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THE NOMENCLATURAL STATUS OF SAPERDA INORNATA SAY
(COLEOPTERA: CERAMBYCIDAE)

John C. Nord1 and Fred B. Knight2

Confusion as to the identity and proper name of our common Saperda on trembling aspen, Populus tremuloides Michaux, in eastern North America should be clarified. The confusion has arisen from three reasons: (1) LeConte failed to distinguish S. concolor LeC. (1852) from S. inornata Say (1824); (2) Say's type material was destroyed or lost which makes verification impossible; and (3) S. inornata varies from light to dark in overall appearance. The former two points are discussed here and the latter will be covered in a separate article.

Authors since LeConte's time have used a variety of binominal and trinominal designations for this species. In 1924 J. O. Martin suggested that the name inornata, which had been placed in the Genus Megas, really belonged in the Genus Saperda and that S. inornata should be reinstated replacing LeConte's concolor which Martin, followed by Breuning (1952), considered a synonym of inornata. Despite Martin's suggestion, S. concolor is in common use today. However, a search of the literature produced evidence which substantiates Martin's claim that inornata must stand (Nord, 1968). A summary of this search is presented here in detail and a neotype has been proposed so that the nomenclature will be stabilized (Nord and Knight, 1970).

LITERATURE SURVEY

GENUS SAPERDA FABRICIUS.—The genus Saperda was erected by Fabricius in 1775. He included 16 species living mostly in angiospermous trees in Europe, "America" and New Zealand. He characterized the genus as follows:

"Palpi filiformes.
Maxilla Membranacea, bifida.
Labium cordatum, truncatum.
Antennae setaceae."

The type species of the genus, Cerambyx scalaris Linnaeus, 1758, was first designated by Curtis (1829). The exact location of the type specimen of S. scalaris—if indeed it does exist—is unknown, but Linne's main collection belongs to the Linnean Society of London. According to Usinger (1964) "a small number, mainly of species described in 1764...are in the Zoological Institute of the University, Uppsala. One or possibly two Linnean types could also be discovered in the collections of the Swedish Riksmuseum, Stockholm." Zimsen (1964) gives the location of Fabricius' type material. Most of the type material for the 76 species of Saperda which he described is located in various European museums.

Felt and Joutel's (1904) monograph on the genus dealt mainly with North American species. They discussed the morphology of these species and their relationships and made some comparisons with European species. Breuning's revision (1952) covered the tribe Saperdini and included all described species of Saperda. He recognized 37 species and numerous subspecies and morpae from Europe, North Africa, northern and eastern Asia, and North America, including Saperda inornata Say from Nevada eastward in the United States.

S. INORNATA SAY.—S. inornata was described in 1824 by Say as follows:

"4. S. inornata. Black, covered with cinereous hair; antennae annulate; elytra entire.
Inhabits Missouri.
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Body black, immaculate, cylindrical, covered with short, prostrate hair, which conceals the punctures: palpi black: antennae rather shorter than the body, and, excepting the basal joints, annulate, with cinereous and black: thorax cylindric, diameters subequal: elytra entire and subacute at tip, which is equally attenuated from the suture and exterior margins. Length less than nine-twentieths of an inch."

Say also noted that "the thorax is entirely destitute of glabrous spots." Most of Say's type material has been destroyed or lost as explained below.

S. CONCOLOR LeConTe.—S. concolor was described in 1852 by LeConte from one specimen (host unknown) collected in Santa Fe, New Mexico. The original description follows:

"S. concolor, nigra, dense cinereo-pubescent, thorace lineis tribus densius pubescentibus, elytris punctatis, breviter cinereo villosus, antennis nigro-annulatis, basi nigris, tarsis articulo ultimo brevissimo. Long. .47."

The claws are simple according to his description of the genus. The type is in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. LeConte (1852) was aware of S. inornata, for he included it in his paper and said that "this is possibly the male of S. concolor Lec."

Felt and Joutel (1904) recognized two geographic variants of S. concolor: var. concolor, the western form which included the type (Santa Fe, New Mexico) and other specimens from New Mexico, Arizona, and Idaho; and var. unicolor, the eastern form from midwestern and northeastern U.S. and Canada. The type of var. unicolor is from Dover, Norfolk County, Massachusetts and is in the American Museum of Natural History.

They described var. concolor as "black, finely punctulate, and with numerous small, shallow punctures; entirely covered by a dense gray or yellowish gray pubescence except at the top of the thorax, where it is less dense, thus giving it a darker appearance and increasing the effect of the lateral band; a slight median line on the thorax; antennae black, annulated with gray." Variety unicolor was described as "like type, but pubescence uniformly dark gray and finer. The punctures are much more numerous than the type and are apt to be confluent." In their key, they state that the lateral stripe on the prothorax of var. unicolor is wanting or nearly so.

MECAS INORNATA (Say).—In 1878 Horn placed Saperda inornata Say in the Genus Mecas. He did not mention specifically why he put inornata in Mecas. Although he said that he had studied LeConte’s and Haldeman’s types in preparing the paper, he did not mention seeing Say’s type material of inornata.

In his key, Horn (1878) described M. inornata as follows:

"Body above concolorous. Legs black. Thorax usually with two feeble callosities. Body above uniformly clothed with cinereous pubescence . . . inornata."

In the text, Horn (1878) added, "The callosities of the thorax which are feeble at best may be wanting," and he placed M. saturnina Lec. as a synonym.

In 1924 Martin stated that LeConte (1852) did not differentiate concolor from inornata. He emphasized the fact that Say (1824) said that there was no trace of thoracic callosities. Because of the latter statement and the fact that concolor otherwise agreed with Say’s description, Martin thought that Say’s inornata belonged in Saperda, not Mecas. On the basis of LeConte’s description, Martin called concolor a synonym of inornata Say. He proposed the name Mecas bicallosa for the species of Mecas which had been called inornata by Horn (1878).

Breuning (1952), in his revision of the Saperdini, placed inornata Say in the Genus Saperda and called concolor LeConte a synonym; concolor var. unicolor Felt and Joutel was listed as a subspecies.

SAY’S TYPE MATERIAL.—Thomas Say collected S. inornata in “Missouri” on Long’s expedition to the Rocky Mountains in 1819-20. In a letter to John F. Melsheimer in 1821 (Fox, 1901), Say stated that the insects collected on this expedition were ordered
by John C. Calhoun, Secretary of War, to be deposited in Peale’s Museum in Philadelphia. This was presumably done since Dr. T. W. Harris of Harvard (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931) found none of the “Rocky Mountain” specimens in Say’s personal collection in 1836 when he catalogued it. The Peale’s Museum collection was sold in 1842 to P. T. Barnum and Moses Kimball (Barnum, 1855). Half of it went to Barnum’s American Museum in New York City and the other half went to Kimball’s Boston Museum. Barnum’s Museum burned to the ground in 1865 with everything in it (Barnum, 1883). The Boston Museum, which was a combination museum and stock theater was presenting plays and apparently had displays until about 1900 (McGlinchee, 1940). The whereabouts of the Boston Museum collection after 1900 is unknown. To which museum the Say “Missouri” collection might have gone is also unknown.

LeConte in 1859, in the preface to his compilation of Say’s writings (1859a) and in the preface to another paper (1859b), stated that Say’s original specimens had been entirely destroyed. T. W. Harris in 1836 found Say’s personal collection to be almost entirely destroyed by dermestids (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). Fox (1892) stated that only one of Say’s types remained in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia where his personal collection was finally deposited in 1842 (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). This was Chionabas semidea [= Hipparchia semidea Say]. The Melsheimer collection in the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University, which LeConte said contained “the only authentic types of many of Mr. Say’s species” (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931), did not contain the type of inornata or of S. pergrata Say, the species described by Say immediately following inornata (John F. Lawrence, in conversation). The collection of the Boston Society of Natural History did not contain the inornata type either (Prof. Arthur G. Humes, Boston University, in conversation). It is the conclusion of the authors that the Say types have either been destroyed or lost.

DISCUSSION

LeConte (1852) did not distinguish his S. concolor from S. inornata Say. In fact, he said that inornata might be the male of concolor. There is only one characteristic of Say’s description that does not agree entirely with LeConte’s description of concolor: Say stated inornata was immaculate, or destitute of spots or marks; LeConte’s concolor had three dense pubescent lines on the thorax (pronotum). Say may have been referring to the absence of spots or calllosities which were present on the pronotum of the species he described next, S. pergrata [= Mecas pergrata (Say)]. On the other hand, although these pronotal lines to which LeConte referred are usually present in specimens collected in North America, they are also faint or absent on many specimens. Therefore, Say’s one specimen could have been different from LeConte’s one specimen in this respect and still represent the same species. In the opinion of the authors, LeConte did not adequately distinguish concolor from inornata and the two names probably apply to the same species.

It is apparent that Horn, who collaborated with LeConte and later carried on his work, did not see Say’s types either; and therefore he had no justification for putting inornata in Genus Mecas. In distinguishing M. inornata Say from M. saturnina Lec., Horn (1888) made the following statement: “The specimens which served [italics added] as the types of Mecas inornata Say and M. saturnina Lec. and which formed the basis of my study of that genus are identical.” Although Horn made this statement in 1888, from which one might infer that he saw Say’s specimen of inornata, there is evidence elsewhere that he did not. Blanchard (1887) pointed out to Horn the difference between the two specimens and mentioned that he and Dr. Horn looked at the LeConte [italics added] specimens of inornata—not Say specimens. Furthermore, Blanchard gives the range of inornata as “Dak., Kans., Tex.” not mentioning Missouri, the locality of the Say specimen. Therefore, one can conclude that a LeConte specimen served as the “type” for M. inornata (Say) in Horn’s (1878) study, not Say’s specimen. This, together with LeConte’s comments on the destruction of the Say types (1859a, 1859b), seems to point to the fact that Horn never saw Say’s types either. It follows then that not having seen
Say's specimen of *inornata*, Horn had no justification whatsoever in putting *inornata* in the Genus *Mecas*.

In summary, therefore, *Saperda inornata* Say should remain in *Saperda* and should stand, by reason of priority, as the name for our common eastern species on *Populus tremuloides*; *S. concolor* LeConte is a synonym of *inornata*, if it actually applies to the same species.

It is highly probable that Say's type material has been destroyed or at least lost according to published accounts and the author's recent correspondence. Therefore, a neotype should be designated and a formal application to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature proposing a neotype has been filed. The type of *S. concolor* var. *unicolor* Felt and Joutel has been proposed as the neotype of *S. inornata*. The acceptance of this neotype will make *unicolor* a synonym of *inornata* Say and will clear up one of the persisting nomenclatural problems. This specimen was selected as the neotype of *inornata* for the following reasons: (1) The locality of the original type is impossible to determine, even to the state, and therefore it would not be possible to select a more western specimen with any more confidence—in fact, with less confidence of conspecific identity. The published locality is Missouri, which was Missouri Territory in 1819-1820 (Paullin, 1932); and according to the map of Say's travels (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931), it could have been found in any one of the present states of Missouri, Iowa (southwestern), Nebraska, Colorado (eastern), Kansas, or Oklahoma (north central). Furthermore, most of Say's notebooks, which probably contained more precise locations, were stolen among other belongings of the expedition by three soldiers on August 31, 1820, and never recovered (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). (2) Most authors have felt this varietal name indicated the same species as *inornata* Say, although they did not designate a neotype, and therefore by usage *unicolor* has become a junior synonym. (3) The *unicolor* type is like most of the individuals examined in this study which have been collected east of the Rocky Mountains (where Say's specimen of *inornata* was found) including some from eastern Colorado, eastern Wyoming, Kansas, Iowa, and North Dakota, and it is the type of the eastern variety recognized by Felt and Joutel (1904). In particular, this type matches the light specimens reared from *P. tremuloides* by the authors.

LeConte's type specimen of *concolor* from New Mexico is inappropriate because it differs from specimens found east of the Rocky Mountains in two respects and may represent yet another species: (1) Specimens from Tempe, Arizona, which were very similar to the *concolor* type according to Dr. John F. Lawrence (in correspondence), have much denser and more lightly colored pubescence distributed over more parts of the body such as legs, scapes of the antennae and mouth parts; and (2) the punctures on the elytra are smaller and shallower than in eastern specimens, and they are scattered instead of partly confluent and partly contiguous.

**THE NEOTYPE OF SAPERDA INORNATA SAY**

The holotype of *Saperda concolor* var. *unicolor* Felt and Joutel (1904), which was examined by the authors, has been proposed as the neotype of *Saperda inornata* Say. It is located in the collection of the American Museum of Natural History, New York City. It has been given the type number 147. The original specimen labels read: "Dovr. N. Co. Mass. 6-8-95"; and "*Saperda concolor* var. *unicolor*, Type." The tray label reads: "*Saperda concolor* unicolor F & J." The location is probably Dover, Norfolk County, Massachusetts. This specimen is like Felt and Joutel's (1904) description. It is a female, 10.5 mm long and 3.0 mm across the bases of the elytra. It agrees with Say's description of *inornata* except that the pronotum has three faint longitudinal lines, and the elytra are not equally attenuated from the suture and exterior margins.

Both of these latter characteristics were found to be variable among conspecific individuals raised from the same host species in the same area in this study. The pronotal lines may be pronounced, faint, or absent, and the elytral tips vary from subequally attenuated to asymmetrically attenuated. Many of the specimens reared from *Populus*...
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