lLs Dominate Cardozo Cup

By Bill Long
Contributor

The annual Cardozo Cup softball tournament was played on Friday, September 29. Repeating as champions, the faculty team walked away with the trophy. The surprise of the day was the strong play of the lL team, which advanced to the final round by defeating the 2L and 3L teams.

The first game, between the first-year class and second-year class, was played before a sparse crowd. The lLs jumped out to a big lead and held off a strong 2L rally. I don’t know the score or the names of the players who did well. This is because I am a really bad reporter. I know for sure is that the 3Ls and Faculty who showed up late missed a good game. They also missed the first leg and the first batch of pizzas. Not me boy, I may not be a good reporter, but I am a good freeloader!

The second game took place between the lLs and the 3Ls. The class of ’98 had advanced to the final round of the tournament both years it had played, and most third-years expected to do it again this year. The class of 2000 wasn’t intimidated. At least, if they were, they did a good job of hiding it. The lLs jumped out to a big lead, and unlike the 2Ls, the 3Ls weren’t able to make a close game of it.

I’m a third-year student, so this is the game I paid the most attention to. Some people might expect me to be upset that my class didn’t win, and it was a little disappointing, but since I bet $20 on the faculty to win, I survived. Not all 3Ls handled the adversity so well. In his grief, 3L Dave Szumski heckled the players, the umpire and even the crowd.

After dismantling the 3Ls, the lLs faced the faculty team. This was the closest game of the day. Normally the faculty just stamp the students like a race at a bike rally, but this time the first-year students put up a fight. They were ahead for a while, and even made a comeback, but in the end the faculty won by a run. I’m not sure how the game went or how it ended, because by that time three hours had passed. You know the old saying, “You don’t buy beer, you rent it.” Let’s just say I was indisposed when the game ended, apparently due to darkness.

Scott Newman: Indy’s Top Lawman

By Mark Pappas
Editor

The Marion County Prosecutor’s Office is a busy place. A staff of 100 deputy prosecuting attorneys handle approximately 12,000 felony cases and 25,000 misdemeanor cases every year. The man in charge of this enormous crime fighting operation is Prosecutor Scott Newman.

Newman, a native of the Chicago suburb of Lincolnwood, has been at the helm of the Marion County Prosecutor’s Office since his election in 1994. Newman began his legal career as a public defender in Ottawa, Illinois. The Princeton alum and Michigan law school grad later moved to Indianapolis to work with then Prosecutor Steve Goldsmith. Newman spent three years as a deputy prosecutor in Marion County. He later moved on to work with the Justice Department as an assistant U.S. Attorney in Indianapolis. Following five years with the Justice Department, Newman ventured to the private sector and worked with Anthem Insurance.

Many challenges face Newman and his staff of attorneys as they battle a rising homicide rate in Indiana’s capital city. The greatest challenge facing Indianapolis is a growing crack cocaine epidemic that threatens the peace and security of many inner-city neighborhoods. Citing examples from cities like New York, Washington and Philadelphia during the late 1980’s and early 90’s, Newman believes that Indianapolis may continue to see crack related homicides increase before a decline takes place. But Newman is optimistic that the crack problem may be close to a climax. While East coast cities are currently seeing dramatic reductions in homicides, Indianapolis is on pace to surpass its 1996 record of 120 homicides.

This past June, with Indianapolis facing a wave of violence, Newman and Indianapolis Mayor Steve Goldsmith embarked on a project to help quell Indianapolis’ escalating homicide rate. Newman and Goldsmith called on leaders from the Marion County Sheriff’s Department and the Indianapolis Police Department to unite without regard to jurisdictional boundaries for the purpose of forming an elite task force of homicide detectives dedicated to solving cases in Marion County. This new homicide task force will work with a team of experienced prosecutors to bring a growing number of murderers to justice.

When Newman breaks away from his busy schedule overseeing his staff of attorneys, he often finds his way back to the courtroom. This past month Newman successfully prosecuted a triple homicide case that has been described as one of Indianapolis’ worst ever. The defendant in the case murdered three young children. A jury convicted the man of the murders and sentenced him to death.

As part of Newman’s administrative plan, the Marion County Prosecutor’s Office is currently working with local hospitals to provide increased care for the county’s rape victims. Newman says five “Center of Hope” locations are in operation across Indianapolis. These centers are separate facilities within a hospital in which a rape victim can be treated and cared for by medical personnel. “Center of Hope” provides an alternative from the traditional emergency room treatment that rape victims receive. Newman says the new centers offer a more caring environment than emergency rooms because there is a specially trained staff to care for the women. The new centers will also aid law enforcement in the process of evidence collecting and investigation.

Newman also points to some other practical ideas being implemented by his office. He established a Child Support Hotline where attorneys from his office answer phone calls on designated evenings from women who are trying to collect child support from deadbeat dads. Also, a children’s play-room-waiting room is being built in the City-County Building adjacent to the domestic courts. The room will provide a much needed resource to help women who are in court as part of child support proceedings or domestic violence prosecutions.

The rewards of being Prosecutor come in many ways for Newman. He feels great satisfaction in helping victims find justice. He enjoys the common bond and team effort shared by his staff of deputy prosecutors. And Newman also finds fulfillment in his leadership position as he mentors the young attorneys that make up a large part of his staff.
Should Timothy McVeigh be executed?

Jane Kamm, 2L  "Yes, Constitutionally, I believe there is a right to execution. He got his Due Process and if there is anyone who deserves to be executed, it’s Timothy McVeigh."

Patty Cintron, 2L  "No, I don’t believe in the death penalty. You can’t justify one human life for another. I value human life too much. He should get life in prison instead."

Anonymous, 2L  "Yes, he took too many lives. It will set a precedent that says, ‘if you do this you’ll be executed.‘"

Tami Napier, 1L  "No, I don’t believe in the death penalty. He should get life in prison instead."

Tami Napier, 1L  "Yes, definitely. He’s responsible for deaths of innocent people. We shouldn’t have to pay taxes to keep this guy in prison."

Aimee Janson, 1L  "Yes, definitely. He’s responsible for deaths of innocent people. We shouldn’t have to pay taxes to keep this guy in prison."

Aimee Janson, 1L  "No, I think he should rot in jail. He should suffer. Execution is an easy way out."

Anonymous, 3L  "No, I don’t think the government has the right to kill someone. He has family members who will be punished for what he did. All the victims’ family members are suffering. Why should we make Timothy McVeigh’s family members suffer too? And for those who think it should be on T.V., they’re sick!"

Want to contribute? Have a story idea?

The Forum is seeking article submissions from the student body of VUSL.

Please contact The Forum at 465-7831 or locker #284. Submissions must be on disk in Word Perfect or MS Word. Next Deadline is October 20.

A Brief Argument Against Capital Punishment

By Professor Richard Stith
Contributor

The purpose of law is to provide a framework for the fulfillment of everyone in our community. We can disagree, debate, and vote about how much each of us should give or get to reach this goal. But we cannot begin to debate or doubt the wisdom of considering each human being an end rather than only a means. We as a community have problems, but none of us is the problem. Our problems are defined by the goal of universal human flourishing. To call that goal into question is to make coherent public discussion impossible. If people can just be used, for whom are we going to use them? Reason becomes at best a weapon for each faction to use to achieve domination over others.

The above principle means that we can only give or take things from others, based on how well or poorly they serve our common goal of human fulfillment. If our neighbors do wrong, they may deserve a certain punishment, and it may be imposed on them insofar as necessary to deter future evil of like or greater magnitude. But they cannot be stripped of their basic human inviolability, their basic right to be treated as a fellow-subject in our community. Thus it is appropriate to punish criminals by depriving them of money or liberty, but not by intentionally maiming them, treating them as animals, or killing them. Killing is not just taking the greatest thing away from a person, as “capital” punishment may seem to claim. It is the destruction of the person himself. It does not deprive a person of a good, as retribution for a crime; it does not make him “pay his debt to society.” No. It is qualitatively different. It doesn’t pay a debt, it kills the debtor. It violates his being and the foundational assumption of our community.

Some may argue that by committing a serious crime, the criminal “forfeits” his right to be a member of our community, voluntarily chooses to become an outlaw. But human dignity and inviolability are not a matter of choice. We cannot forfeit or waive our humanity. We cannot legally consent to be enslaved, maimed, or killed. Voluntary euthanasia and capital punishment are wrong for the same reason: There is nothing any person could say or do that could negate the equal and intrinsic human inviolability which is the axiomatic starting point for public life. Someone’s suffering or his evil deeds are horrors we face with him. His existence is not itself a horror, even if in his despair he begs us to treat him as expendable.

Note that the above argument is entirely non-religious. There could in theory be convincing religious arguments in favor of capital punishment. This is so because from a religious point of view we are joined to each other primarily through God. If God permits capital punishment, doing as He says does not undo the fundamental principle of a religiously-based community. But whether the God who died on a cross in fact permits capital punishment is open to doubt.
Follow the Yellow Brick Road

"The Devil went down to Georgia, he was looking for a soul to steal..."  
Charlie Daniels

As President I have many responsibilities. I am representative, politician, friend, and problem solver. It is this last role which I have recently played in abundance. It has come to my attention that there is a malady in this community, one that is spreading like wildfire. The problem? It's name spreads fear in the hearts of young children and munchkins everywhere. The problem: Witchcraft. Yes, the dark arts.

With the rain cancellation of the much anticipated Cardozo Cup, I was forced to find entertainment elsewhere on the weekend of September 20-21. What I found horrified me. Located a short distance from our sheltered school, the town of Chesterton, Indiana fills its streets every year with long black hats, long wooden brooms, and even children dressed up like lions or scarecrows. The participants even have the nerve to hold this event out in the open, inviting the world to come and see its debauchery. They even have a name for their black mass, "The Wizard of Oz Festival".

Now I know some of you are out there saying "Marc, this is clearly a case of a good community activity, one that furthers the acknowledgment of a classic film. Why should we fear it?". You only say that because you have been lulled by the seductive evil which stems from this clearly devilish event. To some, the 1939 MGM film signaled a time of imagination. To others, the enlightened scientists were warning us of the perils of the movie. They don't trust their own picture of marriage, remembering how unhappy one or two of its stars were.

It

The Great Divorce Coverup

By Cal Thomas  
Los Angeles Times Syndicate

The biggest cover-up in the last quarter-century has nothing to do with Richard Nixon, Bill Clinton or even politics. It has been the cover-up about the impact divorce has had on a generation of children. Now that cover has been blown by the release of a lengthy study of middle- and upper-middle class families from Marin County, Calif., by sociology professor at Stanford University.

The folks who gave us no fault divorce and tried to persuade us that it mattered not to the children, even when one or both parents were (and) the infidelity, the depression and sadness. Wallerstein says about the divorce experiences of the group studied: "There was no transition, no cushioning of the blow. Their loneliness, their sense that no one was there to take care of them, was over-whelming. Such are the core memories of these adults 25 years later."

During the period that Wallerstein and Lewis conducted their study, the major media and various interest groups were telling us that divorce is normal and that few are profoundly affected. Even there was a case of denial, this was it. Many who wanted to be "sensitive" about the feelings of other categories of humanity (and the animal and plant kingdom) were far less concerned about the impact of the "divorce culture," as author Barbara DaFora Whitehead calls it in her new book of the same name. Children in single-parent families are six times as likely to be poor, not escape without consequence.

The report, a result of a 25-year study, traces the effect divorce has had on 60 families, including 26 very young lives — children aged 2 to 6 when their parents broke up. Far from just the initial impact on children, divorce is a cumulative experience that produces stark emotional scars and shapes the attitudes, behavior and relationships of children into adulthood.

Half of those studied became seriously involved with drugs and alcohol. Many of the children, especially the girls, became sexually active early in adolescence. Though many fathers held degrees in professions that allowed them to make a good living, not one father provided full financial support for his child's college education, and one-fourth stopped sending any financial help after the child turned 18.

Lewis noted that the long-lasting effects of their parents' divorce caused adult children to become "very, very, anxious about marriage (and) fidelity."

They don't trust their own picture of marriage, remembering how unhappy one or both of their parents were (and) the infidelity, the depression and sadness. Wallerstein says about the divorce experiences of the group studied: "There was no transition, no cushioning of the blow. Their loneliness, their sense that no one was there to take care of them, was over-whelming. Such are the core memories of these adults 25 years later."

During the period that Wallerstein and Lewis conducted their study, the major media and various interest groups were telling us that divorce is normal and that few are profoundly affected. Even there was a case of denial, this was it. Many who wanted to be "sensitive" about the feelings of other categories of humanity (and the animal and plant kingdom) were far less concerned about the impact of the "divorce culture," as author Barbara DaFora Whitehead calls it in her new book of the same name. Children in single-parent families are six times as likely to be poor, not escape without consequence.

Some still deny divorce is a catastrophe because many cannot stand to face the reality and consequences of what they've done to themselves, their children and their nation.

If the report by Wallerstein and Lewis had been about business rather than family, the children of divorce would have the right to file a class-action lawsuit — citing breach of contract by their parents.
A Tribute to Mother Theresa

By Kevin McCoy
Contributor

"There are many in the world dying for a piece of bread but many more dying for a little love. The poverty in the West is not only a poverty of loneliness, but also of spirituality. There is a hunger for love, as there is a hunger for God."- Time Magazine.

... Poverty and distress... constitute a threat to peace. We need to tell the poor that they are somebody to us, she told the audience of rich and honored guests."- Time Magazine.

If I were to tell you that these were all statements made by a well known, but now deceased woman, who would you guess was the speaker? I dare say a good many people would say: "why, it must have been Princess Diana." This could not be further from the truth. You see the author of the above excerpts was not cosmetically beautiful. She was not once married to the heir of a royal family. She didn’t wear expensive jewelry or drive an expensive car. In fact, I’m not sure the author of these quotes even owned a car. The author was Mother Theresa. And I feel certain that if she is watching us now, she is shaking her head wondering if her time and energy wouldn’t have been better spent raising wild flowers. At least flowers appreciate it when you water them.

Upon hearing the news of Princess Diana’s death, I was saddened. It seemed like such a waste for a person so pretty and relatively young, with two young children and a man she seemed happy with, to suddenly die. My sadness lasted about five minutes. Then I realized, "they wait a minute," this lady was nobody special. She was beautiful and popular, but not that special. You tell me what is special about a young woman who gets married too young, has two children she’s not ready to handle emotionally, tries to kill herself (yes, I know they were only cries for help), cheats on her husband who is the Prince of England, divorces him, and ends up with a high rolling, high flying acolyte, who leaves a trail of unpaid bills and lawsuits wherever he goes. Is that what we now consider to be special? Is that the case, then perhaps we should anoint as "special" all the people in the world who spend money frivolously, who neglect their children (oh, don’t even try to say that she didn’t!), who frolic in countries all over the world on a whim, and whose faces are pretty enough to sell magazines. I should think that Diana was only one of a whole slew of "special" people. In fact, perhaps it would be unwise to designate the "special" people of the world to hire a research firm to find and point out all the "special" people in the world that we currently are not aware of, so that when they die, we can all mourn incessantly their passing.

I do realize that Diana was a famous person. And yes, her death was tragic. But in her death any more tragic than that of a child who was shot to death? Or is it more tragic than a woman getting raped, or physically abused by her husband? Perhaps it surpasses children starving in Africa, or even in our own back yard? (I know you all have seen the homeless people with shopping carts walking around town from time to time.) Diana was no Saint. In fact she was not even the best known person in the world by any stretch of the imagination. Logic and Morality should tell us that the death of Diana was not more tragic than any of these things. Yet, I wonder why it is that her death was the biggest world-wide spectacle in recent memory. In particular, it is hard to stomach realizing that each of the things I mentioned happened at least once in the span of time between Diana’s death, and the moment her funeral ended. Does anybody know the name of a person who was raped or abused or murdered, or starved to death or contracted AIDS during the last two weeks? Why not? There were probably several hundred (maybe even several thou-
sand), if we lump them all into one group, that fell victim to these troubles, in this country alone during that span.

I will assume that most civilized people will grant me that these awfulafflictions do represent something more tragic than the death of Diana, which is perhaps a little dubious on my part. Yet, I have to wonder in utter amazement why the same people who admit these afflictions are more tragic, showed so little sympathy, caring, and concern when the world’s greatest champion of the sick, injured, and poor died? The death of Mother Theresa was not only tragic, it was cathartic. I have to wonder if people actually realize what this woman did for the world. Put aside the fact that she was a winner of the Noble peace prize. This woman was a nun from a small convent in Calcutta, India, who spoke out on the issue of contraception in the sixties, trying desperately to bring the church into the twentieth century. She worked from the time she was 12. She was 85 when she asked for the care of the dying and the sick. She was a woman who, crushed by want and desolation, live in conditions worthy of human dignity. She founded her own religious order, the Missionaries of Charity, which devoted it’s entire efforts at furthering this cause; and that was only possible after receiving permission from the Vatican to do so, when she (along with several other sisters) proved they were living a life the church would never approve of. She was helping people by living in the streets and begging for food on a daily basis for two years, just so they could live in the same environment as these needy people were accustomed.

Today there are over 4,000 sisters of the Missionaries of Charity, all adorned in white saris with the universally recognizable blue borders, who carry on Mother Theresa’s vision. Now too, there is a corresponding male order that works tirelessly to further the goals set out by her. She created a network of 569 missions over 120 different nations that operate food centers, orphanages, leprosiums, workshops for the unemployed, and refuges for the insane, retarded and aged. And as her work became well known throughout the world, generous donations began to pour in enabling to greatly expand her order’s efforts. Indian Officials say the groups assets today exceed $4 million, which is primarily in real estate.

From the time I was a kid, I remember hearing the name “Mother Theresa,” and thinking that she was some great person who lived in the middle ages, someone who knew Joan of Arc, or something. It was not until I was in high school, I am ashamed to say, that I realized that she was still living and working. I actually got to see her one day in a scene that I play over in my head from time to time. I was living in Atlanta, Ga. and driving down the street one day near my apartment, which was near Piedmont Park in the downtown area, when I came upon two police cars and a few bystanders with television cameras. As I drove by where they were parked, I looked to my left up the driveway of one of the many houses along the street to see a small elderly woman dressed in a white outfit trimmed in blue, walking down the driveway with her hand raised or outstretched as if she was gesturing to me. I had no idea who it was. But when I got home that night I saw on the news the exact snapshot that I had seen of her that day, walking down the driveway with an outstretched hand. It was really quite eerie.

It is simply appalling how the world has shown so little remorse for the loss of this great woman. It is even more appalling that we as law students failed to appreciate it. In the Sept 12-26th edition of The Forum, there was no article on the death of Mother Theresa. There was not even so much as an announcement. (Although I do applaud Malini Goel for injecting a dose of reality with her comment comparing the deaths of Princess Diana and Mother Theresa.) Yet, there were two whole articles, and a column of student reactions to the Princess’ death. Where was the column of reactions over the death of Mother Theresa? Was there not enough interest? Did not enough people know who she was? What? The September 15th edition of Time Magazine was truly a brash wake up call to what the world considers important. Of a magazine composed of 117 pages, the first 76 were devoted to Princess Diana (oh, and of course advertise-
ments). It entailed 6 full fledged articles dealing with Diana and issues surrounding her death, and 5 short reflection articles that served as kind of Time’s own commentary on the articles that they had written! Mother Theresa...I article, and a viewpoint state-
ment. Mother Theresa took up 7 pages. The September 7, Sunday edition of the Videote Times had an entire section of the newspaper devoted to Diana’s death...an entire section! Mother Theresa got 3/4 of a single page! Am I the only person who sees something wrong with this picture?

The television was much worse. Every single morning for almost a week, the Today Show was broadcasting from London so they could keep us up to date on what was transpiring. I do recall seeing a little segment about Mother Theresa during the news portion of the show. Even CNN was preoccupied with Diana and the uprooting events surrounding her death and funeral. I did finally get to see more than just a headline about Mother Theresa though. It was on the History channel, if I recall correctly, at about 11 pm. None of the networks ever had more than simple news information about her or her death. There was no live coverage. There were no in-depth inter-
views. News anchors were not sent to Calcutta to host their shows from there. Her death simply came and went, as if it never happened.

As far as I am concerned, Mother Theresa is far and away the greatest person of our times. Neither has the average person ever heard of her. I can’t believe that you do not have to do your own research to find out about her. I do not believe that Mother Theresa’s work should be seen as such. Regardless of whether you are Catholic or Protestant, whether you are rich or poor, you must appreciate the unbelievable accomplishments of this small woman from Calcutta.

As a final note, this whole episode has been made more distasteful by the noticeable lack of one simple phrase that seems utterly appropriate in light of Mother Theresa’s death. I have not heard one person, newspaper or magazine article, t.v. program, or even enough any religious person or activist utter that phrase...So now let me just make it say, not that it makes up for the way people in general have behaved, or for an appalling lack of respect and reverence for what Mother Theresa accomplished, but because it is what you say to someone who has given you something for which you are truly appreciative. Mother Theresa...thank you.

Editor’s Note: The Forum regrets the fact that Mother Theresa’s death was not covered in the last issue. However, at the time of her death, The Forum was already in production and time constraints prohibited any change to the publication. The Forum recognizes Mother Theresa as a woman of charity, kindness, and compassion. We would welcome additional submissions reflecting on her life.
Cambridge: A Summer to Remember

By Amy Alderink
Contributor

For more than a month now, I have been trying to write an article that expresses to the rest of the VUSL how amazing my experience in England was this past summer. But every time I sit down to type, I get a whimsical smile on my face and can’t think of a thing to say. I asked my fellow travelers for their thoughts and impressions and got much of the same response. To write about everything we did, saw and learned would take volumes, so I will attempt to recount a few of the highlights.

We began our summer in London England comparing the history of English law and our own legal system. From the moment our planes landed Ed Gaffney began leading us on a whirlwind tour of London beginning at the American Embassy. Our studies of English law were enhanced by visits to Parliament, the Old Bailey, the Inns of the Court (to which all attorneys must belong) and various other Legal institutions. I particularly enjoyed the Old Bailey, the Criminal Court, where the lawyers wear the traditional powdered wig and robe and the judges wear a suit. I tried to snag one of these wigs as a souvenir, but the price tag was a little too steep for this shopper.

After the hectic week in London we (over) packed up the bus and moved on to Cambridge. Our first week involved a lot of class time with Professor Levinson, as she tried to prep us for the coming lecture with Justice Ginsberg. Justice Ginsberg lectured on the evolution in sexual discrimination cases and gave a personal twist to the cases we have read, as she had gotten very involved with the people for whose rights she had fought.

For the rest of our stay, we attended class Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, with the rest of the week to travel. As each weekend rolled around, Valpo students departed for destinations all over Europe and the British Isles. Scotland, with its awe inspiring scenery and the oldest golf course in the world, ranked high on everyone’s list of favorite destinations.

The city of Cambridge with its ornate colleges and chapels, museums, parks, pubs and the River Cam was a great setting for our studies. As much as I enjoyed traveling, some of my favorite memories of the trip are from the fun we had in Cambridge. Watching Jeff Barkes fall in the river, a 4th of July game of American football, an organized debate over which was the best pub in town, daily shopping trips and hanging out in the park are all memories I will cherish forever.

Here are a few of the response I received when I asked others about their Cambridge experiences.

Rita Wiess- “It was a wonderful experience, I’d recommend the trip to anyone.”

Kevin “the Rabbi” McCoy- “Much can be learned in law school, but a great deal of knowledge can be found between the lip and the bottom of a beer glass.”

Scott “Pillow” Andresen- “It is amazing how much can be learned while sitting on a stool in a pub.” (referring to local culture)

Carri “Critter” Crider- “You never know who is going to have to go to the Betty Ford clinic. Cheers.”

Professor “Gun Slinger” Geisinger was unable to make a statement as he is still recovering from the trauma of the trip.

“What did you like most about the trip?”

Susan “Euchre Nazi” Gainey- “Being able to talk with Ruth Bader Ginsberg is an experience I will never forget.”

Sara “Squirt” Quirt- “Studying in the park.”

Andrew “little town man trying to be big city man” Grossnickel - “Amsterdam.”

Matthew “Jethro” Hatfield- “Getting to know many of my classmates and professors.”

VUSL Students with Justice Ginsburg at Trinity College, Cambridge.
Alec Fest '97

By Alec Palsgraff
columnist extraordinaire

I love the American Festival phenomenon: Double the population of a town for a
day, cram everyone in a four block by four block square and eat fried food in the name
of a local agricultural product.

Not that eating fried food alone isn't a good enough reason for getting together, but
why the pretense? We don't care about popcorn or pork, unless we're at a theater or a
barbecue. (I do love a good ham hock at the movies.) Let's face it, how many popcorn
booths did you see at Popcorn Fest? I propose a change of title to "Dried Flower Fest."
Maybe "Elephant Ear Fest" would be even more appropriate. There were more elephant
ears in Valparaiso than in the entire history of the Serengeti.

Finally, let me ask a simple question: Fried Vegetables? Hmm, they
were healthy just a minute ago. Yeah, I washed those right down with a Diet Coke
and felt like kicking sand in the face of Charles Atlas, Joe Weider and The Juice Man.

Beef: It's what's for dinner. Pork: The other white meat. Shark:
It tastes like swordfish.
Some Job Hunting Strategies

By Gail Pesel
Director of Career Services

The Cattle Herd Approach (Not recommended.)

Anxious and concerned about being left behind, you follow the rush of students signing up for on-campus interviews without considering whether the employers, employment conditions, or types of employment really appeal to you.

The Shotgun Approach (Not recommended.)

Applying to any and all employers in many cities in the hope that a job offer will be made.

The Ostrich Approach (Not recommended.)

Ignoring or putting off the job search in the hope that the employment market will improve or a suitable job will suddenly materialize.

The Focused Approach - Recommended!

Follow a career plan that leads to a focused job search. Forming such a plan requires:

- identifying your strengths and weaknesses, likes and dislikes, interests and needs,
- researching types of work and kinds of employers by:

- talking to Anita, Lisa and Gail in Career Services,
- attending career seminars,
- talking with attorneys about practice areas (attend the Practice Tracks Program on November 8),
- talking with your law school professors about attorneys they know, and
- reading job postings on the bulletin board and in periodicals (in career services),

- assessing your target market by:
  - talking with practitioners and friends in your targeted area,
  - reviewing career services materials about cities
  - talking with Career Services staff,
  - talking with your law professors, and
  - reading newspapers published in your targeted area.

Devoting the time to thoroughly assess your interests will pay dividends in your job search. Your cover letters will be targeted to particular employers and your letter will specifically state why you are writing and why you are the best candidate for a position. In an interview you will be prepared to communicate your specific interest and convince the employer that you are well qualified for the position.

THE FOOD DUDETTTE

RESTAURANT NAME: Strongbow Inn

RESTAURANT ADDRESS: 2405 US 30 East, Valparaiso

RESTAURANT PHONE #: 219/462-5121

OVERALL RATING: A-
FOOD QUALITY: A
VALUE FOR $: B
ATMOSPHERE: A
SERVICE: A

AVERAGE ENTREE PRICE: Lunch: $6-8, Dinner: $11-15

COMMENTS: The first thing you’ll notice about this local favorite is the cleanliness of the place. Even the most finicky patron would be hard-pressed to find so much as a water spot on a fork here. The waitstaff is friendly, accommodating, and very efficient. Strongbow’s is known for its turkey dishes, which make up the majority of the menu. There are turkey pot pies (which seem small but are more filling than they look), traditional turkey dinners, and even a turkey schnitzel (my personal favorite). However, even if you don’t like turkey there will probably be something here to please you. There are some great-looking seafood and veal choices. The restaurant itself is large enough for private parties, and there is an ample non-smoking section. My only criticism is that many of the entrees do not come with appropriate side dishes. If you order turkey, make sure to order a separate side of mashed potatoes and corn (fresh off the cob) if it doesn’t already come with your meal; it’s well worth the extra couple dollars. Dessert specials are great and usually include something for the chocoholic in all of us.

RESTAURANT NAME: Pass Times

RESTAURANT ADDRESS: 175 W. Lincolnway, Valparaiso

RESTAURANT PHONE #: 219/462-3786

OVERALL RATING: B+
FOOD QUALITY: B+
VALUE FOR $: B+
ATMOSPHERE: B
SERVICE: A-

AVERAGE ENTREE PRICE: Dinner - $6-9

COMMENTS: This hard-to-find bar/restaurant has an extensive beer list, and great casual food. Pass Times is uniquely located right inside a quaint office suite. The menu itself could be a little more diverse. It seemed like the list of appetizers was longer than the entree menu. But then this is more of a bar than a gourmet restaurant, and the food they do serve is delicious. The no-frills grilled chicken sandwich is top-notch, as are the steak sandwiches. If you’re not expecting anything fancy but just want great, simple food you’ll love it here. The bar features a wide assortment of domestic and imported beers for any occasion, and this is what Pass Times is really known for. There are sometimes specials on appetizers at the bar, which is small but usually not very crowded. The service is great, both at the tables and at the bar.

Visit the Food Dudette online at: http://www.gourmeltimes.com/6447/6447
Law students and their animal friends

By Marianne Manheim and Malini Goel

Meet "Alexander the Great", Trish Tolley's Great Pyrenees (95 pounds of dog). Born to father, Philip II, he was one of eight canine sons born to the great ruler. Alexander's mother died in child-birth, which forced him to be strong and "great" on his own. When Alex was a pup, the family children where he lived used to sleep in the same bed as him and his siblings. So until this day, Alexander loves children and goes out of his way to pay attention to them. Alexander is as great as his name implies.

Meet Caesar, Julius Caesar the Conqueror, to be precise. (That's the name on his birth certificate.) Caesar is Malini Goel's Yellow Labrador Retriever and was born to Mom, "Lusty Lily" and Dad, "Buckwheat." Caesar was always a good friend. When I was in high school, we played softball and frisbee. He also used to be a great swimmer and good dive downs 9 1/2 feet in the pool to get a flipper. He should have been on David Letterman's Stupid Pet Tricks. Caesar and I weighed the same (93 pounds)...until Law School. After 13 years, he is now becoming a stately old gentleman. At the human age of 91, he is the old wise man of the family. He sneaks in our beds in the night, especially when it thunders.

Incidentally, Caesar was married to my brother's dog, "Bybis" (don't ask) who died in September 1996. It's important to mention Byb because she was a legend. Byb went to college with my brother so she technically has a degree in Economics. Caesar and Byb, like all married couples had their own distinct personalities. You were lucky if, after screaming Bybis' name ten times, she glanced at you and maybe lifted her tail half an inch. During other moments, she could get quite excited, like the time she went straight for the mailman's.....well......you know. When Caesar was young he used to get so excited he would knock coffee cups off the table with his tail.

If you would like to appear in The Forum with your pet and tell about a funny story about the two of you, drop a note in locker #248 or #123.

WHAT ONLY THE LAWYERS BAR REVIEW OFFERS YOU FOR THE INDANA BAR EXAM

• Guaranteed up-to-date presentations with videotapes available to check-out for make-up sessions
• Enough confidence to offer a Moneuy-Back Guarantee
• Two Mock Mini Bar Exams with personal review of your legal writing by a former member of the Board of Law Examiners to make sure you're ready to pass the Bar Exam
• FREE MPRE REVIEW
• Updated, user-friendly and uniform outline materials organized in 3-ring binders with topic area tabs for your convenience
• Presenters who have all taken and passed the Indiana Bar Exam
• Presenter and Review Board member who is a former member of the Indiana Board of Law Examiners and who helped write and grade the Indiana Bar Exam for more than 15 years
• More than 20 experienced presenters who are premier practitioners and actually practice in the areas they will present to you
• Each set of Outline Materials includes a summary outline, a one-page "big picture" narrative, a comprehensive outline, key points after each section and a chart detailing the issues covered on past bar exams
• Unique "how-to" presentations that synthesize the Materials and focus on relevant issues
• A booklet of old bar exams and model answers organized by subj ect areas for your convenience

FOR THE MOST IMPORTANT EXAM OF YOUR LIFE, CHOOSE THE BEST!

ONLY THE LAWYERS BAR REVIEW OFFERS YOU THE BEST!

EARLY REGISTRATION DISCOUNT ENDS OCTOBER 31, 1997

The LAWYERS BAR REVIEW
12176 Crestwood Drive
Carmel, IN 46033

317-848-1141 or 800-595-5523/317-848-9955 (fax)