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ABSTRACT

Objective. Low-Level Laser Therapy encourages the healing process, reduces inflammation and pain. The aim of this study is to identify the impact of Low-Level Laser Therapy on tissue regeneration with special attention to hard tissues and to compare the effect of several wave lengths in the proliferation and differentiation of cells. Methods. The keywords used were “bone regeneration”, “laser therapy”, “photobiomodulation” OR “bio-stimulation”, “Low-Level Laser therapy” OR “LLLT”, “osteoblast proliferation” AND “differentiation”. Results. The bio-stimulation with Low-Level Laser Therapy also seems to interfere with the osseous integration of implants, by increasing its adherence on the bone-implant surfaces. Evidence has shown that Low-Level Laser Therapy influences the cellular proliferation and differentiation. Conclusions. Low-Level Laser Therapy is a promising therapy in the field of regeneration, but further studies are needed in order to define the standard protocol.

Introduction

LASER (Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation) is becoming more and more widespread in everyday practice in medicine, both as a single source of light and combined with other therapies [1,2]. Its implications in human health include the diagnosis, the treatment and the maintenance of the condition of the biological system. For the first time in the history of medicine, Leon Goldman used the laser in 1960, thus being referred to as “the father of the laser”. He used a ruby laser to remove the tattoos by irradiating the pigmented skin until the pigmented granules are melted.

The use of the laser in medicine has brought many advantages, since the laser treatment offers atraumatic conditions and a cleaner operation field from the blood. The postoperative pain and edema are notably reduced [3,4]. Differing from other techniques, the use of laser does not leave any scarring and it offers better aesthetic effects by restoring a “restituto-ad integrum” of the site [5-8], either as a single therapy or associated with other topical substances [9,10]. Moreover, in the past years, its

applications have spread to other fields of dentistry, such as the treatment of rare vascular abnormalities, efficient antimicrobial activity, endodontic disinfection, the early treatment of inflammatory and premalignant oral lesions [11-15]. Its expertise field has been extended even to dental aesthetic and prosthetic rehabilitation [16,17]. Laser implications in the control of chronic periodontal disease [18,19] has been evidenced in literature studies, as a non-surgical treatment option to conventional root planning. Photodynamics is a non-thermal photochemical and biological interaction. Photobiomodulation (PBM), also called LLLT (Low-Level Laser Therapy), is a non-invasive and safe method. Laser photobiomodulation uses red light (600-700) nm and infrared light (770-1200) nm in most cases to reduce inflammation, pain and to eventually stimulate the healing process [20,21].

Given the multiple implications of laser in the management of general and oral diseases, the purpose of this study is to identify the effects of Low-Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) on regeneration, as well as its effects on the first steps of the cell differentiation and proliferation of osteogenic cells, and the ways through which this treatment may interact with the biomaterials used as a bone replacement.

Results

Photobiomodulation and its use in oral pathologies

The action of LLLT is based on the interaction between light laser and the chromophore cells as target (especially cytochrome C oxidase, the enzyme of the mitochondrial respiratory chain). From the interaction of the absorbed light of these chromophores, more energy is synthetized (Adenosine-Triphosphate - ATP), which plays an important role in the production of nitric oxide by increasing it and modulating the calcium levels [21].

The patients who were diagnosed with cancer and who underwent high doses of chemotherapy, systematically experience severe complications, such as oral mucositis (OM). The methods used to impede this disease have proven useless. The Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer/The International Society of Oral Oncology for the treatment of oral mucositis in adult oncological patients recommends the use of photobiomodulation (PBM). Even if there is no protocol for the LLLT, the suggested wave length of 650 nm for each square centimeter, the power must be 40 mW with an energy 2J/cm² [22]. This process of treatment of OM with LLLT has been used on adult patients, but it was revealed that the LLLT also reduces severe oral mucositis in the young oncological patients, with a prevalence of 52%-80% of the disease [23].

The LLLT is used in many other oral diseases, where it has proven more efficient than the previous treatments so far [24] (also shown in Figure 2).

Materials and Methods

A number of 733 papers from PubMed/Medline, Web of Science and Google Scholar were included for the preparation of this study. The key words used were: “Bone regeneration”, “Laser therapy”, “Photobiomodulation” or “bio-stimulation”, “Low-level Laser therapy” OR “LLLT”, “Osteoblast proliferation” AND “differentiation”. For this research, we have also used the Boolean operator (AND, OR, NOT). The selected papers are both in vitro and in vivo studies. After having read the abstracts, 307 papers were chosen for peer review, out of which 255 papers were excluded from this study for several reasons, including the lack of data shown, duplicated items, language barriers. Therefore, 52 papers were included in the final research (Figure 1).

Figure 1. PRISMA chart evaluation of the included papers

Figure 2. LLLT clinical applications

In the recurrent aphthous disease (affecting the non-keratinized mucosa of the oral cavity), the use of LLLT interferes in the inflammatory process by reducing the level of cytokines Interleukin 1b (IL-1b), Tumor Necrosis Factor- α (TNF-α) and Interferon (IFN). It notably reduces pain by modifying the neural conduction through the release of enkephalins and endorphins, and moreover by making the ATP synthesis grow in neutral mitochondria by
leading to the obstruction of stimuli. Therefore, the use of LLLT reduces the healing time in these patients [25]. Herpes simplex is another case in which the use of the LLLT significantly reduces pain compared to the treatment with acyclovir and it offers a full healing process of the wound in a shorter period of time [26].

The osteonecrosis of the maxilla, which is a consequence of the used drugs (bisphosphonates, monoclonal antibodies and radiotherapy) is a very known problem. The side effects of these drugs, especially bisphosphonates, last in time and influence the life quality of chronic patients. The LLLT combined with antibiotics and key-hole surgery with the use of piezo surgery, improves the conditions of jaw osteonecrosis by reducing clinical symptoms and by encouraging and enhancing the healing process, as well as by avoiding the relapse through neovascularization enhancement [27,28]. The radiotherapy of the salivary glands leads to dry mouth symptoms (burning mouth, mucositis, hyposalivation, eating disorders) [29]. The use of LLLT in case of hyposalivation has been very efficient, enhancing saliva secretion and glandular cell regeneration, as well as the anti-oxidative effects of eliminating reactive oxygen species from soft tissues [16]. It was stated that LLLT reduces the symptoms of trigeminal neuralgia and does not cause side effects, therefore this method may be especially used in patients for whom drugs such as anti-seizure or painkillers have no efficacy [30].

The use of LLLT also spreads to the field of periodontology and implantology. LLLT also has good anti-inflammatory, analgesic and bio-stimulating effects, therefore being also used in periodontal therapies and in peri-implantitis. Naturally, some studies show positive results in the soft and hard tissues healing processes in these situations [31,32]. However, the effects of the use of laser after a long period of time does not have many advantages as traditional methods. The literature data show that the laser used on scaling and root planning (SRP), sulcular debridement (laser curettage) does not give better results and it has been considered unpredictable and indefinable on subgingival bacteria; the laser which has been considered efficient in vitro for the cleaning of roots from the precipitated calcium is the Er-YAG which is used in the treatment of hard tissues; therefore, this fact questions the use of the laser, since it is possible that it might damage the root during the operation and the doctor has a difficult control of the Er-YAG laser [32,33]. However, in case of fixture exposed out of the bone, this laser provides excellent results on the implant surface by reducing the porosity and micro-roughness. This particular feature helps reduce the bacterial adherence on the fixture surfaces after its exposure [33].

One of the application fields in which the use of laser is spreading is hard tissue regeneration (the results presented in Table 1). For many reasons, this field is in search of new technologies and materials which may improve the healing process, but, above all, it may regenerate both hard and soft tissues in cases of severe bone atrophy. For bone regeneration, the used materials must meet specific criteria: compatibility with the receiving organism, not to cause chronic inflammatory reactions; moreover, the biomaterials must have the property of osteoconduct, must provide an adequate environment for the development of cell processes, and at least, it must be osteoinductive. To be considered osteoinductive, a material must form ectopic bones by recruiting the progenitor cells in the implant site and must be able to induce the differentiation of the mesenchymal progenitor cells (MCS) [34-36].

| Table 1. Literature review results regarding the LLLT influence on tissue regeneration |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Type of LLLT/ Irradiation | Group study | Results | Conclusion |
| Nagata et al. [37] | InGaAIP λ 660nm Power 35mW/point energy density 4.9 J/cm²/point | 1-LLLT alone 2-(BMA) bone marrow aspirate 3-LLLT/BMA 4-control group with blood clot | – Not suitable for the proliferation of osteoblast cell – Proliferation & differentiation were seen only for the MSC present in BMA | The use of LLLT alone did not induce osteoblast proliferation, but BMA/LLLT is a promising tool if combined with therapy in bone regeneration |
| Garcia et al. [38] | InGaAIP λ 660nm Power 35mW/point energy density 4.9J/cm²/point | 1-control group with blood clot 2-dexamethasone with blood clot 3-dexamethasone + autologous bone 4-dexamethasone + LLLT 5-autologous bone + LLLT | – The dexamethasone group shows less bone formation with a reduction of osteoblasts – The group treated with AB/LLLT osteogenic potential | LLLT protects bone from the inhibitory effects of dexamethasone LLLT improves bone healing in critical defects |
### Photobiostimulation and regeneration of soft and hard tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al.</th>
<th>Laser Type</th>
<th>Power Density</th>
<th>Waveband</th>
<th>Biomaterials</th>
<th>Treatment Details</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saygyn et al. [39]</td>
<td>Diode laser λ 685nm</td>
<td>Power 25mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 21/cm²/point</td>
<td>1-MSC single dose irradiated – 2-MSC double dose irradiated - 3-control group</td>
<td>The double dose group stimulates the release of IGFBP3, IGF-1 and BFGF – It stimulates osteoblast proliferation</td>
<td>LLLT improves wound healing and bone regeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunha et al. [40]</td>
<td>GaAlAsλ 780nm</td>
<td>Power 100mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 63/cm²/point</td>
<td>1-LLLTT group – 2-autogenous bone – 3-autogenous bone + LLLT – 4-inorganic bovine bone – 5-inorganic bovine bone + LLLT – 6-control group</td>
<td>– LLLT stimulates new bone formation</td>
<td>Laser accelerated graft material particles and bone healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Oliveira et al. [41]</td>
<td>GaAlAs λ 808nm</td>
<td>Power 100mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 41/cm²/session</td>
<td>1-LLLTT major group – 2-control major group (each major group divided into three group coagulum, 3-inorganic bovine bone, HA/TPC)</td>
<td>– LLLT group shows osteogenic potential -expression of BMP2, Osteocalcin, ALP and genes (Runx2, Jagged1) -Maintained the volume of biomaterials – Osteoblastic differentiation</td>
<td>LLLT stimulated bone formation in gifted area with osteoconductive materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Oliveira et al. [42]</td>
<td>GaAlAs λ 808nm</td>
<td>Power 100mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 41/cm²/session</td>
<td>1-deproteinized bovine bone (DBB) – 2-HA/TCP – 3-LLLTT+DBB – 4-LLLTT+HA/TCP</td>
<td>– LLLT group osteogenic potential with the expression of BMP2 and OCN – It increases implant osteointegration</td>
<td>LLLT increases osteointegration in gifted areas with osteoconductive materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerbi et al. [43]</td>
<td>GaAlAs diode laser λ 830nm</td>
<td>Power 40mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 41/cm²/point</td>
<td>1-control group – 2-LLLTT group – 3-BMP+membrane – 4-BMP+membrane +LLLTT group</td>
<td>– Osteogenic potential</td>
<td>LLLT combined with the use of biomaterials accelerated the bone regeneration process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renno et al. [44]</td>
<td>GaAlAs diode laser λ 830</td>
<td>Power 30mW/point</td>
<td>energy density 10J/cm²</td>
<td>1-MC3T3 grown on biosilicate + LLLT – 2-control group</td>
<td>– LLLT GROUP 13% decreases cell proliferation</td>
<td>LLLT group resulted in a reduction of cell growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassi et al. [45]</td>
<td>Laser diode λ 920nm</td>
<td>Power 0.1W</td>
<td>energy density 3J/cm²</td>
<td>1-Osteoblast-like cells seeded on zirconia or titanium surface + LLLT – 2-control group</td>
<td>– Osteogenic potential -cell proliferation – cell differentiation – ALP expression – the mRNA of RUNX2 and OSTERIX</td>
<td>LLLT significantly increases cellular adhesion on the implant surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagin et al. [46]</td>
<td>Visible red λ 660nm</td>
<td>Infrared λ 780nm</td>
<td>LED λ 630 ± 10nm</td>
<td>Laser: Power 1W/cm²</td>
<td>MC3T3 irradiated with red/ infrared laser and LED</td>
<td>Red/ infrared &amp; LED -influenced ALP -no effect on cell differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queiroga et al. [47]</td>
<td>Red spectrum λ 660 nm</td>
<td>Infrared λ 780nm</td>
<td>Power 40mW</td>
<td>energy density 2J/cm²</td>
<td>1-LLLTT 660nm – 2-LLLTT 780nm</td>
<td>– LILT with 780nm newly formed bone – LILT with 660 nm, no difference from control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mergoni et al. [48]</td>
<td>Diode laser GaAs λ 915nm</td>
<td>Power 0.12 and 1.25W/cm²</td>
<td>25.15 and 45J/cm²</td>
<td>-Osteoblasts isolated from mandibular cortical LLLT treated -control group</td>
<td>– No osteoblast cell proliferation – no osteoblast cell differentiation</td>
<td>LLLT induces more bone nodules formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jawad et al. [49]</td>
<td>Diode laser GaAlAs λ940nm</td>
<td>Power + energy</td>
<td>100mW/45.85J/cm²</td>
<td>200mW/91.79J/cm²</td>
<td>-LLLTT groups -Control group</td>
<td>– Cell proliferation – cell differentiation – ALP &amp; osteocalcin expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Pereira et al. used a low-level gallium-aluminum-arsenide diode laser to observe the effects of bone surrounding endosseous implants on the healing process. The study revealed that the LLLT influence the osseous integration through some modifications made on the bone-implant surface in comparison with the control group has shown no advantages for bone regeneration [50]. On the other hand, Grassi et al. confirm that the use of the low-level intensity laser stimulates the osteogenic proliferation and differentiation, and it also improves the cell adhesion to the implant surface [45]. Other studies have recognized the capacity of LLLT to stimulate the bone formation around the implant and therefore it improves the osseous integration [51, 52].

Maluf et al. carried out their research by comparing two groups of mice in order to assess the mechanical resistance and the effects obtained after the use of LLLT. Between the two tested groups, one has received laser irradiation (LLLT) and the other one was the control group. This study concluded that in the group in which low level laser was used, it was harder to remove the implant from the bone than in the group which was not treated with laser. In this case, an engine dynamometer was also used in order to measure the torque necessary to detach the implant from the bone. The result of this study revealed that the group treated with the diode laser presented a resistance of more than 50% for the removal of the implant compared to the other group, which received no radiations. This study recognizes the effect of laser on the improvement of the adhesion between the bone and the implant [53].

Nowadays, one of the greatest defects in replacing bone materials is osteoinduction, since most of them only have osteoconduction. For this reason, Oliveira et al. tested the LLLT and its interaction with biomaterials. The biphasic hydroxyapatite/tricalcic phosphate and the bovine bone are biomaterials which have osteoconduction, however osteoinduction remains their weak point [54, 55]. For 13 days, the tested groups were irradiated each 48 hours for 40 seconds per area. After 60 days, another operation was performed for the implant placement. The results after 15 and 45 days from the implant placement revealed great differences between the two groups, the control and the tested ones. The conclusion of this study was that the LLLT stimulates the osseous integration with a high exposure of osteocalcin (OCN) and bone morphogenic protein-2 (BMP-2), which are associated to the osteoblast activity. They also revealed that the necessary force to remove one implant in the group which received laser irradiation may be compared to the force necessary to the implant from the autologous bone [42]. This author had also stated these conclusions in another previous research, also by using the same biomaterials, namely bovine bone and biphasic hydroxyapatite/tricalcic phosphate. The low-level laser therapy is considered promising for osteoblast differentiation and growth stimulation. 90 days after the laser treatment, the groups that underwent laser irradiation had an increase of BMP-2 and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and bone growth was also evidenced. These results show that LLLT influences the osteoconductive properties of these biomaterials by maintaining the bone volume of the graft [41].

Photobiomodulation was also tested by other authors for the irradiation of the implant site in which bovine bone was used for bone replacement. Immediately after surgery, laser therapy (LLLT) was undergone during which seven sessions of radiation were performed every 48 hours. The use of LLLT in these cases led to a higher osteoblast activity, an increased deposition of collagen, with a faster organization of the Harversian system as the regeneration of the cortical bone [43, 56, 57]. Another study selected the proteins derived from the dental enamel matrix derivate (EMD) to replace an intrabony defect and this treatment was combined with LLLT. The radiation started immediately after the operation. A diode laser 4J/cm2 was used in five days, on each side of the bone defect for five minutes. After 12 months, the results revealed that this combination improved the effect of the EMD on the intrabony defect [58].

Bistimulation with Light-emitting diode (LED) is another alternative way which led to promising results. The use of the blue LED light in the stimulation of the pre-osteoblasts offered similar results to the ones of the infrared lasers [46], thus encouraging the differentiation of osteogenes and inhibiting cell proliferation [59]. The LED has a non-coherent light if compared to the laser LLLT, which releases a coherent light, while the LED is easier to control, safer for our eyes and it has a lower cost. In bone defects in which biomaterials are employed, the use of the LED together with photosensitive substances led to better results for the osteointegration of implants, bone formation around the implants. In terms of time evolution, it can be compared to the autologous bone [60]. Despite the advantages provided by the LED, this method also has some limitations, since it cannot be used in cases in which the high density of power is required in a small area, therefore in this specific case laser is preferred (LLLT) [22].

Other clinical studies assessed the influence of LLLT in posttraumatic bony defects, as a single approach or associated to guided bone regeneration, bone marrow harvesting (in order to induce osteogenesis), synthetic osteogenic proteins grafting [37-39, 44, 61]. The results are promising, so that they state that LLLT has a direct influence on the quality of structured tissue. Still, the in vivo and ex vivo involved studies included small number of subjects in order to conclude on the direct relation and
effect between LLLT and osteoregeneration and neovascularization.

In their in vitro research, Mergoni et al. used human osteoblasts through irradiation with laser 915 nm. This study concluded that this wavelength in the proliferation and differentiation of cells does not offer better effects if the group which was not irradiated is compared, but despite this, the use of LLLT encourages the formation of bone nodes (deposition of Ca2+) [47,48]. On the other hand, Jawad et al. concluded that cell proliferation increases when we increase the laser power and when we use a lower power osteoblast differentiation. When a diode laser 940nm is used, the cell proliferation of osteoblasts is lower; when the power of irradiation is of 100mW and when the irradiation with a power of 300mW is used, the osteoblast proliferation increases. The ALP and ONC expressions which influence the osteoblast differentiation were respectively lower with an irradiation of 300mW if compared to the one of 100mW [49]. Barbosa et al. carried out a research in which they compared an infrared laser and a red-light laser [62]. This study confirms that the use of the laser having the wavelength in the red spectrum does not always lead to good results, compared to the laser with infrared light. As for the healing process, it depends not only on the time, but also on the wave length. In association with low laser local treatment, there are other factors which should also be considered: the general health state of the patients, and the factors that could decrease the organisms’ regenerative capacity, such as inflammatory diseases, vicious habits (tobacco, alcohol consumption), chronic medication [63,64].

The same result was obtained by Queiroga et al., Renno et al. who stated that some specific combinations of doses with different wave lengths lead to several results on the different cell lines [47,65]. Therefore, the proliferation of osteosarcoma cells irradiated with an 830nm wavelength did not lead to cell increase. The results were different for the irradiated cells with laser with a wavelength of 670nm (me 5J/cm2) and 780nm (me 1.5 dhe 10/1cm2), in this case the proliferation was notably increased. On the other hand, the cell line of osteoblasts had no cell proliferation for the wave length of 780nm and irradiation (1.5 dhe 10J/cm2), but and an increase was noticed for the wave length of 830nm and irradiation (10J/cm2). Tani et al. tested three different wave lengths, LED (450nm), red light (635nm) and infra-red (808nm). The aim was to assess the effect of these wave lengths on cell proliferation, differentiation and adhesion of the human mesenchymal stromal pre-osteoblast cells (hMSC). As opposed to the LED irradiation, the red light as well as the infrared light formed depositions of Ca2+, namely bone nodes. The wavelength of 635nm which is in the spectrum of red light for the hMSC cells was able to encourage the osteogenic proliferation, differentiation and adhesion. Although this study shares the use of laser of 635nm wave length as an efficient treatment for bone stimulation and regeneration, it confirms that the doses of irradiation must be verified, since the irradiation with low doses creates the stimulation of cell signals and the irradiation with high doses may lead to the inhibition of biological stimuli [66]. Conversely, Ghidini et al. revealed that the red wave length (645nm) is absorbed by the tissues more in-depth [67]. The results collected in the present paper show that there is still confusion in the protocols to follow during laser bio-stimulation. Some conclusions drawn from the bio-stimulation effects clearly show that this therapy is effective in regeneration and, for this reason, a protocol about the lines and the doses prescribed and recommended by the World Association for Laser Therapy (WALT) is required [68].

Highlights

✓ Low-Level Laser Therapy improves the osseous integration of biomaterials and their properties.

✓ Low-Level Laser Therapy significantly increases cellular adhesion on implant surfaces, having a positive impact on the enduring quality of grafting materials.

Conclusions

The biomodulation or LLLT based on the scientific results shown in this review may be considered as an efficient therapy for hard and soft tissues regeneration. The results show that it notably improves the osteointegration and the properties of biomaterials, if combined. Nowadays, there is still no standard protocol of work approved for the use of laser LLLT in bone regeneration, therefore, the research must continue in this direction since it might lead to promising results. In vivo studies are focused on animal models and there is still not strongly enough evidence regarding human subjects and laser biomodulation. The use of LLLT requires that the users of laser medical devices be prepared both theoretically and regarding specific protocols.
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Acronyms and abbreviations

LLLT – Low-Level Laser Therapy
LASER - Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation
ATP - Adenosine-Triphosphate
OM - oral mucositis
PBM - photobiomodulation
IL-1b – Interleukin 1b
TNF-α – Tumor Necrosis Factor
IFN - Interferon
OCN - Osteocalcin
BMP-2 – Bone Morphogenic Protein 2
EMD - Enamel Matrix Derivate
LED - Light-emitting Diode
hMSC - Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells
WALT - World Association for Laser Therapy
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