongside surgical methods for obesity, it is widely recognized that treating and resolving underlying nutritional, psychological, and behavioural issues is vital for long-term success [17,18]. This comprehensive approach often includes a combination of dietary counselling, exercise programs, and therapy sessions to address the root causes of obesity. Additionally, ongoing support and monitoring are crucial to ensuring patients maintain their weight loss and adopt healthier lifestyles. By addressing both the physical and psychological aspects of obesity, healthcare professionals can provide patients with the best chance for sustained weight management and improved overall health [19-21].

This study aimed to investigate the efficacy of bariatric therapies on weight reduction, body composition, biochemical markers, and lifestyle modifications at one-and six-month postoperative follow-ups.

The average age of patients in this study was 37 years, the majority were women (43/50 subjects), and LG was the most commonly performed surgical procedure (35/50 subjects). Women and young age predominance was also observed in other populations [22]. In some registries, mean age and BMI were lower in women than in men [23]. Also, the age of persons who benefit from this sort of intervention is decreasing, which is concerning given the prevalence of childhood and teenage obesity and the danger of non-adherence to dietary and supplement recommendations.

During six months post-intervention follow-up, patients with RYGB lost significantly more weight (mean value of 35.96 kg) than those who underwent LG (30.19 kg). We also observed a higher decrease in the visceral fat percentage and an increase in the muscle mass percentage. Our study results were similar to those of Arterburn et al., meaning weight loss was superior among patients with gastric bypass compared to those who underwent gastric

sleeve [24]. Regarding body composition, fat mass (%) and BMI substantially decreased in the first 12 months in other prospective studies [25]. In several studies on patients treated by bariatric surgery, the mean decrease of fat mass was about -22.51 kg, [95% CI - 23.93, -21.09] at six months, but with no indication of plateau at 12 months [26-28]. Some of our patients continued to lose weight at 12 months, including fat mass (data not shown), but few respected the medical visits.

Another feature is the increase in muscle tissue in our cohort. Vaurs et al. identified two phenotypes using dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) after metabolic surgery. One phenotype had severe muscle mass loss (more than 15%), and another one had an acceptable loss (below 15%) [29]. Few patients maintained their weight after the surgery [30-32]. Thus, we can interfere that our nutritional intervention prevents sarcopenia that was described before in studies with a similar design.

In our study, a registered dietician performed a nutritional evaluation during each postoperative checkup. Each patient received a personalized dietary and supplement recommendation for accelerated recovery, successful weight reduction, and the prevention of nutritional deficiencies. Nutritional deficits were detected in both LG and RYGB patients at baseline, particularly for 25-OHD. Micronutrient deficiencies were highly prevalent in obese subjects preparing for surgery: 25.4% had a severe 25-OH vitamin deficiency, 3.4% for folate, and 18.1% for vitamin B12 [33]. Screening for them is recommended [34,35]. To avoid deficiency [36], vitamin supplementation was started for some subjects at two weeks. Under nutritional intervention, the patients have increased the vitamin D, folate, and sideremia level. Otherwise, after surgery, one can expect an increase in the prevalence of anemia caused by iron or vitamin B12 deficits, folate deficiency in 9 to 39% percent of patients, or vitamin D deficiency in 10% [34]. Deficits in calcium, selenium, copper, and vitamin A were described [36], but we did not evaluate them in our study.

Regarding the glycemic profile, prediabetics' fasting blood glucose and HbA1c value improved one month after surgery. Also, none of the patients included in this study regained weight during follow-up. Together with biological profile improvement, this proves our intervention's effectiveness.

The post-bariatric regimen created by a registered dietitian included general recommendations and a personalized diet. The general recommendations focused on eating behavior: eating slowly, chewing food thoroughly, stopping to eat as soon as satiety is reached, avoiding drinking and eating at the same time (wait approximately 30 minutes after eating to resume fluid intake; also, liquids must be administered at room temperature), avoiding concentrated sweets, carbonated beverages, and high-saturated fats or having a meal in front

of screens. Some of these general recommendations are evaluated using questionnaires identifying predictive weight loss factors [37,38].

In terms of daily calorie intake, each personalized dietary provided 400 kcal/day in the first week following surgery, gradually increasing to 600-800 kcal/day by weeks 3 and 4. Several months following surgery, patients were recommended to consume 1200 to 1500 kcal/day, and six months after surgery, most participants were able to consume approximately 1500 to 1700 kcal/day. Macronutrients intake was assessed and individualized based on sex, age, and weight: protein intake was 1.2 – 1.5 g/day/ideal body weight, carbohydrates intake was 50-130g/day, and lipids were 20-35% of the daily calorie intake. Other authors recommend 60 g protein per day and up to 1.5 g/kg ideal body weight per day [39]. An adequate protein intake from natural foods is difficult, but liquid protein supplements (30 g/d) can be used to achieve the requirements [40].

At one-month follow-up, we observed that most participants could consume approximately 100g of food per meal, regardless of the type of metabolic surgery chosen. Several months following surgery, patients were advised to consume 150-200g of food per meal and slowly increase fluid intake (>1.5 L/day). Each meal was well-balanced regarding nutrients, including protein, carbohydrates, fats, and fiber. Conditions like dizziness, fatigue, lack of attention, and hair loss have been observed in individuals who could not consume the appropriate amount of food and did not take vitamin and mineral supplements. Hair loss and poor wound healing have been described in other studies [40,41]. Some participants reported experiencing gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, and abdominal pain. These symptoms appeared more frequently after meals that were either heavy in sugar or high in fat.

Our study observed patients' favorable clinical and biological evolution after metabolic surgery at six months follow-up. It is one of the few enrolled patients from North East Romania. Low patient adherence to the post-intervention assessment and monitoring program was one of the study's limitations; therefore, we were still determining the long-term effectiveness of bariatric surgery for glucose metabolism problems. The numerical difference between metabolic surgery groups was another one. Other variables like insulin resistance indicators and inflammatory markers could not be determined [42,43]. Calorie restriction, weight, and adipose tissue loss are associated with reduced inflammation grade and improved insulin sensitivity in other studies [43,44].

Conclusions

In conclusion, regardless of the type of metabolic surgery chosen, weight reduction was substantial - 10.8 kg for longitudinal gastrectomy and 12.6 kg for Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, with improved body composition. Despite low adherence to the post-intervention monitoring

program, patients improved their biological vitamin status under medical nutritional supervision. Consequently, comprehensive dietary counselling and follow-up are needed to meet long-term objectives and decrease the risk of nutritional deficits.

Compliance with ethical standards

Any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies and that such approvals are acknowledged within the manuscript. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflict of interest disclosure

There are no known conflicts of interest in the publication of this article. The manuscript was read and approved by all authors.

References

- 1. Burki T. European Commission classifies obesity as a chronic disease. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2021; 9(7):418. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00145-5
- Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2022;183:109119. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119
- Ogurtsova K, Guariguata L, Barengo NC, et al. IDF diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults for 2021. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2022;183:109118. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109118
- 4. Thomas-Eapen N. *Childhood Obesity*. Prim Care. 2021;48(3):505-515. doi:10.1016/j.pop.2021.04.002
- Rito AI, Buoncristiano M, Spinelli A, et al. Association between Characteristics at Birth, Breastfeeding and Obesity in 22 Countries: The WHO European Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative - COSI 2015/2017. Obes Facts. 2019;12(2):226-243. doi: 10.1159/000500425
- Garvey WT, Mechanick JI, Brett EM, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology Comprehensive Clinical Practice Guidelines for Medical Care of Patients With Obesity. *Endocr Pract*. 2016;22 Suppl 3:1-203. doi:10.4158/EP161365.GL
- 7. Benaiges D, Goday A, Pedro-Botet J, Más A, Chillarón JJ, Flores-Le Roux JA. Bariatric surgery: to whom and when?. *Minerva Endocrinol*. 2015;40(2):119-128.
- Grieco A, Huffman KM, Cohen ME, et al. Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program: Bariatric Surgical Risk/Benefit Calculator: 1year comorbidity remission. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2023;

- S1550-7289(23)00708-6. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2023.09.020
- 9. Spratt CJ, MacKenzie Myles LA, Merlo EM. Eating Disorders in Men: A Comprehensive Summary. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2022;9(2):249-254. doi:10.22543/2392-7674.1362
- Agache A, Mustățea P, Mihalache O, et al. Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk-factor for Colorectal Cancer Literature Review - Current Situation and Future Perspectives. *Chirurgia (Bucur)*. 2018;113(5):603-610. doi:10.21614/chirurgia.113.5.603
- 11. Massie PL, Arshad SA, Auyang ED. Readability of American Society of Metabolic Surgery's Patient Information Publications [published online ahead of print, 2023 Oct 18]. *J Surg Res.* 2023;293:727-732. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2023.09.018
- 12. Doran H, Mihalache O, Bobircă F, Bugă C, Pătrașcu T. Colecistita acută alitiazică--dificultăți de diagnostic și tratament [Acute acalculous cholecystitis--difficulties of diagnosis and treatment]. *Chirurgia (Bucur)*. 2010; 105(4):465-468.
- 13. Cao Y, Luo P, Tang H, et al. Insulin resistance levels predicted metabolic improvement and weight loss after metabolic surgery in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2023;S1550-7289(23)00625-1. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2023.08.004
- 14. Lazar AL, Vulturar R, Fodor A, et al. The molecular mechanisms linking metabolic syndrome to endometrial and breast cancers. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2021; 8(2):167-178. doi:10.22543/7674.82.P167178
- 15. Eisenberg D, Shikora SA, Aarts E, et al. 2022 American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS) and International Federation for the Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic Disorders (IFSO): Indications for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2022;18(12):1345-1356. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2022.08.013
- 16. Salehi M, Peterson R, Tripathy D, Pezzica S, DeFronzo R, Gastaldelli A. Differential effect of gastric bypass versus sleeve gastrectomy on insulinotropic action of endogenous incretins. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2023;31(11):2774-2785. doi:10.1002/oby.23872
- 17. Mechanick JI, Apovian C, Brethauer S, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the perioperative nutrition, metabolic, and nonsurgical support of patients undergoing bariatric procedures 2019 update: cosponsored by American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/ American College of Endocrinology, The Obesity Society, American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery, Obesity Medicine Association, and American Society of Anesthesiologists. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2020;16(2):175-247. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2019.10.025
- 18. Malinici E, Sirbu A, Popa M, Fica S. Linking the brain and bone through fat. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2021;8(1):17-26. doi:10.22543/7674.81.P1726

- 19. Durrer Schutz D, Busetto L, Dicker D, et al. European Practical and Patient-Centred Guidelines for Adult Obesity Management in Primary Care. *Obes Facts*. 2019;12(1):40-66. doi:10.1159/000496183
- 20. Timofte D, Ciuntu B, Iliescu DB, Hainarosie R, Neagoe R, Hristov I, Pantea Stoian A, Mocanu V. The Impact of Bariatric Surgery on Anxiety Symptoms. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala*. 2018;62:185-195.
- 21. Myles LAM, Merlo EM, Obele A. Desire for Control Moderates the Relationship between Perceived Control and Depressive Symptomology. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2021; 8(2):299-305. doi:10.22543/7674.82.P299305
- 22. Castanha CR, Tcbc-Pe ÁABF, Castanha AR, Belo GQMB, Lacerda RMR, Vilar L. Evaluation of quality of life, weight loss and comorbidities of patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Avaliação da qualidade de vida, perda de peso e comorbidades de pacientes submetidos à cirurgia bariátrica. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2018;45(3):e1864. doi:10.1590/0100-6991e-20181864
- 23. Stroh C, Weiner R, Wolff S, Knoll C, Manger T; Obesity Surgery Working Group and Competence Network Obesity. Are There Gender-Specific Aspects in Obesity and Metabolic Surgery? Data Analysis from the German Bariatric Surgery Registry. *Viszeralmedizin*. 2014; 30(2):125-132. doi:10.1159/000360148
- Arterburn D, Wellman R, Emiliano A, et al. Comparative Effectiveness and Safety of Bariatric Procedures for Weight Loss: A PCORnet Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169(11):741-750. doi:10.7326/M17-2786
- Silva LB, Oliveira BMPM, Correia F. Evolution of body composition of obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2019;31:95-99. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.02.002
- 26. Haghighat N, Ashtari-Larky D, Aghakhani L, et al. How Does Fat Mass Change in the First Year After Bariatric Surgery? A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Obes Surg.* 2021;31(8):3799-3821. doi: 10.1007/s11695-021-05512-9
- 27. Kachmar M, Soliman I, Mason N, et al. Bariatric Surgery in the Elderly Population: A Multi-surgeon, Single-institution Retrospective Review. *JSLS*. 2023; 27(3):e2023.00028. doi:10.4293/JSLS.2023.00028
- 28. Henao Carrillo DC, Gómez AM, Muñoz OM, et al. Factors associated with different patterns of weight change after bariatric surgery: A longitudinal study. *Obes Sci Pract*. 2023;9(5):477-483. Published 2023 Apr 28. doi:10.1002/osp4.675
- Vaurs C, Diméglio C, Charras L, Anduze Y, Chalret du Rieu M, Ritz P. Determinants of changes in muscle mass after bariatric surgery. *Diabetes Metab.* 2015; 41(5):416-421. doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2015.04.003
- 30. Zalesin KC, Franklin BA, Lillystone MA, et al. Differential loss of fat and lean mass in the morbidly obese after bariatric surgery. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. 2010;8(1):15-20. doi:10.1089/met.2009.0012

- 31. Silaghi A, Gaspar BS, Epistatu D, et al. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding in the COVID-19 pandemic; particularities of diagnosis and therapy. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2022;9(2):276-284. doi:10.22543/2392-7674.1363
- 32. Lodewijks Y, Luyer M, van Montfort G, de Zoete JP, Smulders F, Nienhuijs S. Additional preparation program for bariatric surgery: Two-year results of a large cohort study. *Obes Sci Pract*. 2023;9(5):493-500. Published 2023 May 10. doi:10.1002/osp4.677
- 33. Ernst B, Thurnheer M, Schmid SM, Schultes B. Evidence for the necessity to systematically assess micronutrient status prior to bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2009;19(1):66-73. doi:10.1007/s11695-008-9545-4
- 34. Gasmi A, Bjørklund G, Mujawdiya PK, Semenova Y, et al. Micronutrients deficiences in patients after bariatric surgery. *Eur J Nutr.* 2022;61(1):55-67. doi:10.1007/s00394-021-02619-8
- 35. Kramer RE. The Role of Endoscopy in the Management of Adolescent Bariatric Patients: A Primer For Pediatric Gastroenterologists. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep.* 2023;10.1007/s11894-023-00894-5. doi:10.1007/s11894-023-00894-5
- 36. Lupoli R, Lembo E, Saldalamacchia G, Avola CK, Angrisani L, Capaldo B. Bariatric surgery and long-term nutritional issues. *World J Diabetes*. 2017;8(11): 464-474. doi:10.4239/wjd.v8.i11.464
- 37. Spaggiari G, Santi D, Budriesi G, et al. Eating Behavior after Bariatric Surgery (EBBS) Questionnaire: a New Validated Tool to Quantify the Patients' Compliance to Post-Bariatric Dietary and Lifestyle Suggestions. *Obes Surg.* 2020;30(10):3831-3838. doi:10.1007/s11695-020-04723-w
- 38. Silaghi A, Constantin VD, Socea B, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease: pathogenesis, diagnosis and current therapeutic approach. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2022;9(1):56-77. doi:10.22543/7674.91.P5677
- 39. Bettini S, Belligoli A, Fabris R, Busetto L. Diet approach before and after bariatric surgery. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2020;21(3):297-306. doi:10.1007/s11154-020-09571-8
- Concors SJ, Ecker BL, Maduka R, et al. Complications and Surveillance After Bariatric Surgery. *Curr Treat Options Neurol*. 2016;18(1):5. doi:10.1007/s11940-015-0383-0
- 41. Motofei IG, Rowland DL, Tampa M, et al. Finasteride and androgenic alopecia; from therapeutic options to medical implications. *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2020;31(4): 415-421. doi:10.1080/09546634.2019.1595507
- 42. Picu A, Petcu L, Ştefan DS, et al. Evolution of Inflammatory and Oxidative Stress Markers in Romanian Obese Male Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus after Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy: One Year Follow-Up. *Metabolites*. 2020;10(8):308. Published 2020 Jul 28. doi:10.3390/metabo10080308

- 43. Villarreal-Calderón JR, Cuéllar RX, Ramos-González MR, et al. Interplay between the Adaptive Immune System and Insulin Resistance in Weight Loss Induced by Bariatric Surgery. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2019;2019:3940739. doi:10.1155/2019/3940739
- 44. Dascalu AM, Stoian AP, Cherecheanu AP, et al. Outcomes of Diabetic Retinopathy Post-Bariatric Surgery in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *J Clin Med.* 2021;10(16):3736. Published 2021 Aug 22. doi:10.3390/jcm10163736