Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences

Volume 5 | Issue 1

Article 10

The mind-body problem; three equations and one solution represented by immaterial-material data

Ion G. Motofei Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Faculty of General Medicine, igmotofei@yahoo.com

David L. Rowland Valparaiso University, Department of Psychology, Valparaiso,

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/jmms Part of the Medical Physiology Commons, Mental Disorders Commons, Neurosciences Commons, Psychiatric and Mental Health Commons, and the Psychiatry Commons

Recommended Citation

Motofei, Ion G. and Rowland, David L. () "The mind-body problem; three equations and one solution represented by immaterialmaterial data," *Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences*: Vol. 5 : Iss. 1, Article 10. DOI: 10.22543/7674.51.P5969 Available at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/jmms/vol5/iss1/10

This Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Mind and Medical Sciences by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.



The mind-body problem; three equations and one solution represented by immaterial-material data

Ion G. Motofei^{1*} and David L. Rowland²

¹Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Faculty of General Medicine, Bucharest, Romania ²Valparaiso University, Department of Psychology, Valparaiso, Indiana, USA

Abstract

Human life occurs within a complex bio-psycho-social milieu, a heterogeneous system that is integrated by multiple bidirectional interrelations existing between the abstract-intangible ideas and physical-chemical support of environment. The mind is thus placed between the abstract ideas/ concepts and neurobiological brain that is further connected to environment. In other words, the mind acts as an interface between the immaterial (abstract/ intangible) data and material (biological) support. The science is unable to conceives and explains an interaction between the immaterial and material domains (to understand nature of the mind), this question generating in literature the mind-body problem.

We have published in the past a succession of articles related to the mind-body problem, in order to demonstrate the fact that this question is actually a false issue. The phenomenon of immaterial-material interaction is impossible to be explained because it never occurs, which means that there is no need to explain the immaterial-material interaction. Our mind implies only a temporal association between the immaterial data and material support, this dynamic interrelation being presented and argued here as a solution to the mind-body problem.

The limited psycho-biologic approach of the mind-body problem is expanded here to a more comprehensive and feasible bio-psycho-social perspective, generating thus three distinct (bio-psychological, bio-social, and psycho-social) equations. These three equations can be solved through a solution represented by a dynamic cerebral system (two distinct and interconnected subunits of the brain) which presumably could have the capability of receiving and processing abstract data through association (with no interaction) between immaterial and material data.

Keywords : mind-body problem, three equations, one solution, immaterial-material data, biopsychological, bio-social, psycho-social, internal mental interaction

Highlights

- ✓ Interaction between immaterial data and material brain is not possible, the mind body problem referring rather to procession of immaterial data by our material brain.
- ✓ Procession of abstract data by our brain is possible through association between immaterial data and material/nervous impulses of the brain, interface of this association being represented by time

To cite this article: Motofei IG, Rowland Dl. The mind-body problem; three equations and one solution represented by immaterial-material data. J Mind Med Sci. 2018; 5(1): 59-69. DOI: 10.22543/7674.51.P5969

Corresponding author: Ion G. Motofei, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Faculty of General Medicine, Dionisie Lupu Street No. 37, Bucharest, Romania, e-mail: <u>igmotofei@scholar.ro</u>

Introduction

The mind governs to a great extent both one's physical existence and psycho-social life, having a psychological self/ identity that is distinct from the physical body, and a conscious reality (colors, sounds, etc.) that differs from the surrounding physical and chemical external reality (electromagnetic waves, air mental entities and events from restricted (either vibration, chemical compounds, etc.) (1, 2).

Beyond the individual psychological existence, a society is based on the persistent social participation and interaction of its individual members, thus affords to its members benefits that would not otherwise be possible. Such benefits can be in the form of individual and/ or common benefits, and refer to various (abstract or concrete) kinds of advantages that result from social exchanges (e.g., learning, cultural traditions, playing games like chess, etc.). A society is thus characterized by patterns of relationships (in the form of social relations) between individuals who share a distinctive culture and personality. Accordingly, a given society can be described as the sum of the existing relationships of its constituent members. A large society may exhibit stratification or dominance patterns among subgroups, which usually become evident during mental interactions among its members (3, 4).

Thus, the individual is part of the society, governed by its norms and values, but who at the same time must integrate within the larger prevailing social system. If the individual contributes to societal goals, that society in turn contributes to the individual's mental development. In support of this idea, social medium, for example, is critical for evolution and development of the mind, either through a positive involvement in education alternatively, and learning or, by limiting/ counterproductive factors (social restrictions, social competition and exclusion, irrational mentalities, etc.).

Human beings are therefore complex bio-psychosocial entities that represent the end-product of biological (genetic, interactions among neural, biochemical, etc), psychological (personality, mood, behaviour, etc.) and sociocultural (school, socioeconomic tasks, cultural medium, religious norms/ beliefs, etc.) factors (5).

Contrary to this integrated bio-psycho-social perspective, literature data is still dissociated by restricted interpretations and results. For example, the psychological identity is presented in a didactic way in relation with self-image (the view you have of yourself), internal mental interaction would be independently by self-esteem (how much value you place on yourself),

would be in fact just analytical interpretation/ products of the mind (6). At opposite end, mental impairments like autism or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder would result (according to imagistic studies) due to functional disruption of several brain networks (7-9).

As a consequence, it would be insufficient to study psychological or biological) perspectives. For this reason, there are papers presenting new psychophysiologic approaches, with psychological phenomena (such as internal mental interaction) resulting from cerebral interaction between distinct subunits of the brain. In this paper, we elaborate upon this concept of internal mental interaction from a unified bio-psychosocial perspective for humans, a conceptualization which might further represent a solution to the mindbody problem.

Discussion

From a physiologic perspective, environmental interaction (between external stimuli and the body) ends at the level of primary somatosensory cortex. According to indirect realism, data from primary somatosensory cortex is further projected within the brain (to secondary somatosensory cortex) creating a veritable internalmental copy of the environment (10). Recent papers sustain that concepts like indirect realism, the self and identity should be revised, not only in respect to the level of organization and deployment (psychological versus bio-phycho-social), but also regarding the role and interrelations of the respective entities and events (11).

Thus, a relatively new theory sustains that the mind would be comprised of two distinct and complementary subunits of the brain, represented by "internal mental existence" and "internal mental reality", interacting with one another in the form of "internal mental interaction" (Figure 1). As a consequence, entire environmental interaction would be actually projected and reproduced within the brain, environmental stimuli being projected as internal mental reality (supported by the somatic nervous system) while the body would be projected as internal mental existence (supported by autonomic nervous system). Accordingly, internal mental existence and internal mental reality would be supported by specific/ dedicated neurological structures of the brain, which transmit mental data from one to the other (12).

From a functional point of view, the deployment of environmental interaction, these two/ parallel and individuality. It is unclear to what extent the self- interactions being interconnected just informationally. components would be supported by the brain, or they This functional disconnection would be able to explain the autonomy of the mind in respect to environment the brain. As a consequence, both attentional focus and (focusing attention on internal ideas/ representations while ignoring external stimuli, dreams, imagination, etc.), the fact that the mind works with internal stimuli and elaborates internal responses (without a mandatory externalization), and some pathological situations like autism spectrum disorder.

Corresponding to the physical body, internal mental existence possesses on one hand the attentional focus to on two distinct notions: indirect realism and the receive afferents (inputs), and on the other the decisionmaking process to elaborate responses (to release internal projection of external data (10), while outputs). The appurtenance of decision making psychology of self discusses about the self as "I" (the processes and attentional focus to the same neuroinformational entity of the brain (internal mental existence) is able to explain the close connection old concept of internal mental representation should be existing between these two processes. Sometimes our extended to a larger approach like internal mental decisions originate from our attentional focus, while reality, because it is dual performing not only inputs but other times we first decide what to do and subsequently also outputs. The old concept of self should be also direct our attentional focus towards objects/ people that revised, the self as "I" corresponding to a real support the performing of the established target/ desire. neurologic-informational existence (internal mental But, in handling abstract data, our autonomic system existence), while the self as "Me" would correspond to supporting internal mental existence is not able to circulating mental data that is related to our own interact with classical neurophysiological processes of conscious representation (mental identity).

decision-making processes imply intervention of a specific interface (internal mental reality), to ensure conversion of information between the mental (abstract) format and the neurophysiologic format (of the body), conversion that represents the core subject of the mindbody problem (11).

Literature conceptualization on this topic focuses psychology of self. Indirect realism is viewed as an subjective knower) and also the self as "Me" (the object that is known) (13). According to our interpretation, the



Figure 1. Internal Mental Interaction (yellow) and Environmental Interaction(green)

cerebral support; internal mental existence

Although the mind incorporates an abstract on (1, 14, 15). dimension, it has good connection and control over the physical body. Thus, the mind is able to focus attention through cerebral activation and response and indirectly on external physical stimuli (facilitating their entry to through supporting physiological (metabolic, endocrine, the conscious domain through peripheral receptors) or respiratory, circulatory) mechanisms. As an example, can elaborate (through conscious and voluntary decision mental existence ceases when the neurophysiological making) and transmit to the brain/ skeletal muscles unlimited abstract motor responses. Such abstract such as hypnosis or general anesthesia, and when the efferents are represented by gestures, participation of the hands to support abstract verbal Moreover, intensive abstract exercises can tire the mind, explanations (e.g., arranging in virtual-imaginative with increased need for sleep after a period of intense

1. Psychological existence and the corresponding space abstract entities, like a company and interrelated profit and loss), dances in different styles, and so

> In turn, the human body sustains the mind directly processing of the brain is interrupted through procedures motor brain is damaged or the physical body dies (16, 17).

fMRI have shown a direct connection between some psychological performances (attention, concentration, analytical analyze, etc.) and specific cerebral areas. Several drugs acting within the brain keep us awake (caffeine), and/ or improve our attentional focus (atomoxetine) and mental power (19, 20).

Even if there is a close connection between the mind and physical body, the mind implies a specific (intrinsic/autonomic) presence, which was described not only during attention-demanding tasks but also when an individual is awake and at rest (wakeful rest). Attentiondemanding tasks seem to be supported by task positive network of the brain, while mental presence during wakeful rest appears to be sustained by default mode having thus a strong personal character (21, 22). network of the brain (7, 8). The psychological presence (as psychological event) and the corresponding psychological existence, which are supported by the neurological support generate together internal mental existence, which is different by the physical existence of the body so that it can be compatible with analytical psychological and biological terms, the first (psychoattention and decisions (Figure 2).

mental concentration/ activity (18). Yet, studies using able for example to disconnect from environmental/ social data (ignoring external physical stimuli) and focus attention on internal abstract ideas, impressions and cogitations (using memory, imagination, thinking, etc.) (1). Due to this autonomous and analytical functioning, long-term abstract preoccupations (improvement of one's reputation, developmental professional strategies, etc.) are sometimes more important for decision making process than the short-term concrete needs of the physiological body (eating, sleeping, etc.). Such developmental strategies/ desires (generally abstract in nature) are often hidden within our minds from other members of society (who can oppose them, due to a real competition on food, houses, jobs, privileges, etc.),

> As a conclusion, the mind implies a well delineated brain but functionally disconnected (at least in part) from the physiological-environmental existence. In biologic) equation of the mind-body problem is as below

In support of internal mental existence, our mind is (see Figure 1):

1. Mental Entity + Body = Individual / personalized Mental Existence

2. Conscious representation of social data in the form of mental reality

The mind occupies a position "over" the individual (physical and psychological) existence, due to the fact that it is able to establish connections not only with the physical body but also with abstract-intangible data (playing chess for example, abstract conversations to math lessons, etc). For this reason conscious representations extend beyond the individual existence, like learning, exploration preoccupations and documentation implying a strong social-relational participation (23). The exchange of abstract ideas between individuals (e.g., through verbal and written communication) is an essential process for acquiring knowledge and for subsequent cognition (24). Accordingly, mental reality overlaps to a great extent with the surrounding social-relational medium, a proposition that can explain not only the extension of the mental reality beyond the physical body, but also the mind's capacity for awareness of social events and data (Figure 2).

Based on such mental interactions among individuals, social psychology studies the influences exercised by some individuals on the thoughts, feelings, and behaviors of others (25). Such interpersonal influences induce specific mental phenomena and

experiences like attitude, persuasion, social/ political influences, social cognition, etc. From a physiological perspective, the environment represents an external medium for the biological body; from the perspective of social psychology, the surrounding social medium is an intrinsic part of our mind, in the form of mental (psychosocial) reality (26).

This mental (psycho-social) reality is placed to disposition/ discretion of our mind for cognition, which is able to voluntarily scan the entire conscious reality (abstract job tasks, the arrangement of environmental objects, the requests of social partners, etc.) through one's attentional focus, selecting through decision making processes a certain element or task to be studied/ performed while ignoring the others. In this way, abstract social tasks sometimes take higher precedence in the mind than specific individual needs and pleasures (27). Mental reality/ representation incorporates therefore not only individual mental elements (perceptions, feelings, beliefs, identity, etc.) but also a social-relational dimension (often abstract/ informational in nature), being entirely realized and processed by our mind. In psychological and social terms, the second (psycho-social) equation of the mindbody problem would be as below (see Figure 2):

2. Mental Identity + Abstract Social Data = Psycho-Social Reality



Figure 2. The psycho-biologic (yellow), psycho-social (blue) and bio-social (green) interpretations

3. <u>Bio-social transmission of abstract social data</u>

Social interaction within social systems implies usually two (or more) individuals exchanging abstract and concrete data between them. Thus, two separate individuals are able to transmit abstract ideas between them, resorting usually to language to communicate (28, 29). On one hand, environmental social data are abstract-immaterial, so that such data are unable to interact with the physical-material body and brain. On the other hand, transmission of abstract data between two individuals clearly occurs, being possible through somatic peripheral effectors (mouth) and receptors (ears) of the body. Such transmission of abstractimmaterial data between two physical bodies is possible through the annexation of abstract data to external physical stimuli, the latter being able to interact with physical receptors of the body (1, 30).

Thus, abstract data is transmitted between two distinct persons (physical bodies) via a physical environmental stimulus, which is capable of encoding an abstract message. For example, a sound is a physical stimulus (received by ears) which can encode (in the form of a word) an abstract message (`come`). Light is another physical stimulus (received by eyes) that can encode (in the form of Morse code) the same abstract message (`come`). Signs, gestures, and written language are also received by eyes as visual stimuli, yet all these stimuli can encode the same abstract message (`come`).

These examples indicate that an abstract/ immaterial message can be attached to a physical stimulus, yet are separate from the material properties of the respective physical stimulus (you can say `come` aloud, or slowly, or with certain tonal qualities: inviting vs demanding) (31, 32).

As a conclusion, abstract social data are immaterial messages that are never self-standing, so that it must be attached to external physical stimuli. Three distinct remarks are warranted here.

The first observation is that the described attachment of abstract data to material stimuli makes sense only when it is transmitted between two distinct entities (because it incorporates a message), and when the two entities use the same encoding-decoding procedure/ language (the receiver person must be able to extract the abstract message carried by the physical stimulus. As an example, while one person might understand the meaning of a certain word in his/her native language, another who does not know that language would not understand the message (the word has no significance) (12, 22).

The second is that the attachment of abstract data to a physical stimulus is a dynamic association, established between an abstract message and succession in time of several physical stimuli (light signals for Morse code, letters in words, etc.). This dynamic association is therefore possible through time, which is related to both physical matter (being physically measurable) and to (as material data) can be associated/interrelated (which (in time) with several successive physical stimuli, but with no direct connection or interaction with the physical stimuli are distinct in nature.

associated in time with physical stimuli, so that abstract- material-immaterial organization and interpretation (1) immaterial data and properties of physical stimuli

abstract data (which is non-material) (1). Abstract does not mean they interact), generating together immaterial data can therefore be associated in dynamics through emergentism a new entity, in the form of immaterial-material data.

Immaterial-material information should, therefore, physical stimuli because abstract immaterial data and be able to exhibit new properties, which would have no meaning in a limited bio-social approach, because these The third remark is that abstract-immaterial data are new properties should emerge over/ above the level of (Figure 3).



when intensity of the sound adds to an abstract message, present a similar organization, consisting of a physical the resulted material-immaterial information exhibits support (the brain) and the corresponding materialmental properties which can be received by a person/ immaterial information, a supra-physiologic system that mind in the form of emotional messages like anger (e.g., when saying 'go' screaming), endearing/ affectionate representation and interpretation (1, 34). (when saying `come` whispering/ delicate), etc (33). immaterial information (supported by physical stimuli) be:

As an example, in a psychological interpretation, is therefore mental in nature. In turn, the mind should emerges over the level of material-immaterial

In biological and abstract-social terms, the third Being compatible with our mind, the material- (bio-social) equation of the mind-body problem would

Physical stimuli + Abstract-Social Data = Social interaction = Transfer of abstract data between two 3.

physical bodies/ entities

4. A bio-psycho-social integration

The three perspectives presented above (psychobiologic, psycho-social and bio-social) must merge into model being specific for humans (35). In support of this, we are for example conscious about psycho-social (as a result of social activity), but also at the individual

an individual through imagination, memory, or dreaming (36, 37).

To arrive at this unitary model, it is necessary to a unitary bio-psycho-social model, with such a unified transfer and implement inside of a single brain the psycho-social reality, due to three important reasons: a) we are aware of the psycho-social reality, b) conscious reality not only during interaction with social medium reality has a strong individual appurtenance, and c) social cognition/ abstract events are strongly related to level (as a result of cerebral activity). Thus, the specific cerebral areas of the brain (3, 12, 24, 26, 27, 30). conscious social reality can be contemplated/ studied by In this way it is actually generated a personal/individual reality, closely related to the individual mental state described by commonsense psychology. Being individualized, a person can resort to his/her own mental state and experience to explain and predict the behaviors and mental states of other people in a wide range of circumstances. Being supra-physiologic, the mental state is able to explain specific mental processes (like pain, pleasure, excitement, anxiety, envy, pride, empathy, etc) and, in addition, to interact with abstract/ mental data (38, 39).

conscious social reality within a single brain is to copy problem.

and supra-physiologic (material-immaterial) mental the entire social model within the respective brain. According to the social model, abstract social reality is an incontestable event and results from two distinct persons exchanging abstract messages between them. In a similar way, two distinct neuronal subunits of a brain (internal mental reality and existence) should be capable of exchanging abstract messages (or, more precisely, material-immaterial information) between them, creating/ supporting within the respective brain a supraphysiologic internal mental interaction (1, 40).

This assumption of a "dual" brain can be verified The most feasible way to transfer/ create a resorting to the three equations of the mind-body

Mind =	Individual Mental Existence	+	Psycho-Social Reality
Mind =	Mental Entity + Body	+	Mental Identity + Abstract Social Data
Mind =	Mental Entity + Mental Identity	+	Physical support + Abstract Social Data
Mind =	Conscious Existence and Representation	+	Transfer of abstract data between two
			physical entities (subunits of the brain)

From a physiological perspective, the two distinct 5. Consciousness neuronal subunits of the brain could be represented by somatic and autonomic nervous sub-systems. The somatic nervous system (SNS) ensures the relational connection of the body with the external medium (a physical/ chemical reality: electromagnetic waves, acids, bases, etc.), which is projected within the brain as an internal mental reality (colours, tastes, smells, etc.). The autonomic nervous system (ANS) would support the mental entity, which analytically processes mental data received (through attentional focus) from the internal mental reality.

The involvement of the ANS in the construction of the mind could explain the mind's capacity to work with internal stimuli instead of external stimuli (e.g., colours, sounds exist only in our mind), to elaborate internal responses (with no mandatory external transmission), environmentally-independent and to support autonomous functioning (imagination, dreams, etc.) (40-42). This autonomous functioning could explain an individual's mental capacity to disconnect attention from environmental/ social data (ignoring external physical stimuli) in favor of internal abstract ideas/ impressions (cogitation).

within supra-physiologic a interpretation

When the mind processes any kind of (abstract or the physiological concrete) data, mechanisms supporting procession are the same, but the responses are different according to the type of information that is processed. The mind is therefore able to access and process distinct information in distinct sessions (mathematics, cultural, related to its own self, etc.), somewhat similar to a computer that can run (on the same hardware support) distinct software applications in computational distinct sessions (as seen in neurosciences). Such mental processing can be performed individually, or in the form of social communication and learning (43, 44).

The SNS ensures the relational connection of the body with external medium and internal mental reality, while the ANS supports mental entity/decision and attentional focus. But the mental data are dynamic (material-immaterial data must be circulated bidirectionally), and the mind has a consciousness. To explain these characteristics, it has been suggested that the ANS receives nervous impulses from the SNS, ensures processing of the information received/ associated in an analytical manner, and the results are sent back towards the SNS. The process described

continues indefinitely (with the same or other data), resulting in a bidirectional and continuous circuit for mental data, which must flow/ be dynamic (1, 45, 46) (Figure 1).

In psychological terms, our mental presence is able to select and receive (through voluntary attentional focus) data from the internal mental reality, to consider it in an analytical manner, and return the outcome (involuntarily) back to the internal mental reality. This circuit resumes so that the individual is notified through attentional focus about the action (decision making process), about a specific object (that was taken into consideration), or about our mental entity (as a subject of our attention). This is the consciousness (qualia)-the state/ quality that enables the "somebody" (ANS) to be aware (informed) of the "something" (SNS)-within a continuous feed-back loop that keeps us conscious and awake (1). Even if the circulating data are similar along the two directions, only the direction from internal mental reality/ something (the SNS) towards mental identity (ANS) represents consciousness, as attentional focus. The opposite direction does not engender consciousness, due to the fact that the "something" can't be aware about the "somebody" (1, 22).

The mind has thus a conscious domain and an unconscious part. Physiologically, for example, the body is unable to ingest external visual stimuli, because external stimuli belong to the environment. An informational entity. Rather, it is a system composed of electromagnetic field (the physical format of external visual stimulus), for example, terminates at the level/ contact with cone cells of the eye. From this point data. The consequence of data transfer (between SNS forward, the qualitative and quantitative properties of the external visual stimulus are transformed in a physiologic format (nervous impulse) and transmitted to the primary somatosensory cortex. Thus, the primary somatosensory cortex has no access to the external (physical/ chemical) format of environmental information (45). In a similar way, our mind has no access to the physiologic format of information, because the mind is organized above this level of representation. In other words, attentional focus is unable to identify the the SNS and ANS together create through emergentism neurological support of the surrounding internal mental a complex neuro-informational entity which is able to reality (our mental existence is unable to be conscious support a dynamic processing and representation of about neurological component of the mind), because the mental substance (as immaterial-material nature) of the mind and consciousness emerges at a supra-physiologic mind (1, 40). New studies will be necessary to further level. It is a consequence of the fact that internal mental existence is designed to only receive specific data (in a mental format) from internal mental reality (1, 46).

Conclusions

The mind-body problem refers to the existing interrelation between the abstract mind and the material body. This paper continues a series of articles on this topic, suggesting that the physical matter (body and brain) is not able to interact with abstract data, but can however receive and process such abstract data. Transmission of abstract data to a person is possible through association between immaterial and material data, an association that is performed in time and as a dynamic attachment (1). Even an ordinary word for example encodes abstract data through several letters/ sounds produced over time.

The abstract dimension of the mind is a dynamic process within the brain even during sleep, and thus is able to explain the occurrence of dreams. When this dynamic process is terminated (for example, a cerebral hypoxia, for only 4-8 minutes), the abstract dimension of the mind ceases permanently. In such a case the brain may still be able to produce an arousal state, but one without awareness (consciousness and mental identity are permanently lost, or severe impaired), a condition described in the literature as persistent vegetative state (47).

Finally, the mind is not a simple neurotwo distinct and interconnected neuro-informational entities, using the same language for encoding-decoding and ANS) is not a summing effect of the two neuroinformational entities, because these two structures (having distinct/ complementary roles) present actually synergistic actions (and have no sense if one is isolated/ studied separately from the other) (1). While some cerebral structures support internal mental reality (colors for example), other structures are involved in experiential sense of self (continuity of 'I' experience), according to imagistic studies (21, 47, 48). Accordingly, develop the concept of immaterial-material association, as previously described through fMRI studies (for example, for visuo-haptic convergence) (49, 50), or to explain multiple interrelations existing between the mind, autonomic nervous system and sexuality (51-53).

References

- 1. Francken JC, Slors M. From commonsense to science, and back: the use of cognitive concepts in neuroscience. Conscious Cogn. 2014; 29: 248-58. PMID: 25299812 DOI: 10.1016/j.concog.2014.08.019
- 2. Goodman A. Organic unity theory: the mind-body problem revisited. Am J Psychiatry. 1991; 148(5): 553-63. PMID: 2018155, DOI: 10.1176/ajp.148.5.553
- 3. Connell L, Keane MT. A model of plausibility. Cogn Sci. 2006; 30(1): 95-120. PMID: 21702810, DOI: 10.1207/s15516709cog0000_53
- 4. Fingelkurts AA, Fingelkurts AA, Bagnato S, Boccagni C, Galardi G. The Chief Role of Frontal Operational Module of the Brain Default Mode Network in the Potential Recovery of Consciousness from the Vegetative State: A Preliminary Comparison of Three Case Reports. Open Neuroimag J. 2016; 10: 41-51. PMID: 27347264, DOI: 10.2174/1874440001610010041
- 5. Cobos-Aguilar H, Viniegra-Velázquez L, Pérez-Cortés P. Role of creative discussion in the learning of critical reading of scientific articles. Rev Invest Clin. 2011; 63(3): 268-78. PMID: 21888291
- 6. Merenda PF. Similarities between Prescott Lecky's theory of self-consistency and Carl Rogers' selftheory. Psychol Rep. 2010; 107(2): 647-58. PMID: 21117493, DOI: 10.2466/17.PR0.107.5.647-658
- 7. Gui D, Xu S, Zhu S, Fang Z, Spaeth AM, Xin Y, Feng T, Rao H. Resting spontaneous activity in the during prolonged attention workload. Neuroimage. 2015; 120: 323-30. PMID: 26196666, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.030
- 8. Duan X, Chen H, He C, Long Z, Guo X, Zhou Y, Uddin LQ, Chen H. Resting-state functional underconnectivity within and between large-scale cortical networks across three low-frequency bands in adolescents with autism. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2017; 79(Pt B): 434-41. PMID: 28779909, DOI: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.027
- 9. Tao J, Jiang X, Wang X, Liu H, Qian A, Yang C, Chen H, Li J, Ye Q, Wang J, Wang M. Disrupted Control-Related Functional Brain Networks in Drug-Naive Children with Attention-Deficit/ Disorder. Front Psychiatry. Hyperactivity

2017; 8: 246. PMID: 29209238, DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00246

- 10. Wilcox SB, Katz S. Can Indirect Realism be Demonstrated in the Psychological Laboratory? Philosophy of the Social Sciences 1984; 14: 149-57.
- 11. Rowland DL, Motofei IG. Psycho-physiologic emergentism; four minds in a body. J Mind Med Sci. 2017; 4(2): 85-92. DOI: 10.22543/7674.42.P8592
- 12. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. Solving the mind-body problem through two distinct concepts: internalmental existence and internal mental reality. J Mind Med Sci. 2015; 2(2): 128-141.
- 13. van der Cruijsen R, Peters S, Crone EA. Neural correlates of evaluating self and close-other in physical, academic and prosocial domains. Brain Cogn. 2017; 118: 45-53. PMID: 28759780, DOI: 10.1016/j.bandc.2017.07.008
- 14. Green CS, Bavelier D. Learning, attentional control, and action video games. Curr Biol. 2012; 22(6): R197-206. PMID: 22440805, DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.012
- 15. Guss-West C, Wulf G. Attentional focus in classical ballet: a survey of professional dancers. J Dance Med Sci. 2016; 20(1): 23-9. PMID: 27025449, DOI: 10.12678/1089-313X.20.1.23
- 16. Igamberdiev AU. Evolutionary transition from biological to social systems via generation of reflexive models of externality. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2017; 131: 336-347. PMID: 28655648, DOI: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2017.06.017
- 17. Kendler KS. A psychiatric dialogue on the mindbody problem. Am J Psychiatry. 2001; 158(7): 989-1000. PMID: 11431218, DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.7.989
- default mode network predicts performance decline 18. Kim S, James TW. Enhanced effectiveness in visuo-haptic object-selective brain regions with increasing stimulus salience. Hum Brain Mapp. 2010; 31(5): 678-93. PMID: 19830683, DOI: 10.1002/hbm.20897
 - 19. Kleiman-Weiner M, Saxe R, Tenenbaum JB. Learning a commonsense moral theory. Cognition. 2017; 167: 107-123. PMID: 28351662, DOI: 10.1016/j.cognition.2017.03.005
 - 20. Lee Masson H, Bulthé J, Op de Beeck HP, Wallraven C. Visual and Haptic Shape Processing in the Human Brain: Unisensory Processing, Multisensory Convergence, and Top-Down Influences. Cereb Cortex. 2016; 26(8): 3402-3412. PMID: 26223258,

DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhv170

- 21. Lissek S, Glaubitz B, Güntürkün O, Tegenthoff M. 32. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. Informational dichotomy Noradrenergic stimulation modulates activation of extinction-related brain regions and enhances contextual extinction learning without affecting renewal. Front Behav Neurosci. 2015; 9: 34. PMID: 33. Nishio M, Niimi S. Changes in speaking 25745389, DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00034
- 22. Manchaiah V, Zhao F, Widen S, Auzenne J, Beukes EW, Ahmadi T, Tomé D, Mahadeva D, Krishna R, Music" in Young Adults: A Cross-Cultural Study. J Am Acad Audiol. 2017; 28(6): 522-33. PMID: 28590896, DOI: 10.3766/jaaa.16046
- 23. Melloni M, Lopez V, Ibanez A. Empathy and contextual social cognition. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2014; 14(1): 407-25. PMID: 23955101, DOI: 10.3758/s13415-013-0205-3
- 24. Metzak PD, Lavigne KM, Woodward TS. 36. Posner MI. Cognitive neuropsychology and the Functional brain networks involved in reality monitoring. Neuropsychologia. 2015; 75: 50-60. PMID: 26004062, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.05.014
- 25. Minert A, Devor M. Brainstem node for loss of consciousness due to GABA(A) receptor-active anesthetics. Exp Neurol. 2016; 275 Pt 1: 38-45. PMID: 26436687

DOI: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.10.001

- 26. Moffett MW. Human identity and the evolution of societies. Hum Nat. 2013; 24(3): 219-67. PMID: 23813244, DOI: 10.1007/s12110-013-9170-3
- 27. Mori N. Styles of remembering and types of experience: an experimental investigation of reconstructive memory. Integr Psychol Behav Sci. 2008; 42(3): 291-314. PMID: 18654824, DOI: 40. Motofei IG. A dual physiological character for 10.1007/s12124-008-9068-5
- 28. Motofei IG. A dual physiological character for cerebral mechanisms of sexuality and cognition: common somatic peripheral afferents. BJU Int. 2011; 108(10): 1634-9. PMID: 21489118, DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10116.x
- 29. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. The ventralhypothalamic input route: a common neural network for abstract cognition and sexuality. BJU Int. 2014; 113(2): 296-303. PMID: 24053436, DOI: 10.1111/bju.12399
- 30. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. The mind body problem, part three: ascension of sexual function to cerebral level. J Mind Med Sci. 2016; 3(1): 1-12.
- 31. Motofei IG, Rowland DL. Structural dichotomy of the mind; the role of sexual neuromodulators. JMind Med Sci. 2016; 3(2): 131-40.

- of the mind; the role of sexual neuromodulators. JMind Med Sci. 2017; 4(1): 19–23. DOI: 10.22543/7674.41.P1923
- fundamental frequency characteristics with aging. Folia Phoniatr Logop. 2008; 60(3): 120-7. PMID: 18305390, DOI: 10.1159/000118510
- Germundsson P. Social Representation of "Loud 34. Olson IR, McCoy D, Klobusicky E, Ross LA. Social cognition and the anterior temporal lobes: a review and theoretical framework. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2013; 8(2): 123-33. PMID: 23051902, DOI: 10.1093/scan/nss119
 - 35. Poggi I, D'Errico F, Vinciarelli A. Social signals: from theory to applications. Cogn Process. 2012; 13 Suppl 2: 389-96. PMID: 22893010, DOI: 10.1007/s10339-012-0514-4
 - problem of selective attention. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol Suppl. 1987; 39: 313-6. PMID: 3477440
 - 37. Price CJ. The anatomy of language: a review of 100 fMRI studies published in 2009. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010; 1191: 62-88. PMID: 20392276, DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05444.x
 - 38. Schmidt-Wilcke T, Rosengarth K, Luerding R, Bogdahn U, Greenlee MW. Distinct patterns of functional and structural neuroplasticity associated with learning Morse code. Neuroimage. 2010; 51(3): 1234-41. PMID: 20346399, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.03.042
 - 39. Skuse D, Morris J, Lawrence K. The amygdala and development of the social brain. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2003; 1008: 91-101. PMID: 14998875
 - sexual function: libido and sexual pheromones. BJU Int. 2009; 104(11): 1702-8. PMID: 19466949 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08610.x
 - 41. Motofei IG. The etiology of premature ejaculation starting from a bihormonal model of normal sexual stimulation. Int J Impot Res. 2001; 13(1): 49-50. PMID: 11313842, DOI: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3900632
 - 42. Motofei IG, Rowland DL, Păunică I, Tănăsescu OC, Banu P, Păunică S. Finasteride as a model for personalized medicine. J Mind Med Sci. 2017; 4(2): 125-31. DOI: 10.22543/7674.42.P125131
 - 43. Tzvieli A, Zaig T, Ayal I, Thieberger G, Rothschild S, Barak Y. The Impact of Self-directed Voice of Love Messages on Anger: A Pilot Study. Adv Mind Body Med. 2017; 31(2): 12-15. PMID: 28659509
 - 44. Hogea LM, Nussbaum LA, Chiriac DV, Ageu LŞ, Andreescu NI, Grigoraș ML, Folescu R, Bredicean AC, Puiu M, Roşca EC, Simu MA, Levai CM. Integrative clinico-biological, pharmacogenetic,

neuroimagistic, neuroendocrinological and psychological correlations in depressive and anxiety disorders. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2017; 58(3): 767-775. PMID: 29250653

- 45. Van Overwalle F. Social cognition and the brain: a 58. PMID: 18381770, DOI: 10.1002/hbm.20547
- 46. Wolever RQ, Bobinet KJ, McCabe K, Mackenzie ER, Fekete E, Kusnick CA, Baime M. Effective and a randomized controlled trial. J Occup Health Psychol. 2012; 17(2): 246-58. PMID: 22352291, DOI: 10.1037/a0027278
- 47. Wong KF, Smith AC, Pierce ET, Harrell PG, Walsh JL, Salazar-Gómez AF, Tavares CL, Purdon PL, Brown EN. Statistical modeling of behavioral dynamics during propofol-induced loss of consciousness. J Neurosci Methods. 2014; 227: 65-74. PMID: 24530701,

DOI: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2014.01.026

48. Zachariae R. Psychoneuroimmunology: a biopsycho-social approach to health and disease. Scand J Psychol. 2009; 50(6): 645-51. PMID: 19930265, DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2009.00779.x

- 49. Zeng H, Weidner R, Fink GR, Chen Q. Neural correlates underlying the attentional spotlight in human parietal cortex independent of task difficulty. Hum Brain Mapp. 2017; 38(10): 4996-5018. PMID: 28653792, DOI: 10.1002/hbm.23709
- meta-analysis. Hum Brain Mapp. 2009; 30(3): 829- 50. Zuriff GE. Science and Human Behavior, dualism, and conceptual modification. J Exp Anal Behav. 2003; 80(3): 345-52. PMID: 14964715, DOI: 10.1901/jeab.2003.80-345
- viable mind-body stress reduction in the workplace: 51. Rowland DL, Motofei IG, Popa F, Constantin VD, Vasilache A, Păunică I, Bălălău C, Păunică GP, Banu P, Păunică S. The postfinasteride syndrome; an overview. J Mind Med Sci. 2016; 3(2): 99-107.
 - 52. Motofei IG, Rowland DL, Popa F, Bratucu E, Straja D, Manea M, Georgescu SR, Paunica S, Bratucu M, Balalau C, Constantin VD. A Pilot Study on Tamoxifen Sexual Side Effects and Hand Preference in Male Breast Cancer. Arch Sex Behav. 2015; 44(6): 1589-94. PMID: 26108899, DOI: 10.1007/s10508-015-0530-4
 - 53. Georgescu SR, Tampa M, Paunica S, Balalau C, Constantin V, Paunica G, Motofei IG. Distribution of post-finasteride syndrome in men with androgenic alopecia. J Invest Dermatol. 2015; 135: S40-S40