Gaffney speaks at Luncheon

By Greg Copp
Assistant News Editor

Religious freedom means showing the same respect for the beliefs of the Hare Krishnas that we show for the convictions of Catholics, Jews and Protestants.

That was the message Edward M. Gaffney, soon to become the ninth Dean of Valparaiso University School of Law, delivered as the featured speaker April 4 at the Law Day luncheon held at the Porter County Expo Center.

"This is not only as just and as free as it is respectful of its smallest and least popular communities," said Gaffney.

Gaffney pointed out that freedom of religion is the first freedom mentioned in the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, which begins, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof..."

"The right to religious belief belongs to every American, and it is the right of every American to determine the religious belief he chooses," Gaffney said.

Gaffney spoke of a campaign by "petty officials" in the 1930s and 1940s in America to "prevent the Jehovah's Witnesses from passing along their message...They created much of the modem law of free speech, but not before they were calumniated, fined and jailed for their religious convictions.

"The reason is readily comprehensible. The rightness norm in which the reason is grounded," Gaffney concluded.

Summers delivers seventh Seegers Lecture

By Kathleen E. Campbell
Staff Writer

Prof. Robert Summers of Cornell Law School and Al Meyer, VUSL Seegers Professor of Law, delivered the Seegers Lecture, which is named for his support of the University.

"Seegers, this year's Seegers Lecturer, received his law degree from Harvard University Law School and is presently on the faculty of the Cornell Law School. Summers has also co-authored several books and textbooks, including his casebook Contract and Related Obligations and his hornbook entitled The Uniform Commercial Code.

Summers believes that the reason set forth by judges to support their decisions are of great importance and divides those reasons into three basic categories: authoritative, substantive and institutional.
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Dean-designate Edward Gaffney delivers the keynote speech at the annual Law Week luncheon. From left: Jim Zieba; Gaffney; Dean Bodensteiner.
Weddington discusses leadership

By Kristen Brown
News Editor

"It's a good school and even more importantly a nice school" said new faculty member Cheryl Stultz.

Currently in the status of visiting professor, Prof. Stultz was offered a contract to become an assistant professor at Notre Dame starting next fall at the end of last semester.

Born in Washington, D.C., she attended Notre Dame as an undergraduate and Catholic University for her law school faculties.

The American Association of Law Schools (AALS) was seeking to increase the number of women minorities to diversity law school faculties.

"The (AALS) has an annual conference which also serves as a recruitment tool for law school faculties.

During the process of becoming a legal educator, Stultz applied for teaching positions and received call backs from numerous law schools.

Clinic handles prominent case

By Alex Moskovic
Associate Editor

The V.U. School of Law's Clinical program is representing Elizabeth Higgins in a divorce case against Joey Higgins, a murder suspect who was recently arrested after being featured on "America's Most Wanted."

Joey Higgins, formerly of Portage, Indiana, was found faking his own death by burning a hitchhiker in the trunk of his car on Jan. 9, 1988. Higgins was charged with murder, was to allow his family to collect on the insurance policy so he could go to Canada and live with his son. Judge for Higgins, Michael Stultz, Elizabeth, indicated that he may have been on his way to Canada.

The police recently arrested Joey Higgins after the television program, "America's Most Wanted" aired and several viewers of the program called the show's toll-free number, reporting that Higgins was seen driving a Toyota. Higgins had been profiled in this show two times before this, but the leads were too thin and the evidence insufficient for police to locate Higgins.

Elizabeth Higgins came to the V.U. Law School Clinic seeking a divorce. She is being represented by Rick Gikas, a supervising attorney of the Clinic, and Tim Lucero, a V.U. Law School student and legal intern of the Clinic.

Although the divorce is a civil case it is generally unrecorded to the criminal act brought against Joey Higgins, the criminal action has had an important effect on the divorce action.

According to Lucero, the arrest of Joey Higgins enabled the Clinic to serve him with process personally at the Newton County jail. "The Clinic's first method used was service by publication. This method, however, can be easily challenged. With personal service of process, there is no way to avoid being served."

Not only is Elizabeth Higgins' divorce action linked to the most widely publicized case in Northwest Indiana, but it brings to the reality of the Clinic's Program's involvement with the real world. As Lucero explained, "This case adds a hard-nosed practicality as opposed to the theoretical aspect of law projected within the law school classrooms."

The trial for the divorce action will be held on May 3, at 9:00 a.m. in the Porter Superior Court.

Swygert competition held

By Prof. Mary Persyn
Swygert Director

The team of second year students Phred Mackrak and Brian Welch won the School of Law's First Annual Luther M. Swygert Moot Court Competition on Monday, April 2. The challenge of a second year student Steve Fletcher and first year part-time student Mary Schwartz, both from the Indiana Supreme Court, was to determine the winner of the competition which was held in the Wessman Hall Courthouse.

Chief Judge for the final round was Judge Harlington W. Wood, Jr. from the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. The associate judges were Chief Justice Randall T. Shepherd from the Indiana Supreme Court and Judge Linda L. Chezem from the Indiana Court of Appeals. The competition and Award was established in memory of the late Judge Luther M. Swygert, former Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit by Mrs. Luther M. Swygert, Judge Luther M. Swygert, and their son. Judge Swygert was a Notre Dame Law School graduate, and chairman of the Seventh Circuit District Court from 1961-1987. He became Chief Judge in 1987. He was the first "Jurist-in-Residence" at the V.U. School of Law, and took a special interest in moot court programs which culminated in the creation of the Judge Luther M. Swygert Memorial Moot Court Competition in 1989.

The competition is judged on oral advocacy skills and the eight competitors are chosen from the top four competitors in the spring moot court tryouts and one to the top two competitors in each of Prof. Vance's and Prof. Strautzel's appellate advocacy classes. In the round of 42, each of the 88 competitors were Laura Beck, Laura Brown, Allen Foor, Phred Mackrak, Steve Fletcher, Mary Schwartz, Craig Van Es and Brian Welch.

For the final round of the competition the winners from the preliminaries were teamed together. Judges for the final round included Dean Bruce Beror, Professors Paul Bristek, Charles Ehren, Jack Hillier, Alfred Meyer, Seymour Monkowitz, Richard Stith, Cheryl Stultz, Ruth Vance, David Vandercoy and Gene Uudin.

This year's problem for the competition was Davis v. People, 270 Mich. 524 (1939) and Davis v. People, 302 Mich. 435 (1939) and the 1988 National Mock Trial Competition problem which involved the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to a jury trial by a jury of one's peers. Steve Fletcher and seniors Steven Peterson, Michelle Davis, and Phred Mackrak represented the Stage 1 Petitioner, Michelle Davis, and Phred Mackrak and Brian Welch, represented the Respondent, The People of the State of New Columbia.

According to the latest pattern, Michelle Davis was convicted of second degree murder for the shooting death of her lawyer husband following five years of physical and psychological abuse of herself and to a lesser extent, her mother. After the jury selection, the prosecutor used her 10 peremptory challenges of the 18 men and three women. Defendant/Petitioner claimed that the prosecution's use of gender-based peremptory challenges violated her Sixth Amendment right to a trial by a fair cross-section of the community and her right to an impartial jury right to equal protection under the Constitution.

Following the competition, special guests Mrs. Geri Mackrak, Dr. Steve Pletcher and Mr. Steve Pletcher, Mr. Steve Pletcher, Mrs. Susan Fitzpatrick, the Circuit Executive for the Seventh Circuit, joined all competitors, coaches and faculty members for a catered reception that night at the Swygert Committee for an evening reception. The winners were presented with prize money. Bader Mackrak and Brian Welch, receive a cash prize, and a plaque to honor their achievement.
Academic withdrawal for professors?
By J.C. Anderson
Staff Columnist

When the school first began enforcing its rigid attendance policy due to certain illustrious "no-show" alumni of the law school, no one was happy. Especially no one among the quickly growing jaded third year class. However, even the most attendance poor students showed up fairly regularly for at least made a good faith effort to be here.

Apparantly, this was not enough and the faculty pulled out its most dreaded weapon, the administrative withdrawal. Those who did not believe that professors would not remove students from their classes for lack of attendance soon found out otherwise. Some students were even denied the opportunity to sit for the February Bar Exam because they were academically withdrawn from core classes.

Then professors began limiting unexcused absences. We found ourselves locked into classes and specified schedules. Relying on those schedules, we planned out jobs, and real lives around those schedules. Often students went to great lengths to work around class schedules in order to not miss mandatory classes.

Then, we began rescheduling and making up classes (often mandatory as well). So after the trouble, in reliance on a set schedule, we had to readjust our non-curricular lives to re-adominate the new class schedule. But how realistic is this? Does anyone honestly believe that going to work (not even counting travel time, etc.) for one hour (the hour of the cancelled class) is going to be worthwhile to anyone's employer? How about the need to break in the middle of the day to make a class (and this time, we'll include travel time) that is made mandatory?

The point is this: there have been an absurd number of make ups this year. If the students can be bound to a rigid schedule, why not professors as well. I realize that professors often have good reasons to miss certain classes (or maybe that ever person's personal attendance policy calls for), then those can be made administratively up. If more classes are cancelled, make those classes optional unless of course there's a way to administratively withdraw the professor.

A parting shot on abortion
By Roger Weitgenant
Staff Columnist

I recall that at the time the Webster decision came down the political rhetoric made reference to the pro-life/pro-choice debate as some form of "holy war." The papers reported that the abortion issue was such as to split the country, similar to the abolition issue of the 1800's. What is disturbing about the abortion issue is that it is a battle, (a war?), that neither side can afford to fight. I especially take exception to the factors employed by the profile groups in making their points as to their beliefs. Reducing the decision to have an abortion to some frivolous whim is to misunderstand the choice a woman really makes in deciding to have an abortion. On the personal level, the decision to have an abortion is very emotional, both for the woman and the man involved. The profile stance that abortions, if unrestricted, will lead to callous and whimsical use is unfounded. Prolifers should learn not to diminish the decision a woman actually makes.

The law school has been inundated with many symbols and words from both camps. In fact, the works of Adolf Hitler have even come into play. A point which seems to be missing is that right or wrong, it is not the state making the decision to have an abortion. A woman makes the decision.

When and if we can overcome our fear, moral modesty concerning sexual education, our children may avoid having to make the adult decision of having an abortion.

Finally, I call upon both sides to be tolerant in listening to alternatives. On the one hand, choices should not be removed until alternatives are available. On the other hand, steps should be taken to prevent pregnancy, thereby obviating the need to choose abortion. In either case, solutions come from many tongues, people should be speaking together in order to find the common threads that will repair this apparent vent in out society.

Censorship of art is suppression of liberty
By Angelo Spratlos
Staff Columnist

Images of Nazi Germany and pre-perestroika Soviet Union where splashed across American television set the other night as I saw Cincinnati police officers, pursuant to a warrant, enter Cincinnati's Contemporary Art Center to "snatch down" (words of Chicago Alderman Robert Shaw when certain Chicago city, council alderman stormed the Art Institute to remove a student's painting depicting former mayor Harold Washington in women's lingerie) the controversial photos of artist Robert Mapplethorpe.

Mapplethorpe exhibit is touring art museums throughout the United States but has encountered little controversy until its stop in Cincinnati. Certain photos in the exhibit depict various homosexual activities and some show nude children. A Grand Jury was marched through the museum to view these photos before handing down an indictment which charged the director of the Contemporary Art Center with pandering obscenity.

Mapplethorpe, a homosexual who lost his life to AIDS a few years ago, used his art to express elements of the human condition and human experience found in the homosexual community. His depiction, to many, probably to most, is obscene. To others, this is art. Yet the larger issue is this: can a majority of Americans decide to prevent the minority of Americans from viewing this type of art or this form of expression?

Consider this: Mapplethorpe's exhibit was not shown at a public park or in an area where unwilling passers would become unwilling victims of this strong and harah art work. On the contrary, the exhibit was housed in a part of the museum which prohibited the admission of anyone under the age of eighteen. Only viewing the choice to view the exhibit could view it. What city police and local state prosecutor's office, told a small minority that the minority cannot view or express themselves in a particular way. This is censorship and censorship is and must be impermissible in our society.

Freedom of speech and expression are rights guaranteed by the Bill of Rights, rights that Americans maintain regardless of majority beliefs concerning what is proper and acceptable. In other words, the rights enumerated in the Bill of Rights do not depend or sway with majority public opinion, failure to do so is a violation of favor as opinions change.

Furthermore, the Supreme Court has said that obscenity is a piece of work,whole which lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value. Clearly the Mapplethorpe exhibit is not obscenity. Obscenity cannot be what the majority says it is. Instead, obscenity must be judged by a consistent standard that does not depend on the will of the majority at a given time. This way society can avoid the capricious and arbitrary application of the law by consistently respecting certain fundamental liberties enumerated in the Bill of Rights. Clearly, Mapplethorpe's work is not obscene.

Possibly, those wanting to take away Mapplethorpe's display that they find obscene are afraid of what the pictures depict; that is homosexuality. Mapplethorpe's attempt to break away at the part of American culture that refuses to accept this lifestyle might be what the censors fear. Either way, whether the fear is rooted in the offensiveness of the photos or in the offensiveness of homosexual lifestyle, the censors are telling a free society what they should think and how they should live. This suppression of liberty is wrong in our society, and unjustifiable.

A relief from the governor! Heck, I am the governor!
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Letters to the Editor

Vote no on constitution

Dear Editor:

This letter is in response to your editorial which takes away the SBA board vote from the student body. I would like to respectfully argue in favor of this, or at least to correct the statement in your editorial.

For many years, the student body has been given the right to vote on student constitute issues. This has not only helped to maintain balance in the SBA board, but has also given us a voice in the process of our college.

I would like to argue that the SBA board vote is necessary to maintain a balance of representation on the SBA board. The student body is the ultimate authority on student constitutions and should have a say in the process.

Sincerely,

Example Student
Barrister's Ball 1990

photos by Jon Drier

2L Bill Thomas and Becky Kolb take a moment to discuss the evening's activities.

2L Brett Schenck enjoys the tasty meal—hey, at least it was better than the luncheon!

Prof. Geri Yonover and husband Ron display their finely tuned dancing finesse.

3L's Gonzalo Rodriguez and Dave Phillips propose a toast to their upcoming graduation.

2L Tom Haarmann and guest are all smiles as they pause from the festivities for a picture.

3L's Sam Jarjour and Caroline Trier share a joke while dancing to the tunes of the Banana band.
There was no money here versus.

Jocelyn Murphy

By Allen Fore
Editor-in-Chief

After three successful years at the VU School of Law, ex-SBAs have reunited with her family and studying for his Masters in Indiana, Jocelyn is anxious to versus. She will continue to apply for.

Jocelyn Murphy's law school career comes to a close.

In Indiana, Jocelyn is anxious to

The Welter family has

Samuel Murphy's law school career comes to a close.

At the VU School of Law, she was a minority person, preferably black person and that would definitely attract more students, because when you look in the bulletin you never see a black person.

Some of Jocelyn's hobbies: I like to eat, I like to listen to music, watch videos, I rarely get to do any of that. These past few years I have been attending meetings, she commented.

Jocelyn states that "In ten years, I would like to be teaching, hopefully with the same school, and academic career for about 15 years of practical experience.

What Jocelyn would like to leave as a reminder of her law school career is a little advice, "if there's no trouble, there's no progress," she quotes from Frederic Douglass, "meaning in anything, that in student organizing, in dealing with race relations, all aspects of life, if there's no struggle to improve then there will be no progress.

It's always fun to see faculty and staff members mingling with the student body; unfortunately, some may not have recovered from the Roast (a.k.a. Sodom and Gomorrah for law students) in time to make an appearance at BB 1990. Although they might know one or two others (worried about losing their BBD's to a certain vodka-proof, Bob?). The Roast (both are equally Egyptian). Dave was a probation officer for Porter County. Although he enjoyed the job, he always wanted to return to law school. The other two years he spent at Washab College.

Before attending law school, Dave was a probation officer for Porter County. Although he enjoyed the job, he always wanted to return to law school. The other two years he spent at Washab College.

After attending law school, Dave serves as Editor-in-Chief of the VUSL Law Review. He credits that experience as one of his most rewarding at law school.

The people on law review are great to work with," he said. "They are the kind of people Dave serves as Editor-in-Chief of the VUSL Law Review. He credits that experience as one of his most rewarding at law school.

The people on law review are great to work with," he said. "They are the kind of people

Dave Welter

who are willing to set aside titles and just worry about getting the work done."

Dave is also proud of the quality of this year's publication. "We've managed to snap a few big fish," he said. "Judge Posner, Peter Huber, Peter Irons, just to name a few."

It's a good deal of experience for the law review and the school to have people of that caliber in the publication."

Dave serves as Editor-in-Chief of the VUSL Law Review. He credits that experience as one of his most rewarding at law school.

The people on law review are great to work with," he said. "They are the kind of people
early 1970’s. Committee members would be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding the revisions. The committee includes Craig Brown, Scott Kozlov, Donna McCoy, Mike Moellerling, Helen Thornton, Mark Vandenvoss and myself. Finally, please have a safe and happy summer and forget about law school for a few months.

Copp, from page 1

200th anniversary of the First Amendment religious liberty clauses, among other things, to form a forgotten framework and direction for that debate, said Gaffney. "The Williamsburg Charters put it this way," he said. "A right for a Protestant is a right for a Jew is a right for a humanist is a right for a Mormon is a right for a Muslim is a right for any other faith within the wide bounds of the republic. The First Amendment is the epitome of public justice. It serves as the golden rule of life. Rights are best guarded and responsibilities best exercised when each person guards for all others those rights which they wish guarded for fleeting months. You have to work for the rest of your life — enjoy the things you miss when you're in school (like sleeping and reading something without a "v.") in the title. In the immortal words of Grandpa Gromley (from our final Property lecture last year), "Have a safe summer. We want to see you all back again next year." Think about it.


Bulldog, Don't forget now...we're going to lambada lessons, "guess who".

Havan: thanks for being such a good friend and confidante. Best wishes to you and your Armenian Princess, Grecia.

Kim: congratulations on graduating and good luck with the wedding, I'm looking forward to it. See you at the shower, AH.

Jocelyn: You've been a good friend. We'll miss you, especially at receptions! Al.

Wazl, from page 6

3L farewells messages

DOROTHY AND PERRY: You make the weekends more enjoyable! I'll miss you both. Stop by sometimes! Jocelyn.

To my fellow 3L's: Good luck and best wishes, Jocelyn.

Tom, It was at law school when we met, who would guess it was you I'd get. Now you're leaving and I'm so sad cause I'm gonna miss you so very bad.

Love, Deanne

Mike Thakos and Jim Utill, I'll bet three to one you surely found the beans!

Weasel: are you really graduating from law school working downtown Chicago and still living at home?

Bulldog, does Ginger really rock?

Captain Cox, seaford is looking for an experienced harpooner—are you interested?

Burster, where is the penny?

Kathleen, congratulations on your job with the National Enquirer.

Malmam, do you always deliver your mail through the backdoor? C.P.

Just to let everyone know, I was not drunk when I wrecked my car, Hobbes.

Let's occurred to me what a long and strange trip it's been with J.W.C.

Margo and Wanda: Thanks for being close friends throughout all 3 years. See you in New York City! Jocelyn.

Megagoo Sam: Jimmy Joe Wily Bob-see-in touch or snipeage may be fail to you. Always, Rita.

And you too can own an original L.E. Clairebone Chiffon wrap-$5.95 and 2 Bold Box Tops. See Jeanne Calabrese.

MOL: the heart does have feelings after all. Doing season doesn't know this, incomprehensible, I still want you. Always, the one you could steal houses with.

Congratulations, Jocelyn, Helen, trends, Gonzalo, Wanda, Monica and Ngoc: thanks for all your help and support. Much success in your careers. BSLA '91.

Mr. Van Ee: I hope you make up your mind soon...before you miss the boat. PS: If Dad ever meets you, I know he'll like you. "You are the ultimate B.S.'er."

Dear Matt, the law library is worse off without you. Good luck in the future! JAM

To 1990-91 BSLA Officers: Good luck to Jennifer, Donna, Terry and Kristi! Many thanks to Allison, Jim, Allen and Kim. Let's keep in touch. JAM

Ms. Clancy Bolis: thanks for being a great leader for three years. I'm so glad that you'll be living in Chicago. You can come over for some good Greek food. Maria

Andrew: you're one in a million. I'm glad we've become such good friends. See you sometime in AZ, Maria.

Brenda: good luck with the bar. I'm sure I'll see you in Chicago.

Maria

Bulldog, Don't forget now...we're going to lambada lessons, "Guess who."

Hakan: thanks for being such a good friend and confidante.

Best wishes to you and your Armenian Princess, Grecia.

Kim: congratulations on graduating and good luck with the wedding, I'm looking forward to it. See you at the shower, AH.

Jocelyn: You've been a good friend. We'll miss you, especially at receptions! Al.

Princess

Roger: "W" — you and Ann are wonderful. Now you'll both have to come to Chicago to continue our annual dinner parties. Maria

To my other friends: I have not separately mentioned you! Be all just as important. Good luck and stop by the restaurant anytime. Maria

The Forum

April 17, 1990

Editorial Board

Allen Fore  Editor-in-Chief
Alex Moskovic  Associate Editor
Kristi Brown  News Editor
Linnea Nelson  Viewpoints Editor
Donna McCoy  Lifestyle Editor
Steven Gould  Sports Editor
Jim Zieba  Business Manager

Editorial Staff

Greg Copp  Ken Williams  Mike Swart
Scott Kozlov  Drew Dillworth

Ass't. Section Editors

Tony Makan

Doug Lalonde

Ann Lederer

Photographers

Third Place, 1989

ABA National Newspaper Competition

Newsmaker of the Week
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Valparaiso University Hourly Employees have invited the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), local 208 to help them organize into a union to secure fair wages, better benefits and better working conditions. The employees have expressed concern over how their annual cost of living raises are prevented from interfering in their pay and therefore no job security. The employees feel that the union will help to give them a leg to stand on when dealing with the University.

Some employees with families make a living — plan the university has is unfair and inadequate in its coverage. Other employees expressed unhappiness that they are only allowed six sick days a year and can only use four hours a month. If you call in for a full day one month you do not have any paid sick time for the next month. Perhaps the biggest complaint is that the University does not have any seniority system and therefore no job security. The employees feel that the union will help to give them a leg to stand on when dealing with the University.

VU employees want union

By Terry M. Williams  Assistant News Editor

Valparaiso University Hourly Employees have invited the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), local 208 to help them organize into a union to secure fair wages, better benefits and better working conditions. The employees have expressed concern over how their annual cost of living raises are prevented from interfering in their pay and therefore no job security. The employees feel that the union will help to give them a leg to stand on when dealing with the University.

Some employees with families make a living — plan the university has is unfair and inadequate in its coverage. Other employees expressed unhappiness that they are only allowed six sick days a year and can only use four hours a month. If you call in for a full day one month you do not have any paid sick time for the next month. Perhaps the biggest complaint is that the University does not have any seniority system and therefore no job security. The employees feel that the union will help to give them a leg to stand on when dealing with the University.

New summer journal planned for publication

By Mike King  Contributor

Announcing a new Valparaiso University School of Law annual publication tentatively entitled Summer Journal. The goal of this journal is to stimulate student creative intellectual thinking. The journal is implementing this goal by soliciting scholarly submissions from all of the law school's various communities.

Articles and practices notes are actively being sought from School of Law students, alumni, friends, and faculty. Subject areas are not limited, but three focus areas are currently emerging. These subject areas are 1. First Amendment, Freedom of Religion, 2. Ethics, and 3. Legal Education. Manuscript deadline is September 1, 1990. Submissions should utilize wordperfect formatting and be sent on an IBM compatible disk in care of: MICHAEL KING, Coordinator; ATTENTION SUMMER JOURNAL; VALPARAISO UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW; VALPARAISO, INDIANA 46363.

Blue Book or law review citation systems are optional, and APA or other systems acceptable.
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PETE RICHERT

ENOUGH SAID

By Drew Dillworth & Steve Gould