Glaser, Jacoby triumph in the Swygert Moot Court Competition

By Daniel Buksa
Editor

Anne Glaser (3L) and Joanne Jacoby (2L) are the winners of the 1992-1993 Luther M. Swygert Moot Court Competition.

Created in 1989 in memory of the late Judge Luther M. Swygert, the competition was designed to aid in the development of law student's oral appellate advocacy skills.

The neat thing about this year's competition was the inclusion of participation by law students from Valparaiso University and the University of Notre Dame. The participation of the Notre Dame students was sponsored and hosted by the law school's Moot Court Society. The Notre Dame advisor, Professor Ruth Vance and it's Chief Justice, Ted Woods, along with Society President Chip Woods, were instrumental in organizing this year's competition, which was held during the fall semester for the first time.

The Final round of competition was held in a packed Stride Courtroom on Monday evening, September 14, at the Valparaiso University School of Law.

Judging this year's competition were the Honorable Frank H. Easterbrook, Judge, United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit; the Honorable Richard M. Givan, Justice, Supreme Court of Indiana; and the Honorable Betty Barteau, Judge, Court of Appeals of Indiana.

Glaser and Jacoby advanced to the finals after three preliminary rounds, along with the other two finalists, Robert Cap (3L) and Michael Roth (2L). There were 23 participants who started in the competition, with Professors Bruce Berner, Robert Blonquist, Ivan Bodensteiner, Laura Dooley, Rosalie Levinson, and David Vandercorly, along with Moot Court Society Members Rick Jackonis, Ted Johnson, Kevin Mack, Dave Weber, Phil Williams and Chip Woods serving as preliminary round judges.

This year's competition was based upon the hypothetical case of a high school athlete who was barred from competing in a race for refusing to take a mandated drug screening test. The student-athlete subsequently wore a T-shirt containing racial epithets as a means of protest. The student was suspended for continuing to wear the T-shirt in contravention of the school's policy prohibiting racial epithets.

The issues presented were: "Does random drug testing of public high school students by school officials violate the student's Fourth Amendment Rights to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures?" and, "Does a public high school's prohibition of race bias speech violate a student's First Amendment Rights?"

Arguing for the student petitioner on the First Amendment issue, Roth stated that the student's wearing of the T-shirt constituted random personal expression, which should be protected by the Constitution. Roth further argued that singling out an issue such as race is content-based and that the wearing of the T-shirt constituted a means of protest, where it is probable that the speech in question is likely to create a hostile environment.

Representing the student petitioner on his Fourth Amendment claim, Cap said that the drug test would amount to an unreasonable search and seizure because the scope of the test was not related to the reason for testing: the test was not justified at its inception, nor was there any suspicion of drug use by the student to warrant such a test. Cap argued that there was no compelling interest for the school board to administer such a test.

Glaser, on behalf of the school board, stated that because drugs were prevalent in society, a preventive measure such as drug testing was not only warranted, but also established a compelling interest for the school. Citing Van Raub which upheld drug testing even without a suspicion of drug use, Glaser pressed home the fact that First Amendment Rights are not absolute and that a school is but a semi-public forum, which should be allowed to regulate speech, where it is probable that the speech in question is likely to create a hostile environment.

"The Swygert Panel, 1. to r.: The Honorable Betty Barteau, The Honorable Frank H. Easterbrook, The Honorable Richard M. Givan"

United Way launches annual campaign

By Mary B. Moore
Contributor

The United Way of Porter County launched its annual campaign on September 14, 1992, with a goal of $1,850,000. Law School alumna Barbara A. Young (76), a partner in the Valparaiso law firm of Hooper, Wagner & Evans, in this year's general campaign chairperson. Mary Moore, assistant to Dean Gaffney, if Valparaiso University's campus coordinator. The VU goal has been set at $37,560, up from last year's total, $32,659.40. The campaign will run through the end of October.

Observing its thirty-fifth year, the United Way of Porter County funds 45 health and human service programs. Law students are familiar with many of the agencies because of their personal involvement through volunteer service, fund raising activities, and the student based restrictions on speech are constitutionally impermissible.

In addition, Roth stated that the regulation prohibiting the T-shirt was not proper because school officials could not forecast an outbreak of hostility.

Responding for the school board, Jacoby argued that wearing the T-shirt constituted a material and substantial interference with the school's requirement of maintaining appropriate discipline; that the racial epithets were "fighting words" directed at a "captive audience" and that the regulation prohibiting such epithets was not vague nor overly broad.

Citing Chaplinsky, Jacoby pressed home the fact that First Amendment Rights are not absolute and that a school is but a semi-public forum, which should be allowed to regulate speech, where it is probable that the speech in question is likely to create a hostile environment.

Representing the student petitioner on his Fourth Amendment claim, Cap said that the drug test would amount to an unreasonable search and seizure because the scope of the test was not related to the reason for testing: the test was not justified at its inception, nor was there any suspicion of drug use by the student to warrant such a test. Cap argued that there was no compelling interest for the school board to administer such a test.

Glaser, on behalf of the school board, stated that because drugs were prevalent in society, a preventive measure such as drug testing was not only warranted, but also established a compelling interest for the school. Citing Van Raub which upheld drug testing even without a suspicion of drug use, Glaser pressed home the fact that First Amendment Rights are not absolute and that a school is but a semi-public forum, which should be allowed to regulate speech, where it is probable that the speech in question is likely to create a hostile environment.

"The Swygert Panel, 1. to r.: The Honorable Betty Barteau, The Honorable Frank H. Easterbrook, The Honorable Richard M. Givan"
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Law student's nightmare exam question

From the Dean's Desk

By Edward McGlynn Gaffney

It's campaign time again, so I thought I'd focus this column on the connection between law and politics. First, there is an obvious connection between the leaders we choose to govern us and the regime of law under which we live in society. The law cannot be collapsed into a mere statement of political majoritarian power, but it is clearly influenced by those who represent us in the three branches of our republican form of government. Both at the state and federal level, this election offers us an opportunity to reflect about who we want drafting our laws at the Statehouse and in Congress. Indiana residents this year have a chance to make both about our Governor and our President. At the state level, we are asked to select or to approve those who will sit on the bench and decide our cases in State courts. At the federal level, the President appoints and the Senate confirms those who serve in the federal judiciary. All these political choices are directly relevant to our work as lawyers.

Second, we live in a democratic society. We choose our leaders, or at least we have the opportunity to. If you are not registered to vote, I urge you to do so now. In my view, the percentage of Americans who take advantage of this opportunity is disgracefully low. And I can think of no good reason why lawyers or future lawyers don't take part in elections.

Third, we live in an open society that cherishes freedom of speech. We can engage one another in lively debate about the large issues of the day. Justice Brennan wrote in New York Times v. Sullivan of "a profound national commitment to the principle that debate on public issues should be uninhibited, robust, and wide-open." Along with the right to debate freely about our deepest convictions goes the duty to do so intelligently, with respect for the convictions of others, and with the courtesy and civility that should be hallmarks of our profession. Otherwise, we will reduce politics to empty slogans and bumper stickers, and we will wind up shouting past one another. I hope that we have a vivid debate this fall about law and politics and I hope that we can strike the right balance between our right to debate and our duty to do so thoughtfully.

Copyright 1992, The Forum. All rights reserved.
Vie-ws keep him from getting the to do for America what he has Clinton. I heard a commentator change. "on a like many in the media haven't Utopian state of near-mythical we forget history. and it looks had just heard. George Santayana warns us about what happens if gests that if Clinton has all the the media continue on with its Willie is only good and This was going through morning talk show will, you would think that Governor Clinton do for America Gosh, I hope I never have to find out. what he has done for Arkansas? "A Democratic Congress preferred the totalitarian, communist regime over the democratic rebels. President Reagan circumvented Congress's nefarious intention and succeeded in bringing peace and prosperity to Nicaragua following the rebels' victory. Now, the Democratic Congress has an ax to grind (especially during this election year) and is using the law as a threat for weapons. The chief witch-hunter is the Special Counsel, Lawrence Walsh. Abuses of law committed by the Office of the Special Counsel are normative and its spending of tax-payer money is becoming legendary. Walsh extracted convictions on Oliver North and John Poindexter by using their immunity-granted testimony against them. Elliott Abrams was coerced into pleading guilty to two misdemeanor counts after the Senate acquitted him on a million dollars. But, his Impersonation is seldom in the capital these days. It is to be found fishing in his native Oklahoma, the headbands are left to a modern day Torquemada, Craig Gillen. Gillen's first intended victim, Claire George, escaped a majority of a jury found him innocent of Gillen's incredible charges. It was also Gillen who decided to go after Caspar Weinberger. This forty-year-old prosecutor who earns $101,404 yearly, compliments of you and its spending of money is becoming legendary. Special Division judges appoint- ed Gillen and his nine lawyer cohorts in what now effectively constitutes the Office of the Special Counsel. Nor do these witch-hunters have any intention of being restricted as Morrison v. Olson "inferior officers." They are answerable to no one, all the while as the Democratic Congres and relishes the chase. The abuses of law continue as they wage their reign of terror among the American people. Late Note: The reign of terror has ended. As we go press, it was announced by his Impersonous, Mr. Walsh, that the Iran-Contra investigations were ending as of September 17, 1992. Mr. Weinberger will not be as fortunate, however, since his case is still in progress. The current cost of this fiasco to the American tax-payer is at $32 Million Dollars, with future being still to be tabulated. And all of it just to grind an ax.

Another victim of prosecutorial excess is Michael Milken. Milken's mistake was that he was too successful. He was in the business of raising start-up funds and capital for new corporations. By doing so, he stepped on some big corporate toes. The government brought a 98 count indictment against Milken for insider trading, stock manipulation, and bribery. Milken was NOT convicted on a single count. Faced with a weak case, the Feds decided to go after Milken's brother Lowell on equally dubious charges. To spare his brother from the pending media onslaught, Milken pleaded guilty to six counts of technical securities violations, none of which had been in the original indictment.

For these technical offenses, Milken was sentenced to ten years in prison plus three years of community service. This occurred despite the fact that the government was unable to prove any pattern of criminal conduct. Then, for no apparent reason last month, the federal judge who had heard the original case, reduced Milken's sentence to less than three years, making him eligible for parole in 1996.

Why the sudden change? Is it perhaps that the government recognized that the end of Milken's financial genius to get the economy restarted? Or perhaps a truly innocent man had already been shafted enough? Whatever the case, the lesson is clear: If you are going to make waves, you are powerful enough to keep face the wrath of prosecutorial overzealousness.

**

Mach has been said about the "family values" issue during this election campaign. Family val- ues are certainly a nebulous issue. However, the media has been quick to discount them while the Hollywood types sneer perhaps a truly innocent man had already been shafted enough? Whatever the case, the lesson is clear: If you are going to make waves, you are powerful enough to keep face the wrath of prosecutorial overzealousness.

---

The Right Side

By Daniel Bukas
Editor

POWER

Judicious use of the law is most useful for those who harbor anguish. Take the Iran-Contra investigations as a case in point. The affair has been summarized and concluded: A Democratic Congress preferred the totalitarian, communist regime over the democratic rebels. President Reagan circumvented Congress's nefarious intention and succeeded in bringing peace and prosperity to Nicaragua following the rebels' victory. Now, the Democratic Congress has an ax to grind (especially during this election year) and is using the law as a threat for weapons. The chief witch-hunter is the Special Counsel, Lawrence Walsh. Abuses of law committed by the Office of the Special Counsel are normative and its spending of tax-payer money is becoming legendary. Walsh extracted convictions on Oliver North and John Poindexter by using their immunity-granted testimony against them. Elliott Abrams was coerced into pleading guilty to two misdemeanor counts after the Senate acquitted him on a million dollars. But, his Impersonation is seldom in the capital these days. It is to be found fishing in his native Oklahoma, the headbands are left to a modern day Torquemada, Craig Gillen. Gillen's first intended victim, Claire George, escaped a majority of a jury found him innocent of Gillen's incredible charges. It was also Gillen who decided to go after Caspar Weinberger. This forty-year-old prosecutor who earns $101,404 yearly, compliments of you and its spending of money is becoming legendary. Special Division judges appointed Gillen and his nine lawyer cohorts in what now effectively constitutes the Office of the Special Counsel. Nor do these witch-hunters have any intention of being restricted as Morrison v. Olson "inferior officers." They are answerable to no one, all the while as the Democratic Congres and relishes the chase. The abuses of law continue as they wage their reign of terror among the American people. Late Note: The reign of terror has ended. As we go press, it was announced by his Impersonous, Mr. Walsh, that the Iran-Contra investigations were ending as of September 17, 1992. Mr. Weinberger will not be as fortunate, however, since his case is still in progress. The current cost of this fiasco to the American tax-payer is at $32 Million Dollars, with future being still to be tabulated. And all of it just to grind an ax.

Another victim of prosecutorial excess is Michael Milken. Milken's mistake was that he was too successful. He was in the business of raising start-up funds and capital for new corporations. By doing so, he stepped on some big corporate toes. The government brought a 98 count indictment against Milken for insider trading, stock manipulation, and bribery. Milken was NOT convicted on a single count. Faced with a weak case, the Feds decided to go after Milken's brother Lowell on equally dubious charges. To spare his brother from the pending media onslaught, Milken pleaded guilty to six counts of technical securities violations, none of which had been in the original indictment.

For these technical offenses, Milken was sentenced to ten years in prison plus three years of community service. This occurred despite the fact that the government was unable to prove any pattern of criminal conduct. Then, for no apparent reason last month, the federal judge who had heard the original case, reduced Milken's sentence to less than three years, making him eligible for parole in 1996.

Why the sudden change? Is it perhaps that the government recognized that the end of Milken's financial genius to get the economy restarted? Or perhaps a truly innocent man had already been shafted enough? Whatever the case, the lesson is clear: If you are going to make waves, you are powerful enough to keep face the wrath of prosecutorial overzealousness.

---

Views From the Reasonable Person

By Mike Thompson
Viewspoint Editor

During the 1988 Presidential election, the Republican National Committee came up with a snazzy slogan: "Michael Dukakis says he wants to do for America what he has done for Massachusetts. Let's keep him from getting the chance." This was going through my mind recently as I listened to the media play continue on with its love affair with Governor Bill Clinton. I heard a commentator on a Sunday morning talk show rave about Clinton's health care and economic plans. To hear this fellow talk, you would think that Slick Willie is only good and decent politician in America and that our only hope for salvation is to blindly endorse the Democratic platform.

After a short spell of nausea, I tried to evaluate what I had just heard. George Santayana warned us about what happens if we forget history, and it looks like many in the media haven't heeded his warning. Logic sug- gests that if Clinton has all the answers, then his own state of Arkansas should be a shining, Utopian state of near-mythical proportions. In reality, what we see from the failed Clinton administration is a state bereft of hope, nearing bankruptcy largely because of the state's health care system, and with an education system in worse shape than the one little children in Somalia enjoy.

The fact is that Bill Clinton, who has implemented these policies at a state-wide level, appears not to be content with the near destruction of a state; it looks like he's planning on taking down the whole country as well.

As my fellow Eureka College alumnus, President Ronald Reagan, is fond of saying: facts are stubborn things. Let's take a look at what's going on here. The liberal Democrats, led by Bill Clinton, want to increase taxes. They say that if we don't, we're all going to die a slow and painful death from high choles- terol, ozone depletion, AIDS, and inner city riots. Well, wait a minute! Wasn't it just a little over a year ago when these very same people told us that the tax increase that they had just voted in was going to balance the bud- get, eliminate the deficit, and give us all shiny, cavity-free smiles? Where are we now? We're fur- ther in debt than ever before, and I'll tell you one thing: it ain't a Republican elephant that's writ­ ing the government's checks. It's all very simple. President Reagan showed us this twelve years ago. If you cut the people's taxes and reduce the amount of government regulation, the people will respond by spend­ ing more of their money, and they'll boost the economy. Before you listen to those who will tell you that this policy won't work, look to the nineteen eighties: the largest economic expan­ sion since World War II followed immediately after the largest tax cut in history. When did that eco­ nomic expansion stop? It stopped right after the largest tax increase in history. The Gipper is right: facts are stubborn things. In the short span of twelve years, we've seen what happens when you love taxes, and what happens when you raise taxes. To me, the choice seems clear. That ancient Chinese philosopher Lao-Tzu had words for today when he said, "Govern a great nation as you would cook a small fish: do not ove­ rdry it." If elected, would Governor Clinton do for America what he has done for Arkansas? Gosh, I hope I never have to find out.

"A Democratic Congress preferred the totalitarian, communist regime over the democratic rebels"...
A peek under the rug at the politics of race

By Steven Hayward

Guest Columnist

SACRAMENTO—America is on the cusp of a new era of civil rights activism.

The last epoch of civil rights began as an effort to make America live up to its fundamental principle that "all men are created equal" by removing the legal barriers to the enjoyment of equal individual opportunity. The civil rights movement of the 1960s helped to elevate the ideal of racial equality to the heart of genuine progress on civil rights. But interest-group liberalism has practically ceased to consider the issue. Modern American politics has become a coterie system that the real issue today is opportunity.

One of the reasons the Clarence Thomas Supreme Court opinions tend to describe the issues that are hot-button, it is because the thrust of the American civil rights law has been to lift the color line. The Afro-American and Hispanic communities have been among the beneficiaries of civil rights law, and the law has thus become a political tool for advancing the causes of these groups. The law has also become a political tool for advancing the causes of the liberal interest groups that support the civil rights movement.

The stakes are very high; a peek under the rug reveals a huge divide.

It is precisely because affirmative action points relentlessly at fundamental questions of the rights and duties of individuals under the law that affirmative action takes place within a climate of self-censorship on both sides of the issue. If ever there was a time to睁眼闭眼, both were race. A peek under the rug is a huge mess.

The next epoch of civil rights activism will be driven by the question of affirmative action and the mean- ing of equality. The affirmative action programs have been successful in advancing the cause of racial equality, but they have also created a political stalemate. The affirmative action programs have become a political tool for advancing the causes of the liberal interest groups that support the civil rights movement.

The principle of equal rights under the law is a fundamental principle, preferred by interest-group liberalism. But interest-group liberalism has practically ceased to consider the issue. Modern American politics has become a coterie system that the real issue today is opportunity.

Above all, our discourse on civil rights should do well to appreciate the understanding of the person who started the original political interest group liberalism, Abraham Lincoln. Lincoln remembered, spoke repeatedly about the need for a full measure of "the better angels of our nature." He was a man who had come to understand that affirmative action does no favors for anyone. He was a man who had come to understand that affirmative action does no favors for anyone.

For those Republicans with an instinct for the flag, simply saying something like this: "The Democratic Party was the party of slavery and racism and the party of Crow and segregation," and today they are the party that stifles opportunity for minorities by supporting special interest occupa- tional regulations and barriers. The result of this will be that the Democratic Party got out of the way of the opportunity for the most disadvantaged of Americans.

This approach will main- tain an openness to those growing numbers of Americans who are coming to understand that affirmative action does no favors for anyone. They are the people who are coming to understand that affirmative action does no favors for anyone. They are the people who are coming to understand that affirmative action does no favors for anyone.

What do I know that is every- one is friendly and before everyone and before everyone? The same. Wish me luck, I just want to go to Civil Procedure. Needless to say, I am a little nervous since I am playing the Hooters lottery. I feel like I’m kind of lucky. In this case, however, since I have never been called before, I know the way to go. I am playing the Hooters lottery, I feel like I’m kind of lucky. In this case, however, since I have never been called before, I know the way to go.

September 25, 1992

Page 4

The Forum
by Mark Hansen

Proposition #1: Legal writing is like catheterizing a pedophile.

Proposition #2: Legal writing is like chopping on rusty, teatens infected, razor blades.

These propositions are for the one L's who have to describe the class to relatives.

No, you may take the columns by Mark Hansen, and he is not going to be more vindictive, and personal.
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Presidential contender Bill Clinton has returned to a favored American political pastime: bashing the "rich." He and other bash-the-rich politicians have worked hard to make the electorate believe that the rich are all as decadent as Donald Trump and as shifty as Ivan Boesky.

A few facts will go a long way toward putting the "soak-the-rich" tax debate in perspective.

First and foremost, very few rich people come close to the incomes of Trump and Boesky. In 1990, an income of $96,000 qualified for the top 5 percent of households. An income of $56,000 enabled households to be part of the so-called "fortunate fifth," the 20 percent of households with the highest incomes. A lot of people (especially two-income families) currently parroting the "soak-the-rich" theme probably don’t realize that they are talking about themselves.

Granted, the people who make the Forbes list of 400 richest Americans are very wealthy by any standard. But even most of these Americans achieved their riches in the old-fashioned way followed by Bill Gates of Microsoft and Fred Smith of Federal Express: They earned them. In the process, they increased the income and purchasing power of their fellow countrymen by offering better products and services at lower prices.

Contrary to popular impression, most people in the top income group don’t sit around all day clipping coupons from bonds. There are three times as many total workers and seven times as many full-time workers in the top fifth than in the bottom fifth. How many people become rich is no mystery. One of the easiest ways a person on the middle class can become rich is to study and work hard and to marry (and stay married to) someone else who does the same.

The rich-bashers have spread the myth that the vast majority of high-income earners got their incomes by exploiting the "poor" and "middle classes" and that high-income earners aren’t carrying as heavy a tax burden as the middle class—or, at least, not shouldering their fair share of total taxes. Now, Clinton proposes that anyone making more than $200,000—or more than he will if he is elected president—should face significantly higher taxes.

See Rich, page 11
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**Read The Forum**

It's your newspaper.
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**The Slammer Saloon & Lounge**

**Daily Drink Specials**

- Monday......Margaritas......1.25 glass
- Tuesday......Import Beer of the Week......2.00 bottle
- Wednesday......Draft(light & dark)......1.00 bottle
- Thursday......Margaritas......3.00 mug
- Friday......Bar Brand Mixed Drinks......1.75 glass
- Saturday......Zinfandel......2.50 glass

**Acoustic Music**

Tuesday thru Saturday

---

**Court Restaurant**

69 Franklin, Valparaiso

462-2141

---

**Court Restaurant**

69 Franklin, Valparaiso

462-2141

---

**Early Bird Specials**

Monday thru Thursday

3:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.

- 1/2 Slab BBQ Ribs......5.95
- Chicken Piccata......5.95
- Prime Rib......5.95
- Lake Perch......5.95
- Vegetable or Chicken Stir Fry......5.95
- Fettucine Alfredo......5.95
- Broiled Chicken Breast......5.95

Served with fresh baked bread, cup of soup or salad
The Forum
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Student Profile: 1L Jeff Majarek

By Robin King
Asst. Features Editor

Jeff Majarek was born in South Bend and graduated from Notre Dame H.S. in 1973. He experienced the 60's movement and attempted anti-war demonstrations at Notre Dame during that era. Jeff had long hair and wore "bell bottom jeans." He witnessed the Beatles promote on the Ed Sullivan show in a time when "the wildst you got was the theme song for Bewitched." For Jeff, the 60's proved to be "a cool time to grow up.

After a semester at Holy Cross, Jeff left, indecisive after the confused 60's era. After all, the motto at the time was "tune in, turn on, drop out." He worked for his parent's car Wash, "tuned of the midwest," moved to Montana. At this time Jeff realized he was living in a town with only two television stations and "no Monday Night Football." The one t.v. tuned to Monday Night Football, was located in a bar, but the bar closed at half-time since Jeff was the only one there. Jeff was certain that "the go nuts" by winter's end.

As a result, Jeff moved to Idaho Falls. Not long after, he met Debra. His new wife and moved to New York City. While there, Jeff worked for a publishing co., but got involved in the politics. One afternoon Jeff walked into a cafe style bakery in New York and asked for a job. The only job available was pouring coffee for which Jeff promptly supplied a resume. Jeff was overqualified but he replied: "I don't want to do anything I'm qualified for, I just want to pour coffee." Jeff met such celebrities in Jeffrey Bean and Tom Brokaw. Jeff loved working in the cafe as he could see the streets of New York walk by all day long.

While in New York, his wife Debra modeled for the famous Ford Agency. Debra was a model for L'oreal cosmetics and did several television commercials. She has been the cover girl of the popular magazine McCall's. She also guest appeared on an episode of the "Love Boat." During this time, Jeff obtained an associate degree, at the Borough of Manhattan Community College.

In 1984, Jeff returned to South Bend. He began work as a Book Division Manager for the Michiana News Service. He later transferred within the twenty-six store chain to the Hall of Cards and Books where he worked as a Vice President in Retail Coordinator. Jeff worked in store design, scheduling, decorating and contracting. Jeff is especially involved with the Niles store, Majarek's Hallmark and Reader's Warehouse. When the economy declined and chain expansion ceased, he earned his bachelor's degree in Business Administration at Notre Dame. He continued coordinating and running the business on weekends, holidays and summers. Debra assists in decoration and coordination. Jeff is very proud of Debra and says with great satisfaction that "She's been real helpful during school." Debra is "really supportive." Jeff says that Debra knows the business and "She's never made a mistake." In the next three years, two stores will be remodeled and Debra will be coordinating.

Jeff is currently thirty-seven years old, and lives in town while Debra and their five year old son Christian remain in Granger. Jeff also spends time with his daughter and stepdaughter there. There are four attorneys living near Jeff who have a bet to see how deep he'll get into toasts before he will dispose of his stramplines.

Although Jeff spends his weekends and one evening a week with Debra and Christian, Jeff feels guilty being away from his family. When he does study at home. Christian often and enthusiastically announces "I'm gonna study with you." And Jeff, as a good father, will John Travolta.

Urban Cowboy

Do you ever fantasize about riding a mechanical bull? Do you ever have the unexplainable urge to bust a broco? This movie has all the fun. Texas charms that any Indiana law student could ask for. If bull riding, fist fighting, and beer drinking appeal to you, rent this flick...or go out dancing with Dave R.

Saturday Night Fever

Oh you can tell by the way I use my walk I'm a woman's man, no time to talk. A woman's man is what John Travolta is as he struts around in his disco garb, greaseball hairdo, and high heeled shoes; (Dressed like that, I'm afraid he knows the way to Dolly. Somebody help him.) No jive talking though, this movie's great! If you're into the disco thing, you might want to give this one a look because we're living in a world of fools—you must rent this movie.
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Helen and Courtney's Movie Reviews

Perhaps you've heard of Helen and Courtney's (and Heathers) movie night at Flint Lake. This event occurs rather regularly because we, the aforementioned, have found little to do on the weekends here in Valpo. If you find yourself in the same predicament, feel free to join us. These are some of the movies we've seen recently.

Honeymoon in Vegas

The moral of this one is don't ever promise your dying mother that you'll never marry because no one will love you like she did. Nicholas Cage has the girl of his dreams in the palm of his hand, but there is one problem,—and don't cringe boys—they want a commitment! He reluctantly agrees and the fiasco begins. In an effort to delay the pending marriage, Cage proceeds to gam­ble away his fiancé. The rest of the movie involves Cage travel­ling to the ends of the earth to win her back. Hindered by numerous obstacles,—Mahi Mahi the cab driver who has a crush,—the American police—it looks as though he is destined to miss her every time. Just when you can tell he's going to lose, Elvies, Utah Division, come to cage's rescue. As mom turns in her grave, boy gets girl—end of story.

The rest of this review will be dedicated to Courtney's favorite说到电影, John Travolta.

urban cowboy

Do you ever fantasize about riding a mechanical bull? Do you ever have the unexplainable urge to bust a bronco? This movie has all the fun. Texas charms that any Indiana law student could ask for. If bull riding, fist fighting, and beer drinking appeal to you, rent this flick...or go out dancing with Dave R.

Saturday Night Fever

Oh you can tell by the way I use my walk I'm a woman's man, no time to talk. A woman's man is what John Travolta is as he struts around in his disco garb, greaseball hairdo, and high heeled shoes; (Dressed like that, I'm afraid he knows the way to Dolly. Somebody help him.) No jive talking though, this movie's great! If you're into the disco thing, you might want to give this one a look because we're living in a world of fools—you must rent this movie.
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Off Lincolnway

By Stacey Streitz
Associate Editor

Now that the semester is well underway, your mental faculties are certain to be over-loaded from studying. Not! Never-the-less, if you're looking for somewhere to go or something to do, consider the following:

Incredible Edibles...

This Bakery/Restaurant opened up on the North End of town this summer. They have something from a great Spinach Salad with strawberries to Pasties with Pecan Nuts. Their blueberry pie is fantastic! For lunch, expect to spend between $5.95 - $14.95, with dinner prices in the same range as well. Incredible Edibles is located in the strip mall next to (north) of Costco.

Pasties...

This Pab's style is in the Cheers tradition. They have a broad selection of beers and fair food. For lunch, expect prices to range from $4.00 - $10.00, and for dinner, $6.00 - $15.00. Pasties is located on Lincolnway across from the Post Office.

Inman's...

This a bowling/recreation/campsite can be seen from 49 on your left when traveling North. Inman's now has go-carts in addition to bowling and beer. This is a good weekend activity for those of you thirsting for something different. Inman's is located at 5201 Evans.

Bin Witty's...

Country Western Dance Night on Tuesday nights–this is definitely fun. The 2-Step is a great skill to acquire for life.

WORDS UNLIMITED...

A Professional Word Processing/Secretarial Service

Offering Services to Students, Businesses, Organizations and Individuals

- ACADEMIC WORD PROCESSING
- CORRESPONDENCE
- RESUME DEVELOPMENT AND PREPARATION
- LASER PRINTED DOCUMENTS

CALL 988-5566

Saturday and Evening Appointments Available

David’s
Men’s Clother
Downtown Valparaiso

NEED A SUIT?
See David for:
- Quality • Selection
- Same Day Alterations

The best selection of Silk ties in Northwest In.

From your SBA President

By Charlotte Lindell
Contributor

Equal Justice Alliance (EJA) is an organization that encourages the development of a public service ethic at Valparaiso University School of Law and in the legal profession in general. One of the main methods in which we try to support students in their pursuit of public interest careers is through sponsoring a public interest grant for the summer. The recipients of this grant have gained practical legal experience as well as the ability to help in a field that is short of qualified, dedicated legal personnel. Here is what one of our grant recipients had to say about her summer experience when she clerked for a state agency:

"I worked for the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission in Chicago, and I was allowed to represent a client in an administrative hearing. As a first year, you can’t get that type of experience anywhere else. Also, it looks good on your resume and you can make contacts in the field and geographic area."

In order to fund our 1993 public interest summer grant program, we will be holding several bake sales throughout the year as well as a walk-a-thon on October 24, 1992. Applications for the grant will be available in the Spring semester. If you are interested in learning more about EJA or want information about the summer public interest grant, please contact EJA at locker #470 or come to a meeting (dates and times are posted on the EJA board in the main hallway.)
Swygert, from page 1

usage, Glaser argued that athletes were more likely to use drugs because of increased access while traveling to competitions. Therefore, mandatory testing was warranted, especially when coupled with the fact that athletes enjoy a higher level of prestige than do non-athlete students and thus should be held to a higher standard.

Glaser further asserted a mitigating fact that the school had made the drug testing as unintrusive as possible.

After the arguments were completed, the judicial panel removed to chambers. Upon returning, they announced that Glaser and Jacoby had won the arguments, while iterating that Cap and Roth had also done well. Glaser also received the Best Oralist honors.

There was a reception in the Dusenberg Commons after the competition.

NBA, from Page 12

Hornacek. (Traded for Barkley). With the trade of Tim Perry and Andrew Lang, the Suns will need some of their front court youth to emerge.

The Trailblazers have added the underrated Jay Olajuwon. If either team can obtain Olajuwon with out relinquishing a major part of their nucleus, they will pose a major threat. However with the Clippers acquiring Stanley Roberts, the Clippers interest in Olajuwon probably no longer exists.

One interesting note: Retired NBA center Joe Barry Carroll, the overall #1 draft pick of the 1980 draft pick, is currently a first year law student at Emory Law School down in Atlanta. Carroll, having attended Purdue, probably tried to apply to Valparaiso Law School, but was rejected because of his lackluster play. Olajuwon was a challenge to the all-powerful Welfare State. A united family stands together, with each member supporting the other. The family repels the encroaching tentacles of the state.

People like Hillary Clinton and the guy she's married to would destroy the family, turning children against their parents. The radicals also seek to remove children from the guidance of their parents by placing them in federally-run childcare centers for as long as possible. The radicals want to prevent parents from having any say in how their children are educated.
By Patrick G. McCarthy
Asst. Viewpoints Editor

"Yes, Virginia, there are other reasons for seeking a law degree." That is the working title to this brief, but hopefully useful insight upon a question law students at all levels might wrestle with. It is my contention that far too much emphasis is placed upon the pure practice of law as the only path to follow after graduation. There are many other reasons to get the degree, and many other things to do with the degree, which demand exploration.

That there is a wicked glut of lawyers goes without saying, but why add to that glut? Why add to the gross and distorted self-importance of the law by becoming just one more struggling reason to expand and confuse the law? Why must we become the butt of a joke, the object of national criticism, or a cause of tremendously high insurance premiums?

Those who dream only of law and its practice will tick off many good reasons in very short order supporting their calling. I will not explore nor debate those reasons. I only offer alternatives.

In a country filled with lawyers and the self-serving laws of its practice, you could make a great career in law, but why add to that glut? Why add to that glut? Why add to the gross and distorted self-importance of the law by becoming just one more struggling reason to expand and confuse the law? Why must we become the butt of a joke, the object of national criticism, or a cause of tremendously high insurance premiums?

Those who dream only of law and its practice will tick off many good reasons in very short order supporting their calling. I will not explore nor debate those reasons. I only offer alternatives.

In a country filled with lawyers and the self-serving laws of its practice, you could make a great career in law, but why add to that glut? Why add to the gross and distorted self-importance of the law by becoming just one more struggling reason to expand and confuse the law? Why must we become the butt of a joke, the object of national criticism, or a cause of tremendously high insurance premiums?

Those who dream only of law and its practice will tick off many good reasons in very short order supporting their calling. I will not explore nor debate those reasons. I only offer alternatives.

In a country filled with lawyers and the self-serving laws of its practice, you could make a great career in law, but why add to that glut? Why add to the gross and distorted self-importance of the law by becoming just one more struggling reason to expand and confuse the law? Why must we become the butt of a joke, the object of national criticism, or a cause of tremendously high insurance premiums?
Rich, from page 6

Marginal tax rates. Regrettably, he has succumbed to his own campaign rhetoric that having the federal government "soak the rich" is a viable strategy for helping the poor.

Clinton and many others don’t realize that, according to the House Democrats, the rich already pay a significantly higher percentage of their income in federal taxes than do the middle class and poor. In 1992, the households in the top fifth can expect to pay 27 percent of their incomes in federal taxes and the households in the middle fifth can expect to pay 20 percent of their income in federal taxes. The lowest fifth will pay only 9 percent of their income in federal taxes—one-third the share of the top fifth.

Granted, the top fifth's taxes as a percentage of its income have fallen in the past 12 years, from 28 percent in 1980 to 27 percent in 1992. Moreover, a full third of all federal tax payments in 1992 were collected from the top 5 percent of households, up from 27 percent in 1980. The share of federal taxes covered by the top 5 percent went from 17 times the share of the lowest fifth in 1980 to 26 times the lowest fifth in 1992. The increase occurred partly because the real incomes of the top group grew faster than the real incomes of the bottom group, but also because the real federal income and payroll tax burden of families living at the poverty income threshold fell by as much as 75 percent from 1982 to 1990.

The moral of the tax data is obvious: When the rich are given greater incentive through lower tax rates to earn and report more income, they do just that, and they take on a greater share of the federal tax burden in the process. When they are punished for their successes with higher taxes, they find ingenious ways of avoiding taxes and reduce their share of the tax burden, thus, paradoxically, shifting the burden to less fortunate Americans. Rich people are typically far smarter than the politicians who seek to soak them.

If successful, current political drives to "soak the rich" could partially reverse the economic gains of the 1980's, suppressing people's incentives to work, to save and invest. Given the globalization of markets, a soak-the-rich tax strategy would induce the affected capitalists to reinvest their capital in other nations with a more hospitable policy climate. When will politicians realize that we need to praise very successful people, not penalize them?

Richard B. McKenzie is an adjunct fellow of the Center for the Study of American Business and is a professor of management and economics at the University of California-Irvine. This article is reprinted with his permission.

Upcoming Career Services Workshops & Informational Sessions
Sept. 29 @ 3:50 Courtroom: Public Interest & Plaintiff's Trial Law
Sept. 30 @ 4:00 Room B: International & Environmental Law Careers
Oct. 5 @ 4:00 Room C: Benefits of small to mid-size practice in large cities
Oct. 6 @ 3:50 Courtroom: Working with and for Government

J BAR
Dart Tourney every Wednesday Night starting at 7:30 p.m.
stay for the "Unplugs" 9 p.m. - 1 a.m.

Daily Specials

*Monday
Well Drinks
Domestic Longneck Beer
$1.50 Tall
$1.00
$1.25 & up
$3.25

*Tuesday
Shot specials
Draft Pitchers

Watch for nightly specials

*Free Jukebox*Shot Parties*Free Darts*
Jackson's Bar
1154 Axe Ave.
462-1057
Bulls. During the summer months when baseball is in full swing and the college and pro football seasons are beginning, NBA general managers try to manipulate their 12 man basketball rosters in order to compete with the current standard of "excellence", the Chicago Bulls. NBA general managers, unlike general managers in other sports, have to deal with the financial constraints of the salary cap. The requirement to stay under the salary cap has been a large factor in many deals from occurring since most teams are already over the salary cap limit.

At the completion of last season, only a few teams, with some key improvements, would have a chance to compete on the level of the Bulls (assuming no injuries occur). In the Eastern Conference, only the Knicks and Cavaliers provided competition for the Bulls. The Trailblazers, Suns, Warriors, and Jazz could conceivably compete with the Bulls.

The Knicks added potent scorer Rolando Blackman from the Mavericks in exchange for a 1995 #1 draft pick (there may not be a draft in 1995). Blackman provides the outside shooting and defense that the Knicks need to make any significant changes. As evidenced over the last three years, the Mavericks did not match up against the Bulls. Craig Ehlo and Mike Sanders at the 2 guard and small forward positions respectively cannot match up defensively against Michael Jordan and Scott Pippen (much less defensively, respectively). The New Jersey Nets, (yes, they actually exist), despite not adding any players, helped themselves tremendously with the addition of Chuck Daly as head coach. Daly will try to turn the New Jersey Nets into a team that can compete with the Bulls.

The Pistons, two years removed from winning the championship, have been eager to restructure their roster. With the departure of John Salley and the signing of Derrick Coleman, Chris Morris, and Kenny Anderson. These 3 players were instrumental in the departure of former coach, Bill Fitch.

The Knicks, now on a roll, awaits their 3L team play up to its potential, as head coach. The 1L's pounded out five runs in the first inning and then hung on for a 7-6 victory.

The Semi-Final game saw the 3L team play up to its potential, after exhibiting an excruciatingly painful performance in last year's Cup.

The Bulls. NBA Off season! Let's Make a Deal

By Adam Karp
Sports Editor

The Knicks lead 3L's to victory, after 1L's beat 2L's

By Daniel Buksa
Editor

A veteran 3L team beat an upstart group of 1L's today. September 11 at Glenrose South Field in first round play of this year's Cardozo Cup Softball Tournament.

The format for this year's tournament saw the surprising 1L's upset a power-laden 2L team in the Quarter-Final round. The victorious 1L's then faced the 3L team, which had received a bye, in the Semi-Final Game. Having won the Semi-Final contest, the 3L's will take on a weathered faculty team, now on a roll, awaits their 3L team play up to its potential, after exhibiting an excruciatingly painful performance in last year's Cup.

The Knicks lead 3L's to victory, after 1L's beat 2L's

2L Brian Redar awaits the pitch in the first game of the 1992-1993 Cardozo Cup. The 1L's defeated the 2L's in this game, only to fall to the 3L's in the Semi-Finals.

The Semi-Final game saw the 3L team play up to its potential, after exhibiting an excruciatingly painful performance in last year's Cup. Glenn Champion got the 3L's on the board in the first by scoring on Rick Donovan's base hit. Each team scored three times in the second and two times in the third.

A base-running blunder cost the 3L's at least one run in the fourth. The 3L's scored twice in the fifth, but the 3L's scored again in the bottom of the inning to tie the game at seven.

Donovon, who turned in a solid relief-pitching performance, held the 3L's scoreless in the top of the sixth which set the stage for a dramatic last-at-bat win for the 3L team.

Shelby Evans, who had played sterling defense at second base all afternoon, started the inning with a single to third and went to second on a throwing error. The next batter, who shall remain nameless, threw a tantrum and his bat after striking out and was thrown out of the game.

Then, with one out and Evans at second base, Krista Sebastian came to the plate and ripped a shot down the third base line, clearing Evans with the game-winning run.

After the game, Sebastian said, "It was a team effort!" And so it was, the kreg was drained and everyone went to party and eat at Jackson's. A pumped-up 3L team, now on a roll, awaits their professors, not in the classroom, but on a softball field for the 1992 Cardozo Cup!