

The Great Lakes Entomologist

Volume 4
Number 2 -- Summer 1971 *Number 2 -- Summer*
1971

Article 1

July 2017

The Nomenclatural Status of *Saperda Inordata* Say (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)

John C. Nord
USDA Forest Service, Athens, Georgia

Fred B. Knight
The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle>



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Nord, John C. and Knight, Fred B. 2017. "The Nomenclatural Status of *Saperda Inordata* Say (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)," *The Great Lakes Entomologist*, vol 4 (2)
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22543/0090-0222.1140>
Available at: <https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol4/iss2/1>

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in *The Great Lakes Entomologist* by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

THE NOMENCLATRURAL STATUS OF *SAPERDA INORNATA* SAY
(COLEOPTERA: CERAMBYCIDAE)

John C. Nord¹ and Fred B. Knight²

Confusion as to the identity and proper name of our common *Saperda* on trembling aspen, *Populus tremuloides* Michaux, in eastern North America should be clarified. The confusion has arisen from three reasons: (1) LeConte failed to distinguish *S. concolor* Lec. (1852) from *S. inornata* Say (1824); (2) Say's type material was destroyed or lost which makes verification impossible; and (3) *S. inornata* varies from light to dark in overall appearance. The former two points are discussed here and the latter will be covered in a separate article.

Authors since LeConte's time have used a variety of binominal and trinominal designations for this species. In 1924 J. O. Martin suggested that the name *inornata*, which had been placed in the Genus *Mecas*, really belonged in the Genus *Saperda* and that *S. inornata* should be reinstated replacing LeConte's *concolor* which Martin, followed by Breuning (1952), considered a synonym of *inornata*. Despite Martin's suggestion, *S. concolor* is in common use today. However, a search of the literature produced evidence which substantiates Martin's claim that *inornata* must stand (Nord, 1968). A summary of this search is presented here in detail and a neotype has been proposed so that the nomenclature will be stabilized (Nord and Knight, 1970).

LITERATURE SURVEY

GENUS *SAPERDA* FABRICIUS.—The genus *Saperda* was erected by Fabricius in 1775. He included 16 species living mostly in angiospermous trees in Europe, "America" and New Zealand. He characterized the genus as follows:

"*Palpi* filiformes.
Maxilla Membranacea, bifida.
Labium cordatum, truncatum.
Antennae setaceae."

The type species of the genus, *Cerambyx scalaris* Linnaeus, 1758, was first designated by Curtis (1829). The exact location of the type specimen of *S. scalaris*—if indeed it does exist—is unknown, but Linne's main collection belongs to the Linnean Society of London. According to Usinger (1964) "a small number, mainly of species described in 1764 . . . are in the Zoological Institute of the University, Uppsala. One or possibly two Linnean 'types' could also be discovered in the collections of the Swedish Riksmuseum, Stockholm." Zimsen (1964) gives the location of Fabricius' type material. Most of the type material for the 76 species of *Saperda* which he described is located in various European museums.

Felt and Joutel's (1904) monograph on the genus dealt mainly with North American species. They discussed the morphology of these species and their relationships and made some comparisons with European species. Breuning's revision (1952) covered the tribe Saperdini and included all described species of *Saperda*. He recognized 37 species and numerous subspecies and morphae from Europe, North Africa, northern and eastern Asia, and North America, including *Saperda inornata* Say from Nevada eastward in the United States.

S. INORNATA SAY.—*S. inornata* was described in 1824 by Say as follows:

"4. *S. inornata*. Black, covered with cinereous hair; antennae annulate; elytra entire.
Inhabits Missouri.

¹USDA, Forest Service, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Carlton Street, Athens, Georgia 30601.

²Department of Forestry, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104.

Body black, immaculate, cylindrical, covered with short, prostrate hair, which conceals the punctures; *palpi* black; *antennae* rather shorter than the body, and, excepting the basal joints, annulate, with cinereous and black; *thorax* cylindrical, diameters subequal; *elytra* entire and subacute at tip, which is equally attenuated from the suture and exterior margins.

Length less than nine-twentieths of an inch."

Say also noted that "the thorax is entirely destitute of glabrous spots." Most of Say's type material has been destroyed or lost as explained below.

S. CONCOLOR LeCONTE.—*S. concolor* was described in 1852 by LeConte from one specimen (host unknown) collected in Santa Fe, New Mexico. The original description follows:

"*S. concolor*, nigra, dense cinereo-pubescentis, thorace lineis tribus densius pubescentibus, elytris punctatis, breviter cinereo villosus, antennis nigro-annulatis, basi nigris, tarsis articulo ultimo brevissimo. Long. .47."

The claws are simple according to his description of the genus. The type is in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. LeConte (1852) was aware of *S. inornata*, for he included it in his paper and said that "this is possibly the male of *S. concolor* Lec."

Felt and Joutel (1904) recognized two geographic variants of *S. concolor*: var. *concolor*, the western form which included the type (Santa Fe, New Mexico) and other specimens from New Mexico, Arizona, and Idaho; and var. *unicolor*, the eastern form from midwestern and northeastern U.S. and Canada. The type of var. *unicolor* is from Dover, Norfolk County, Massachusetts and is in the American Museum of Natural History.

They described var. *concolor* as "black, finely punctulate, and with numerous small, shallow punctures; entirely covered by a dense gray or yellowish gray pubescence except at the top of the thorax, where it is less dense, thus giving it a darker appearance and increasing the effect of the lateral band; a slight median line on the thorax; antennae black, annulated with gray." Variety *unicolor* was described as "like type, but pubescence uniformly dark gray and finer. The punctures are much more numerous than the type and are apt to be confluent." In their key, they state that the lateral stripe on the prothorax of var. *unicolor* is wanting or nearly so.

MECAS INORNATA (SAY).—In 1878 Horn placed *Saperda inornata* Say in the Genus *Mecas*. He did not mention specifically why he put *inornata* in *Mecas*. Although he said that he had studied LeConte's and Haldeman's types in preparing the paper, he did not mention seeing Say's type material of *inornata*. In his key, Horn (1878) described *M. inornata* as follows:

"Body above concolorous.

Legs black. Thorax usually with two feeble callosities.

Body above uniformly clothed with cinereous pubescence . . . *inornata*."

In the text, Horn (1878) added, "The callosities of the thorax which are feeble at best may be wanting," and he placed *M. saturnina* Lec. as a synonym.

In 1924 Martin stated that LeConte (1852) did not differentiate *concolor* from *inornata*. He emphasized the fact that Say (1824) said that there was no trace of thoracic callosities. Because of the latter statement and the fact that *concolor* otherwise agreed with Say's description, Martin thought that Say's *inornata* belonged in *Saperda*, not *Mecas*. On the basis of LeConte's description, Martin called *concolor* a synonym of *inornata* Say. He proposed the name *Mecas bicallosa* for the species of *Mecas* which had been called *inornata* by Horn (1878).

Breuning (1952), in his revision of the Saperdini, placed *inornata* Say in the Genus *Saperda* and called *concolor* LeConte a synonym; *concolor* var. *unicolor* Felt and Joutel was listed as a subspecies.

SAY'S TYPE MATERIAL.—Thomas Say collected *S. inornata* in "Missouri" on Long's expedition to the Rocky Mountains in 1819-20. In a letter to John F. Melsheimer in 1821 (Fox, 1901), Say stated that the insects collected on this expedition were ordered

by John C. Calhoun, Secretary of War, to be deposited in Peale's Museum in Philadelphia. This was presumably done since Dr. T. W. Harris of Harvard (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931) found none of the "Rocky Mountain" specimens in Say's personal collection in 1836 when he catalogued it. The Peale's Museum collection was sold in 1842 to P. T. Barnum and Moses Kimball (Barnum, 1855). Half of it went to Barnum's American Museum in New York City and the other half went to Kimball's Boston Museum. Barnum's Museum burned to the ground in 1865 with everything in it (Barnum, 1883). The Boston Museum, which was a combination museum and stock theater was presenting plays and apparently had displays until about 1900 (McGlinchey, 1940). The whereabouts of the Boston Museum collection after 1900 is unknown. To which museum the Say "Missouri" collection might have gone is also unknown.

LeConte in 1859, in the preface to his compilation of Say's writings (1859a) and in the preface to another paper (1859b), stated that Say's original specimens had been entirely destroyed. T. W. Harris in 1836 found Say's personal collection to be almost entirely destroyed by dermestids (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). Fox (1892) stated that only one of Say's types remained in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia where his personal collection was finally deposited in 1842 (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). This was *Chionabas semidea* [= *Hipparchia semidea* Say]. The Melsheimer collection in the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University, which LeConte said contained "the only authentic types of many of Mr. Say's species" (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931), did not contain the type of *inornata* or of *S. pergrata* Say, the species described by Say immediately following *inornata* (John F. Lawrence, in conversation). The collection of the Boston Society of Natural History did not contain the *inornata* type either (Prof. Arthur G. Humes, Boston University, in conversation). It is the conclusion of the authors that the Say types have either been destroyed or lost.

DISCUSSION

LeConte (1852) did not distinguish his *S. concolor* from *S. inornata* Say. In fact, he said that *inornata* might be the male of *concolor*. There is only one characteristic of Say's description that does not agree entirely with LeConte's description of *concolor*: Say stated *inornata* was immaculate, or destitute of spots or marks; LeConte's *concolor* had three dense pubescent lines on the thorax (pronotum). Say may have been referring to the absence of spots or callosities which were present on the pronotum of the species he described next, *S. pergrata* [= *Mecas pergrata* (Say)]. On the other hand, although these pronotal lines to which LeConte referred are usually present in specimens collected in North America, they are also faint or absent on many specimens. Therefore, Say's one specimen could have been different from LeConte's one specimen in this respect and still represent the same species. In the opinion of the authors, LeConte did not adequately distinguish *concolor* from *inornata* and the two names probably apply to the same species.

It is apparent that Horn, who collaborated with LeConte and later carried on his work, did not see Say's types either; and therefore he had no justification for putting *inornata* in Genus *Mecas*. In distinguishing *M. inornata* Say from *M. saturnina* Lec., Horn (1888) made the following statement: "The specimens which served [italics added] as the types of *Mecas inornata* Say and *M. saturnina* Lec. and which formed the basis of my study of that genus are identical." Although Horn made this statement in 1888, from which one might infer that he saw Say's specimen of *inornata*, there is evidence elsewhere that he did not. Blanchard (1887) pointed out to Horn the difference between the two specimens and mentioned that he and Dr. Horn looked at the LeConte [italics added] specimens of *inornata*—not Say specimens. Furthermore, Blanchard gives the range of *inornata* as "Dak., Kans., Tex." not mentioning Missouri, the locality of the Say specimen. Therefore, one can conclude that a LeConte specimen served as the "type" for *M. inornata* (Say) in Horn's (1878) study, not Say's specimen. This, together with LeConte's comments on the destruction of the Say types (1859a, 1859b), seems to point to the fact that Horn never saw Say's types either. It follows then that not having seen

Say's specimen of *inornata*, Horn had no justification whatsoever in putting *inornata* in the Genus *Mecas*.

In summary, therefore, *Saperda inornata* Say should remain in *Saperda* and should stand, by reason of priority, as the name for our common eastern species on *Populus tremuloides*; *S. concolor* LeConte is a synonym of *inornata*, if it actually applies to the same species.

It is highly probable that Say's type material has been destroyed or at least lost according to published accounts and the author's recent correspondence. Therefore, a neotype should be designated and a formal application to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature proposing a neotype has been filed. The type of *S. concolor* var. *unicolor* Felt and Joutel has been proposed as the neotype of *S. inornata*. The acceptance of this neotype will make *unicolor* a synonym of *inornata* Say and will clear up one of the persisting nomenclatural problems. This specimen was selected as the neotype of *inornata* for the following reasons: (1) The locality of the original type is impossible to determine, even to the state, and therefore it would not be possible to select a more western specimen with any more confidence—in fact, with less confidence of conspecific identity. The published locality is Missouri, which was Missouri Territory in 1819-1820 (Paullin, 1932); and according to the map of Say's travels (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931), it could have been found in any one of the present states of Missouri, Iowa (southwestern), Nebraska, Colorado (eastern), Kansas, or Oklahoma (north central). Furthermore, most of Say's notebooks, which probably contained more precise locations, were stolen among other belongings of the expedition by three soldiers on August 31, 1820, and never recovered (Weiss and Ziegler, 1931). (2) Most authors have felt this varietal name indicated the same species as *inornata* Say, although they did not designate a neotype, and therefore by usage *unicolor* has become a junior synonym. (3) The *unicolor* type is like most of the individuals examined in this study which have been collected east of the Rocky Mountains (where Say's specimen of *inornata* was found) including some from eastern Colorado, eastern Wyoming, Kansas, Iowa, and North Dakota, and it is the type of the eastern variety recognized by Felt and Joutel (1904). In particular, this type matches the light specimens reared from *P. tremuloides* by the authors.

LeConte's type specimen of *concolor* from New Mexico is inappropriate because it differs from specimens found east of the Rocky Mountains in two respects and may represent yet another species: (1) Specimens from Tempe, Arizona, which were very similar to the *concolor* type according to Dr. John F. Lawrence (in correspondence), have much denser and more lightly colored pubescence distributed over more parts of the body such as legs, scapes of the antennae and mouth parts; and (2) the punctures on the elytra are smaller and shallower than in eastern specimens, and they are scattered instead of partly confluent and partly contiguous.

THE NEOTYPE OF *SAPERDA INORNATA* SAY

The holotype of *Saperda concolor* var. *unicolor* Felt and Joutel (1904), which was examined by the authors, has been proposed as the neotype of *Saperda inornata* Say. It is located in the collection of the American Museum of Natural History, New York City. It has been given the type number 147. The original specimen labels read: "Dovr. N. Co. Mass. 6-8-95"; and "*Saperda concolor* var. *unicolor*, Type." The tray label reads: "*Saperda concolor unicolor* F & J." The location is probably Dover, Norfolk County, Massachusetts. This specimen is like Felt and Joutel's (1904) description. It is a female, 10.5 mm long and 3.0 mm across the bases of the elytra. It agrees with Say's description of *inornata* except that the pronotum has three faint longitudinal lines, and the elytra are not equally attenuated from the suture and exterior margins.

Both of these latter characteristics were found to be variable among conspecific individuals raised from the same host species in the same area in this study. The pronotal lines may be pronounced, faint, or absent, and the elytral tips vary from subequally attenuated to asymmetrically attenuated. Many of the specimens reared from *Populus*

tremuloides in this study are very similar to the neotype and all of them appear to be conspecific with it.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Dr. Thomas E. Moore who made many helpful suggestions throughout the study. Also we are very grateful for the kind cooperation of the following people who searched collections for the Say types or archives for evidence as to their locations: Michael G. Emsley, Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia; Arthur G. Humes, Boston University; John F. Lawrence, Harvard University; and Edward D. Pearce, Boston Museum of Science.

This project was partially supported by cooperative aid funds provided by the North Central Forest Experiment Station, U.S. Forest Service. The field work was done in the Ottawa National Forest.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barnum, P. T. 1855. The life of P. T. Barnum. Redfield, New York. 404 p.
 ———. 1883. Struggles and triumphs; or forty years' recollections. The Courier Co., Buffalo. 337 p.
 Blanchard, F. 1887. Notes on Coleoptera. *Entomologica Americana* 3:96.
 Breuning, S. 1952. Revision einiger Gattungen aus der Gruppe der Saperdini Muls. (Col. Cerambycidae). *Entomologische Arbeiten Mus. G. Frey* 3(1):107-213.
 Curtis, J. 1829. *British entomology*. 6:275.
 Fabricius, J. C. 1775. *Systema entomologiae. Flensburgi et Lipsiae*, 832 p.
 Felt, E. P., and L. H. Joutel. 1904. Monograph of the genus *Saperda*. *New York State Mus. Bull.* 74, 86 p.
 Fox, W. J. 1892. A note on the insect collection of Thomas Say. *Entomol. News* 13:11-12.
 ———. 1901. Letters from Thomas Say to John F. Melsheimer, 1816-1825. *Entomol. News* 12:314-316.
 Horn, George H. 1878. Notes on some genera of Cerambycidae of the United States. *Trans. Amer. Entomol. Soc.* 7:41-50.
 ———. 1888. Additional notes. *Trans. Amer. Entomol. Soc.* 15:300-301.
 LeConte, J. 1852. An attempt to classify the longicorn Coleoptera of the part of America north of Mexico. *J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phil.*, Ser. 2, 2:139-178.
 ———. [ed.] 1859a. The complete writings of Thomas Say on the entomology of North America. Bailliere Bros., New York, 2 v.
 ———. 1859b. The Coleoptera of Kansas and eastern New Mexico. *Smithsonian Contrib. Knowledge* 11(126):1-58.
 Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema naturae*. 10th Edition. Holmiae, Laurentii Salvii. Tomus I, 823 p. [Facsimilie, Brit. Museum (Nat. Hist.) London, 1956]
 Martin, J. O. 1924. Studies in the genus *Mecas* (Coleop.). *Entomol. News* 35:244-245.
 McGlinchee, C. 1940. The first decade of the Boston Museum. Bruce Humphries, Inc., Boston, 188 p.
 Nord, John C. 1968. The life history and behavior of *Saperda inornata* and *Oberea schaumii* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in trembling aspen, *Populus tremuloides*. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Mich. 272 p.
 Nord, John C. and Fred B. Knight. 1970. *Saperda inornata* Say, 1824, (Insecta, Coleoptera): Proposed use of the plenary powers to designate a neotype to stabilize the nomenclature. *Z.N.(S.) 1921 Bull. Zool. Nomencl.*, Vol. 27, Part 2:123-128.
 Paullin, C. O. 1932. Atlas of the historical geography of the United States. A. Hoen and Co., Inc., Baltimore, 162 p.
 Say, T. 1824. Descriptions of coleopterous insects collected in the late expedition to the Rocky Mountains, performed by order of Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of War, under command of Major Long. *J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila.* 3(Part II):403-462.

- Usinger, R. L. 1964. The role of Linnaeus in the advancement of entomology. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.* 9:1-16.
- Weiss, H. B., and G. M. Ziegler. 1931. Thomas Say, early American naturalist. Charles C Thomas, Baltimore, 260 p.
- Zimsen, E. 1964. The type material of I. C. Fabricius. Munksgaard, Copenhagen, 656 p.