

Alexithymia and physical outcomes in psychosomatic subjects: a cross-sectional study

Liam Alexander MacKenzie Myles

DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY, DURHAM, UNITED KINGDOM

Emanuele Maria Merlo

UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, DEPARTMENT OF ADULT AND CHILDHOOD HUMAN PATHOLOGY "GAETANO BARRESI", ITALY, emerlo@unime.it

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/jmms>



Part of the [Mental Disorders Commons](#), [Psychiatric and Mental Health Commons](#), [Psychiatry Commons](#), [Psychoanalysis and Psychotherapy Commons](#), and the [Psychological Phenomena and Processes Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Myles, Liam Alexander MacKenzie and Merlo, Emanuele Maria () "Alexithymia and physical outcomes in psychosomatic subjects: a cross-sectional study," *Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences*: Vol. 8 : Iss. 1 , Article 12.

DOI: 10.22543/7674.81.P8693

Available at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/jmms/vol8/iss1/12>

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences* by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

Alexithymia and physical outcomes in psychosomatic subjects: a cross-sectional study

Liam Alexander MacKenzie Myles¹, Emanuele Maria Merlo^{2*}

¹DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY, DURHAM, UNITED KINGDOM

²UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, DEPARTMENT OF ADULT AND CHILDHOOD HUMAN PATHOLOGY "GAETANO BARRESI", ITALY

ABSTRACT



Backgrounds. Alexithymia is a relevant phenomenon, occurring transversally to healthy subjects and individuals affected by several conditions. Its role is considerable, according to current state of the art several conditions emerged as influenced, maintained and worsened by alexithymic structures and figures. The present study was aimed at highlighting the existing relations, the differences and the directions assumed by alexithymic factors and health status in patients affected by psychosomatic conditions. **Methods.** The sample consisted of 150 participants, 42 males (28.0%) and 108 females (72.0%), aged 26 to 78 years old with a mean of 42.24 years old (SD = 12.39). Subjects were previously assessed through DCPR-SI, in order to identify psychosomatic issues. The study evaluated measures related to alexithymia (Tas-20) and health status (SF-36), and considered demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and education. **Results and Conclusions.** The analyses demonstrated a number of significant relationships between alexithymia, psychological outcomes, and physical outcomes, including psychosomatic disorders. In addition, sex differences were found in gastrointestinal outcomes, as well as outcomes related to energy/fatigue and physical functioning. The study of alexithymia may provide a fruitful approach in understanding various issues related to pathology and general health. Further studies are needed to expand the understanding of alexithymia to other groups and health-related outcomes.

Category: Original Research Paper

Received: September 14, 2020

Accepted: November 12, 2020

Keywords:

alexithymia, clinical psychology, health, psychosomatics, Tas-20

***Corresponding author:**

Emanuele Maria Merlo,

University of Messina, Department of Adult and Childhood Human Pathology "Gaetano Barresi", Italy

E-mail: emerlo@unime.it

Introduction

"Alexithymia" describes a condition characterized by marked difficulty in indicating, describing, and being aware of emotions, the reduction of imagination, and a marked concern with concrete and detailed aspects of the external environment [1,2]. Theoretical and clinical interest in alexithymia has grown over the past decades, producing new perspectives while also revealing the need to improve constructs and refine clinical practice and useful psycho-diagnostics tools [3-5].

Alexithymia has a number of physical consequences [6-8], and it is associated with psychological characteristics that may have a direct role in the onset of various disorders and medical conditions [9-16]. These physical disorders include [17], but are not limited to, dermatological [18], cardiovascular [19,20] and gastrointestinal functional/structural issues [21-23]. Given

that psychosomatic research has associated dermatological issues with psychological factors, it is not surprising that alexithymia may play a role [24-28]. A similar process may well be described for gastrointestinal, eating, and cardiovascular disorders [29-35]. In addition, the role of alexithymia in understanding a number of chronic conditions may be facilitated by understanding its relationship to specific psychological factors such as externally oriented thinking/operative thinking) and structures (psychosomatic order), suggesting a possible mediating role for such factors in chronic and worsening health conditions [36-38]. As such, alexithymia may play an increasingly important role in clinical practice [39-41].

Given the above, further study is needed to understand the role of alexithymia in different populations and for other psychological and physical/medical outcomes. In the present study, we assessed the relationship of alexithymia with a number of physical and psychological outcomes,

including physical functioning, energy, fatigue, emotional well-being and general health status in subjects reporting psychosomatic outcomes.

Study hypotheses

To address the above goal, we carried out research that addressed three hypotheses. (1) demographic characteristics such as age and gender will be associated with alexithymia and health status. (2) various factors related to alexithymia will be associated with health status and psychosomatic outcomes. And (3) Differences will occur between male and female participants regarding health status and physical outcomes.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The sample consisted of 150 participants, 42 males (28.0%), and 108 females (72.0%), aged 26 to 78 years old with a mean of 42.24 years ($SD = 12.39$). Each participant completed the protocol, including providing information about education, gender, and age. Each participant, before providing informed consent, was informed about the anonymous nature of data collection process, consistent with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

Instruments and procedure

Subjects were selected on the basis of their psychosomatic conditions, as evaluated by the Diagnostic Criteria for Psychosomatic Research Structured Interview-DCPR-SI [42,43], a structured interview containing a set of 12 syndromes of disease phobia, thanatophobia, health anxiety, illness denial, persistent somatization, functional somatic symptoms secondary to a psychiatric disorder, conversion symptoms, anniversary reaction, irritable mood, type A behaviour, demoralization, and alexithymia. According to Galeazzi et al. [44], the DCPR-SI indexes has high interrater reliability with kappa values of: Disease phobia, 0.97; Thanatophobia, 0.92; Type A behaviour, 0.92; Illness denial, 0.90; Demoralization, 0.90; Anniversary reaction, 0.90; Health anxiety, 0.89; Alexithymia, 0.89; Conversion symptoms, 0.82, Persistent somatization, 0.70; and Irritable mood, 0.69. Psychosomatic outcomes were considered on the basis of three main groups (assessed through a 10-point Likert scale, [17], as suggested by Picardi et al. (dermatological) [18], (cardiovascular) [19,20], (gastrointestinal) [21-23]).

The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) [45], Italian version by Apolone & Mosconi, 1998; Hays & Sherbourne, [46,47] regarding RAND Medical Outcomes Study, maintaining the same items) was used to study specific health dimensions: physical functioning, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, general health. According to the validation study (adhering to RAND structure and factors), the various factors yielded the following alphas: physical

functioning, 0.93, energy/fatigue, 0.86, emotional well-being, 0.90, general health, 0.78.

Toronto Alexithymia Scale [48] is a self-report instrument consisting of 20 items structured on a 5-points Likert scale. TAS-20 has demonstrated an internal consistency of 0.81 (Cronbach's alphas), reporting a three factors structure (31% of the total variance), in particular Difficulty in Identifying Feelings (0.78), Difficulty in Describing Feelings (0.75), and Externally Oriented Thinking (0.66). Bressi et al. [49] published a cross validation of the Tas-20, including both clinical and non-clinical subjects. Alpha coefficient scores obtained with the non-clinic sample were 0.75 (full scale), and 0.77, 0.67 and 0.52 for the three factors; the clinical sample scores were 0.82 (full scale), and 0.79, 0.68 and 0.54 for the three factors. Subsequent research highlighted the good consistency and reliability of the three factors structure [50,51].

Statistical analysis

Numerical data were expressed as means and standard deviations, categorical variables as number and percentage. The Spearman test was used to evaluate correlations among alexithymia, health and psychosomatic variables of the following instruments. Student's t-test compared gender groups, referring to health status and psychosomatic outcomes. A P-value smaller than 0.050 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS 26.0 for Window package.

Results

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

	Mean	Standard deviation
Years of study	15.79	3.11
Tas-20 Total score	50.43	12.46
Difficulty in Identifying Feelings	15.45	6.55
Difficulty in Describing Feelings	13.24	3.84
Externally Oriented Thinking	25.32	4.88
Physical functioning	17.32	3.76
Energy/fatigue	9.06	3.64
Emotional well-being	12.98	4.51
General health	11.92	3.54
Dermatological outcomes	17.32	9.32
Gastrointestinal outcomes	20.72	8.39
Cardiovascular outcomes	17.00	7.70

Table 2. Spearman correlation coefficients among Hp-1 variables

	Age	Years of education
Tas-20 Total score	.170*	-.165*
Difficulty in Identifying Feelings	.151	-.149
Difficulty in Describing Feelings	.104	-.124
Externally Oriented Thinking	.200*	-.117
Physical functioning	-.375**	.311**
Energy/fatigue	.073	-.275**
Emotional well-being	.101	-.129
General health	-.173*	.050

* $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed). ** $p < 0.01$ (two-tailed). Bold values were the significant values.

Hypothesis 1

As noted in Table 2, age and education showed significant correlations with several assessment scores. Regarding age, significant and positive correlations emerged with Tas-20 total score, externally oriented thinking, and general health status. A negative and significant relation emerged with physical functioning. Regarding years of study, negative and significant relations emerged among years of study, Tas-20 total score, and energy-fatigue. The only positive correlation emerged was referred to physical functioning.

Hypothesis 2

Hypothesis 2 was concerned with relationships among alexithymia (including related factors), health status, and psychosomatic outcomes. Results demonstrated significant positive relations with the onset of psychosomatic issues and negative significant correlations with health status (Table 3).

Table 3. Spearman correlation coefficients among Hp-2 variables

	Tas-20 Total Score	Tas-20 Difficulty in Identifying Feelings	Tas-20 Difficulty in Describing Feelings	Tas-20 Externally Oriented Thinking
Physical functioning	-.326**	-.352**	-.269**	-.156
Energy/fatigue	-.190*	-.181*	-.206*	.004
Emotional well-being	-.320**	-.398**	-.177*	-.077
General health	-.328**	-.397**	-.205*	-.121
Dermatological outcomes	.106	.242**	.057	-.059
Gastrointestinal outcomes	.253**	.210**	.220**	.235**
Cardiovascular outcomes	.331**	.299**	.223**	.251**

* $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed). ** $p < 0.01$ (two-tailed). Bold values were the significant values.

Regarding the Toronto Alexithymia Scale, significant negative correlations were found with physical functioning, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, and general health status. Moreover, significant positive relationships emerged with gastrointestinal and cardiovascular outcomes. With regard to difficulty in identifying and describing feelings, significant negative correlations occurred with physical functioning, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, and general health. Significant positive correlations emerged with dermatological, gastrointestinal, and cardiovascular outcomes, but no significant correlation was found between difficulty in describing feelings and dermatological outcomes. Externally oriented thinking was significantly and positively related to gastrointestinal and cardiovascular outcomes. In general, results concerning this second hypothesis highlighted relationships between alexithymia, negative health status, and increased psychosomatic issues.

Table 4. Comparison between male and female groups

Variables	Male	Female	<i>p-value</i>
Dermatological	16.38±9.42	17.68±9.29	,447
Gastrointestinal	18.26±7.85	21.68±8.44	,021*
Cardiovascular	15.85±7.53	17.45±7.75	,251
Physical functioning	18.42±2.30	16.88±4.12	,005*
Energy/fatigue	10.14±4.00	8.64±3.41	,037*
Emotional well-being	14.04±5.41	12.57±4.06	,115
General health	11.92±3.47	11.92±3.59	,997

* $p < 0.05$; Bold values were the significant values.

Hypothesis 3

Hypotheses 3 was concerned with potential sex differences on a series of outcome variables (Table 4). Significant differences emerged on gastrointestinal outcomes, with higher scores in women, and on physical functioning and energy/fatigue, with higher scores in men.

Discussions

The current study examined relationships between alexithymia and health status using validated psychodiagnostic tools, with results indicating a number of significant findings. In the first set of analyses, we considered the role of the demographic factors of age and education. Both variables were related to alexithymic total scores, as well as other specific physical and psychological outcomes, similar to a number of other studies [52-57]. In this respect, the first hypothesis was supported by our findings and also consistent with previously reported studies.

Regarding the second hypothesis, several significant findings emerged regarding the alexithymic domains and health status. As might be expected, physical, psychological, and general health were negatively related to higher alexithymic symptoms. In contrast, higher alexithymic scores were related to increased psychosomatic outcomes, including dermatological, cardiovascular, and gastrointestinal.

Regarding dermatological outcomes, the results suggested the strong link with the first alexithymic factor, that is, difficulty in identifying feelings. Such a link has been previously documented by research on psychosomatics and dermatological issues, as in the case of psoriasis, vitiligo, and other relevant illnesses [58-61]. Gastrointestinal and cardiovascular outcomes were even more strongly related to alexithymia, relationships also strongly reported in the literature [23, 62-66].

The third hypothesis was concerned with differences in physical outcomes and health status between men and women. Some significant differences emerged, with higher scores in men on physical functioning and energy/fatigue, and higher scores in women on gastrointestinal disorders. These findings are consistent with other recent studies showing relationships between gastrointestinal outcomes and alexithymic functioning [21,23,67-70].

Current research on alexithymia has the potential for integrating findings over psychological and biological domains, which are often viewed as distinct entities [71-75]. Several authors have addressed this dichotomy, so it would be fundamental to try to reach integrity overcoming theoretical oppositions. In addition to Damasio's earlier studies [76,77], more recent contributions have taken up the issue [78-80], suggesting ways to reframe classical perspectives through objective methods and rigorous analyses.

Conclusions

The current study investigated the relationship between alexithymia, demographic variables, and specific health outcomes using validated instruments. Results indicated that alexithymia may play a role in a variety of health outcomes, including gastrointestinal problems, and decreased physical and energy status. Subsequent studies should expand the observation group and balance gender groups, as well as use research designs that enable stronger inferences regarding causal relationships.

Conflict of interest disclosure

There are no known conflicts of interest in the publication of this article. The manuscript was read and approved by all authors.

Compliance with ethical standards

Any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies and that such approvals are acknowledged within the manuscript.

References

1. Gregory RJ, Berry SL. Measuring counterdependency in patients with chronic pain. *Psychosom Med.* 1999;61(3):341-5. doi: 10.1097/00006842-199905000-00013
2. Sifneos PE. The prevalence of 'alexithymic' characteristics in psychosomatic patients. *Psychother Psychosom.* 1973;22(2):255-62. doi: 10.1159/000286529
3. Šago, D., & Babić, G. Roots of Alexithymia. *Archives of Psychiatry Research: An International Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* 2019; 55(1):71-84. doi: 10.20471/may.2019.55.01.06
4. Taylor GJ. Recent developments in alexithymia theory and research. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2000;45(2):134-42. doi: 10.1177/070674370004500203
5. Widge AS, Dougherty DD, Moritz CT. Affective Brain-Computer Interfaces As Enabling Technology for Responsive Psychiatric Stimulation. *Brain Comput Interfaces (Abingdon).* 2014;1(2):126-136. doi: 10.1080/2326263X.2014.912885
6. Porcelli P, Taylor G. Alexithymia and Physical Illness: A Psychosomatic Approach. In O. Luminet, R. Bagby, & G. Taylor (Eds.), *Alexithymia: Advances in Research, Theory, and Clinical Practice.* 2018; pp. 105-126. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781108241595.009
7. Terock J, Klinger-König J, Janowitz D, Nauck M, Völzke H, Grabe HJ. Alexithymia is associated with increased all-cause mortality risk in men, but not in

- women: A 10-year follow-up study. *J Psychosom Res.* 2021;143:110372. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2021.110372
8. Torrado M, Eusébio S, Ouakinin S. Alexithymia and illness: Towards a psychosomatic perspective of emotion regulation deficits. In R. J. Teixeira, B. Bermond, & P. P. Moormann (Eds.), *Perspectives on cognitive psychology. Current developments in alexithymia: A cognitive and affective deficit.* 2018; p. 173–194. Nova Science Publishers.
 9. Conversano C. Common Psychological Factors in Chronic Diseases. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:2727. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02727
 10. Conversano C, Di Giuseppe M. Psychological Factors as Determinants of Chronic Conditions: Clinical and Psychodynamic Advances. *Front Psychol.* 2021;12:635708. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.635708
 11. Hyphantis T, Goulia P, Carvalho AF. Personality traits, defense mechanisms and hostility features associated with somatic symptom severity in both health and disease. *J Psychosom Res.* 2013;75(4):362-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.08.014
 12. Di Giuseppe M, Ciacchini R, Micheloni T, Bertolucci I, Marchi L, Conversano C. Defense mechanisms in cancer patients: a systematic review. *J Psychosom Res.* 2018;115:76-86. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2018.10.016
 13. Martino G, Langher V, Cazzato V, Vicario CM. Editorial: Psychological Factors as Determinants of Medical Conditions. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:2502. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02502
 14. Kramer GP, Bernstein DA, Phares V. *Introduction to clinical psychology.* Cambridge University Press. ISBN-10: 1108705146
 15. Hunsley J, Lee CM. *Introduction to clinical psychology.* John Wiley & Sons. 2017; ISBN: 978-1-119-30151-6
 16. Merlo EM. Opinion Article: The role of psychological features in chronic diseases, advancements and perspectives. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology.* 2019;7(3). doi: 10.6092/2282-1619/2019.7.2341
 17. Settineri S, Frisone F, Alibrandi A, Merlo EM. Emotional Suppression and Oneiric Expression in Psychosomatic Disorders: Early Manifestations in Emerging Adulthood and Young Patients. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:1897. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01897
 18. Picardi A, Abeni D, Melchi CF, Puddu P, Pasquini P. Psychiatric morbidity in dermatological outpatients: an issue to be recognized. *Br J Dermatol.* 2000;143(5):983-91. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.2000.03831.x
 19. Grandi S, Fabbri S, Tossani E, Mangelli L, Branzi A, Magelli C. Psychological Evaluation after Cardiac Transplantation: The Integration of Different Criteria. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2001;70:176–183. doi: 10.1159/000056250
 20. Vadini F, Sozio F, Madeddu G, De Socio G, Maggi P, Nunnari G, Vichi F, Di Stefano P, Tracanna E, Polilli E, Sciacca A, Zizi B, Lai V, Bartolozzi C, Flacco ME, Bonfanti P, Santilli F, Manzoli L, Parruti G. Alexithymia Predicts Carotid Atherosclerosis, Vascular Events, and All-Cause Mortality in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Patients: An Italian Multisite Prospective Cohort Study. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2019;6(9):ofz331. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofz331
 21. Porcelli P, Taylor GJ, Bagby RM, De Carne M. Alexithymia and functional gastrointestinal disorders. A comparison with inflammatory bowel disease. *Psychother Psychosom.* 1999; 68(5): 263-9. doi: 10.1159/000012342
 22. Kano M, Muratsubaki T, Yagihashi M, Morishita J, Mugikura S, Dupont P, Takase K, Kanazawa M, Van Oudenhove L, Fukudo S. Insula Activity to Visceral Stimulation and Endocrine Stress Responses as Associated With Alexithymia in Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Psychosom Med.* 2020;82(1):29-38. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000729
 23. Kano M, Endo Y, Fukudo S. Association Between Alexithymia and Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders. *Front Psychol.* 2018;9:599. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00599
 24. Baysak S, Kılıç FA, Karagün E, Baysak E. Relationship of Alexithymia, Rumination and Coping Style with Psoriasis and Their Effects on The Clinical Features. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg.* 2020;31(4):252-258.
 25. Craparo G, Magnano P, Zapparrata MV, Gori A, Costanzo G, Pace U, Pellerone M. Coping, attachment style and resilience: the mediating role of alexithymia. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology.* 2018; 6(1). doi: 10.6092/2282-1619/2018.6.1773
 26. Hemming L, Haddock G, Shaw J, Pratt D. Alexithymia and Its Associations With Depression, Suicidality, and Aggression: An Overview of the Literature. *Front Psychiatry.* 2019;10:203. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00203
 27. Talamonti M, Galluzzo M, Servoli S, D'Adamo S, Bianchi L. Alexithymia and Plaque Psoriasis: Preliminary Investigation in a Clinical Sample of 250 Patients. *Dermatology.* 2016;232(6):648-654. doi: 10.1159/000453661
 28. Willemsen R, Roseeuw D, Vanderlinden J. Alexithymia and dermatology: the state of the art. *Int J Dermatol.* 2008;47(9):903-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4632.2008.03726.x
 29. Carney RM, Rich MW, Freedland KE, Saini J, teVelde A, Simeone C, Clark K. Major depressive disorder

- predicts cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. *Psychosom Med.* 1988;50(6):627-33. doi: 10.1097/00006842-198811000-00009
30. Craparo G, Gagliano O, Costanzo G, La Rosa VL, Gori A, Mendolicchio L. Boredom, alexithymia, and desire thinking in eating disorders: a cross-sectional study. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology.* 2020;8(3). doi: 10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2529
 31. Frasure-Smith N, Lespérance F, Talajic M. Depression following myocardial infarction. Impact on 6-month survival. *JAMA.* 1993;270(15):1819-25.
 32. Kojima M, Frasure-Smith N, Lespérance F. Alexithymia following myocardial infarction: psychometric properties and correlates of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale. *J Psychosom Res.* 2001;51(3):487-95. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3999(01)00253-7
 33. Martino G, Caputo A, Schwarz P, Bellone F, Fries W, Quattropiani MC, Vicario CM. Alexithymia and Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review. *Front Psychol.* 2020;11:1763. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01763
 34. Mazaheri M, Afshar H, Weinland S, Mohammadi N, Adibi P. Alexithymia and functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID). *Med Arh.* 2012;66(1):28-32. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2012.66.28-32
 35. Porcelli P, Leoci C, Guerra V, Taylor GJ, Bagby RM. A longitudinal study of alexithymia and psychological distress in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Psychosom Res.* 1996;41(6):569-73. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3999(96)00221-8
 36. Martino G, Caputo A, Vicario CM, Catalano A, Schwarz P, Quattropiani MC. The Relationship Between Alexithymia and Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review. *Front Psychol.* 2020;11:2026. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02026
 37. Özsoy F, Taşçı İ. Defense mechanisms, dissociation, alexithymia and childhood traumas in chronic migraine patients. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy* 2021;39:1-13. doi: 10.1007/s10942-020-00357-0
 38. Pappalardo SM. Vlad-Virtual Reality Application for Treatment of Psychosomatic Conditions: A report at final stage of software validation process. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology* 2020; 8(3). doi: 10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2868
 39. De Vries AMM, Gholamrezaee MM, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, de Roten Y, Despland JN, Stiefel F, Passchier J. Physicians' emotion regulation during communication with advanced cancer patients. *Psychooncology.* 2018;27(3):929-936. doi: 10.1002/pon.4614
 40. Merlo EM, McNabney SM, Frisone F, Sicari F, Paunica M, Motofei C, Settineri S. Compassion and suppression in caregivers: twin masks of tragedy and joy of caring. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2020;7(1):61-68. doi: 10.22543/7674.71.P6168
 41. Merlo EM, Stoian AP, Motofei IG, Settineri S. Clinical Psychological Figures in Healthcare Professionals: Resilience and Maladjustment as the "Cost of Care". *Front Psychol.* 2020;11:607783. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.607783
 42. Fava GA, Freyberger HJ, Bech P, Christodoulou G, Sensky T, Theorell T, Wise TN. Diagnostic criteria for use in psychosomatic research. *Psychother Psychosom.* 1995;63(1):1-8. doi: 10.1159/000288931
 43. Porcelli P, Sonino N. Psychological Factors Affecting Medical Conditions: A New Classification for DSM-V, Vol. 28. Basel: Karger Medical and Scientific Publishers. 2007; doi: 10.1159/isbn.978-3-8055-8374-9
 44. Galeazzi GM, Ferrari S, Mackinnon A, Rigatelli M. Interrater reliability, prevalence, and relation to ICD-10 diagnoses of the Diagnostic Criteria for Psychosomatic Research in consultation-liaison psychiatry patients. *Psychosomatics.* 2004;45(5):386-93. doi: 10.1176/appi.psy.45.5.386
 45. Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 health survey. Manual and interpretation guide. Boston: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center. 1997;10-6.
 46. Apolone G, Mosconi P. The Italian SF-36 Health Survey: translation, validation and norming. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1998;51(11):1025-36. doi: 10.1016/s0895-4356(98)00094-8
 47. Hays RD, Sherbourne CD, Mazel RM. The RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0. *Health Econ.* 1993;2(3):217-27. doi: 10.1002/hec.4730020305
 48. Bagby RM, Parker JD, Taylor GJ. The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale--I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J Psychosom Res.* 1994;38(1):23-32. doi: 10.1016/0022-3999(94)90005-1
 49. Gritti P, Lombardi S, Nobile B, Trappoliere P, Gambardella A, Di Caprio EL, Resicato G. Alexithymia and cancer-related fatigue: a controlled cross-sectional study. *Tumori.* 2010;96(1):131-7.
 50. Theisen ME, MacNeill SE, Lumley MA, Ketterer MW, Goldberg AD, Borzak S. Psychosocial factors related to unrecognized acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 1995;75(17):1211-3. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9149(99)80764-4
 51. Craparo G, Faraci P, Gori A. Psychometric Properties of the 20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale in a Group of Italian Younger Adolescents. *Psychiatry Investig.* 2015;12(4):500-7. doi: 10.4306/pi.2015.12.4.500
 52. Kauhanen J, Kaplan GA, Julkunen J, Wilson TW, Salonen JT. Social factors in alexithymia. *Compr Psychiatry.* 1993;34(5):330-5. doi: 10.1016/0010-440x(93)90019-z

53. Kirmayer LJ, Robbins JM. Cognitive and social correlates of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale. *Psychosomatics*. 1993;34(1):41-52. doi: 10.1016/S0033-3182(93)71926-X
54. Marty P. L'ordre psychosomatique (PAYOT) (French) Paperback – September 17, 1998; ISBN-10: 2228891819
55. Motofei IG. The etiology of premature ejaculation starting from a bihormonal model of normal sexual stimulation. *Int J Impot Res*. 2001;13(1):49-50. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3900632
56. Taylor GJ, Bagby RM. Examining Proposed Changes to the Conceptualization of the Alexithymia Construct: The Way Forward Tilts to the Past. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2020 Dec 7:1-11. doi: 10.1159/000511988
57. Goerlich KS. The Multifaceted Nature of Alexithymia-A Neuroscientific Perspective. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9:1614. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01614
58. Cupertino F, Niemeyer-Corbellini JP, Ramos-E-Silva M. Psychosomatic aspects of vitiligo. *Clin Dermatol*. 2017;35(3):292-297. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2017.01.001
59. Gieler U, Gieler T, Peters EMJ, Linder D. Skin and Psychosomatics - Psychodermatology today. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges*. 2020;18(11):1280-1298. doi: 10.1111/ddg.14328
60. Mulinari-Brenner F. Psychosomatic aspects of alopecia areata. *Clin Dermatol*. 2018;36(6):709-713. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2018.08.011
61. Zięciak T, Rzepa T, Król J, Żaba R. Stigmatization feelings and depression symptoms in psoriasis patients. *Psychiatr Pol*. 2017 Dec 30;51(6):1153-1163. doi: 10.12740/PP/68848
62. Aluja A, Malas O, Urieta P, Worner F, Balada F. Biological correlates of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) in cardiovascular disease and healthy community subjects. *Physiol Behav*. 2020;227:113151. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113151
63. Karukivi M, Jula A, Pulkki-Råback L, Hutri-Kähönen N, Laitinen TT, Viikari J, Juonala M, Raitakari O. Ideal cardiovascular health in adolescents and young adults is associated with alexithymia over two decades later: Findings from the cardiovascular risk in Young Finns Study: Department: Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;289:112976. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112976
64. Motofei IG. A dual physiological character for cerebral mechanisms of sexuality and cognition: common somatic peripheral afferents. *BJU Int*. 2011;108(10):1634-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10116.x
65. Xiong NN, Wei J, Ke MY, Hong X, Li T, Zhu LM, Sha Y, Jiang J, Fischer F. Illness Perception of Patients with Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:122. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00122
66. Wiernik E, Lemogne C, Fezeu L, Arnault N, Herberg S, Kesse-Guyot E, Galan P. Association Between Alexithymia and Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Diseases in the SUPplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux AntioXydants (SU.VI.MAX) Cohort. *Psychosom Med*. 2018;80(5):460-467. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000592
67. Adeyemo MA, Spiegel BM, Chang L. Meta-analysis: do irritable bowel syndrome symptoms vary between men and women? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;32(6):738-55. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04409.x
68. Kanazawa M, Endo Y, Whitehead WE, Kano M, Hongo M, Fukudo S. Patients and nonconsulters with irritable bowel syndrome reporting a parental history of bowel problems have more impaired psychological distress. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2004;49(6):1046-53. doi: 10.1023/b:ddas.0000034570.52305.10
69. Kosako M, Akiho H, Miwa H, Kanazawa M, Fukudo S. Impact of symptoms by gender and age in Japanese subjects with irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-C): a large population-based internet survey. *Biopsychosoc Med*. 2018;12:12. doi: 10.1186/s13030-018-0131-2
70. Löwe B, Lohse A, Andresen V, Vettorazzi E, Rose M, Broicher W. The Development of Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Prospective Community-Based Cohort Study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2016;111(9):1320-9. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2016.255
71. Demers LA, Schreiner MW, Hunt RH, Mueller BA, Klimes-Dougan B, Thomas KM, Cullen KR. Alexithymia is associated with neural reactivity to masked emotional faces in adolescents who self-harm. *J Affect Disord*. 2019;249:253-261. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.02.038
72. Donges US, Suslow T. Alexithymia and automatic processing of emotional stimuli: a systematic review. *Rev Neurosci*. 2017;28(3):247-264. doi: 10.1515/revneuro-2016-0049
73. Lane RD. Is it possible to bridge the Biopsychosocial and Biomedical models? *Biopsychosoc Med*. 2014;8(1):3. doi: 10.1186/1751-0759-8-3
74. Meza-Concha N, Arancibia M, Salas F, Behar R, Salas G, Silva H, Escobar R. Towards a neurobiological understanding of alexithymia. *Medwave*. 2017;17(4):e6960. doi: 10.5867/medwave.2017.04.6960
75. Patrikelis P, Lucci G, Alexoudi A, Korfiatis S, Messinis L, Nasios G, Papisilekas T, Sakas D, Gatzonis S. Addressing Evidence Linking Secondary Alexithymia to Aberrant Humor Processing. *Behav Neurol*. 2019;2019:1803624. doi: 10.1155/2019/1803624

-
76. Damasio AR. Descartes' error and the future of human life. *Sci Am.* 1994 Oct;271(4):144. doi: 10.1038/scientificamerican1094-144
77. Damasio AR. Descartes' error revisited. *J Hist Neurosci.* 2001;10(2):192-4. doi: 10.1076/jhin.10.2.192.7250
78. Kastrup B. An ontological solution to the mind-body problem. *Philosophies.* 2017;2(2):10. doi: 10.3390/philosophies2020010
79. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. Solving the mind-body problem through two distinct concepts: internal-mental existence and internal mental reality. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2015;2(2):128-141.
80. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. The mind-body problem; three equations and one solution represented by immaterial-material data. *J Mind Med Sci.* 2018;5(1): 59-69. doi: 10.22543/7674.51.P5969