Parking Problems Plague Patrons

By David Galloway
On November 16, 1983, Dean Daryll Hersemann, Vice President of Student Affairs, entertained this writer’s inquiries into the present law school parking lot problem. And obviously, that is just what it is to all concerned - a problem and one that is not likely to be resolved, satisfactorily, in the near future - or any future for that matter. Presently there are approximately 260 spaces, including those along Chapel Drive, allocated for only those cars bearing the familiar blue sticker. By applying simple mathematics, it is clear that if all of the 350 or so law students drive to school we should and do have a parking problem. Combined with the assorted illegal undergrads who choose to disregard the sanctity of our lot, we have a real mess with which to contend. Evidently, the University has no current remedy in mind. According to Dean Hersemann, an effort was made three (3) years ago to alleviate what has resulted into a problem with no obvious solution. Three (3) years ago the colored sticker parking system was implemented to solve the parking situation. This system, along with a more active role asserted on the campus security's behalf, was supposed to secure available parking spaces more effective for the law school. The system has met with some success with regard to the latter objective - and this can most likely be attributed to the fact that the undergrads have found it is too far to walk from the Chapel Drive area to Gellerson. The system has failed with regard to keeping the illegal undergrads out of the law lot.

Dean Hersemann explained that there are four different colored stickers - green for the undergraduate commuters, yellow for the fraternities, red for the resident undergrads, and the blue for us. Dean Hersemann said that the purpose of the "yellow pad" is to help the University monitor which students are parking in the law school lot. And to find out if any problems exist. The results for the past three years has been the same - the "yellow pad" has been of no use. The second maxim is use the "why" question. The "why" question can be block off all escape routes in the parking lot. One man who might be able to help us is first year Steven C. Brown. Steven is our representative on the parking and traffic appeal committee. He, along with two undergrads and some yet to be determined faculty members (5), make up the board.

Steven feels the Committee has a responsibility to establish some policy with regard to the parking problems. Steven would like to see the Committee recommend that undergrads with red stickers be restricted from driving to class. After all, all of the dorms are within walking distance of all of the classrooms. Secondly, Steven suggested that we, as a group, ban the "yellow pad" or any "yellow pad" anticipation is the key. Cross examination separates truth from fact, actual knowledge from hearsay. It is not rehearsed and of more interest because of the writer’s inquiries into the present law school parking lot problem. Mr. Bugliosi stated that "there is no likely way to lose if the trial lawyer always in- tegrates, and 2/3 guesswork. A lawyer must determine what type of questions he will ask phrases such as "Lean toward" or "like" - are used to expose the witness. The juror does not have a fixed opinion against a certain rule of law. Otherwise, the juror might be swayed from apply- ing the rule of law. An opening statement is not com- plex, so for those of us with the blue proposition is to waive it. When a lawyer makes an opening statement with the "yellow pad" in order to organize, perfect, and reduce thoughts to writing. When a lawyer tries to articulate a point on a pad he realizes when he has a hard time, that he did not understand it as well as he thought. To verbalize in the best way takes time. This can be mastered if lots of time is invented and is better than being "stupid in front of the jury." The trial consists of five phases: selection of the jury, opening statement, cross exam, exam and final summation. In selecting the jury, Mr. Bugliosi stressed the importance of finding jurors who will faithfully apply the rules of law in the courtroom. The greatly limited scope of the questions reduces the selection of the jury to "1/3 ardent skill, where the preparation is the main ingredient, and 2/3 guesswork. A lawyer
VIEWPOINTS

By David Galloway

On Sept. 28, 1963, I, along with seven others, participated in the Mock Trial Tryouts. These tryouts are held annually at various law schools around the country to help pick a four-member team which will compete in the Mock Trial Competition (MTC). The MTC competition uses twelve regional competitions to select a first and second place team from which the national MTC is chosen. Valparaiso University has done well in the past, but is still seeking its first regional tryout victory.

This year’s tryout consisted of the direct- and cross-examination of a witness, who was not a party to the suit, in a simulated trial action. The exercise emphasized the participant’s knowledge of evidence and courtroom procedure as well as the use of the tests of evidence such as sound and practical objections, foundations, and data bases. These basics are fundamentals in the mentioned prerequisites for Mock Trial, Evidence and Trial Ad. However, it appeared to me that this was really the only law school prerequisite if you are fortunate enough to pick up the necessary trial skills as a clerk. Prior to the tryouts, we, the participants, were informed that we would not be competing against one another but against ourselves. Each participant was judged on many criterion such as courtroom presence, ability to use the tools of evidence, and knowledge of the law. The type of exercise is, in effect, an effort to simulate a portion of an actual suit, and depending on all participants involved, the judge, the witness, and the opposing counsel, the exercise can be quite real. However, based solely on my own experience, I found the exercise to be less than satisfying considering the amount of time and effort I personally had put into it.

But if any further doubts I would like to say I was not picked for the four person team. And sure, I was disappointed, but because I truly believe I missed out on what could have proven to have been the single most important learning experience of my legal career at Valparaiso University. I, like many of you, am an aspiring trial attorney and in no other setting could I have been afforded an opportunity to gain the experience I have. And, I believe that experience is something that is hard to get comfortable in.

At some point, most if not all, of my classmates and any student who has sat at the feet of this certain professor, has recently review of the necessary law school prerequisite at 7 p.m. and the last at 9:15 p.m. Mock trial tryouts are conducted annually at Valparaiso University. Ourying congratulations to Scott Barber, Allison Nichols, Jeff Thut and Howard Veltman who have been chosen to represent all of us on this year’s Mock Trial Team. May you all learn as much as I believe and hope there is to learn from such an experience.

Good luck.

FACULTY UPDATE

Members of the law school faculty have been chosen to represent the school over the last several months. Our illustrations mentor, Dean Peter Gromley, was recently bestowed the year at Hokkaido University in Japan. He will be teaching courses in administrative law, legislation, and legal history. Meanwhile, his wife, Professor Linda Long will be studying negotiation methods of dispute settlement.

Assistant Dean Curt Cichowski has been named as the US/U student recruitment trip to Minnesota.

YOUR TURN

This is a tribute to a professor here at the law school. While the words are mine, they echo the sentiments of most, if not all, of my classmates and any student who has sat at the feet of this certain professor. As any law student can tell you, a semester of lectures under a professor, especially one who is effective, does not have a practical grasp of the subject, or who is so far above the rest of us mortals that even the best of us can learn nothing down into less than god-like terms, is an exercise of excruciating torture. The professor should redesign the class in greater abundance than the smell of sun-baked green grass.

Therefore, it is refreshing to a student to walk into a class, be challenged, be informed, and best of all, to walk out without the familiar nagging sense of “Where’s the handle?” lurking in one’s mind. Once he or she has the knowledge of the law. There is nothing more disconcerting than to believe that you have the knowledge with good intentions, how to try your case and how you should have handled the case. The student body is simple: get a handle on it.

That solution simply get a lay witness who has little or no knowledge of the law. Then, the witness and the opposing counsel or by themselves and if they are sinking, at least they won’t know or realize it. The exercise is over.

Finally, I have a slight problem with a judge who tries opposing counsel's case

Roundtable Held

By Nadine Gjurich

The SBA/LSD Roundtable was held on Oct. 14-15 at Northern Illinois University and attended by representatives from Valparaiso University. It is an annual event on which the SBA/LSD sends representatives. They discuss the SBA/LSD, the Circuit Coordinators on Membership in SBA/LSD, client counseling and services, as well as the effect of being a law student on one’s use of law. The agenda was to discuss the possibility of a SBA/LSD, law school, newspaper, which has an idea for the SBA/LSD, as well as a group that works for income tax assistance program.

If anyone has questions about this group, has an interest in them, or wants information about the SBA/LSD, please contact either Nadine Gjurich — 2L ABA/LSD rep. or Mary Nim — 1L ABA/LSD rep.
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In (9) Transnational Perspectives (1985)

Professor Bert Z. Goodwin was awarded a Fulbright grant to teach next year at Hokkaido University in Japan. To remark on Professor’s work in administrative law, legislation, and legal history. Meanwhile, his wife, Professor Linda Long will be studying negotiation methods of dispute settlement.

Assistant Dean Curt Cichowski has been named as the US/U student recruitment trip to Minnesota.

I say he is a “giver,” I mean that he gives of his time above and beyond what he has to do. He could walk out, teach the class off the top of his head, no doubt. But, he usually comes in with some fresh ideas. He is a point to be made in class, and usually with a case or two that he has looked up.

This is also the sharing. He will share his time with you after class, generously. Some professors guard their “out- of-class” time. Not so, Professor. You are indeed a professor's professor. I appreciate this even more, I suppose. I suspect that the time he shares with his students is often given at the expense of other personal time.

Finally, this professor brings to the subjects he teaches a clarity that is stimulating. I enjoy coming to class and hearing ideas which had taken a kind of shadowy form in my mind from the reading of the text and having those ideas formed into concrete, well defined concepts. That’s exciting to me because I am motivated to go on, think to myself, I hope I subject myself completely. This professor has the ability to so stimulate.

But you have no doubts as to the identity of this professor, by now. You need have only sat in a few classes with “his” classes to know of whom I speak.

So, we salute you, Professor Gromley. You are indeed a professor’s professor. I speak not for myself alone, but for every student who has sat under your teaching and your guidance, and under your example. I appreciate that so much (and the closer to the bar, the more valuable those little tid-bits become). When

Voyle Glover
Big Chill Rivets Viewers to Seat

Now that you've filed the "Best of Valpo" in your personal library for future reference and have stored some Valpo Law School lore so you can regale your children and grandchildren, it's time for an annual movie review. I had trouble attempting to decide which movie I should review, but after conferring with Richie I decided on "The Big Chill." At first I thought it may be a doocu-drama on the cold war or a farce; however, the film is much more than a little regret. We based his answer. 'There
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"...m is very well. He must know his case very well. He must know his

evidence. This is done in order to get something into the record to make an argument the trial lawyer thought of before the case starts. "Sometimes," Mr. Bugliosi stated, "in a trial lawyer's mind he has a rebuttal-final summation-before the case starts. "The trial lawyer must know his case very well. He must know his strengths and weaknesses and must develop arguments and ar-
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Roundtable

Most questions have a cutting edge and present a summation question of the best cross exam technique to defend credibility. According to Mr. Bugliosi, the most important part of the case is the final summation. Summation tips the scales if the case is very close. The first ques-
tion on a trial lawyer's mind is himself. "Given the facts I'm aware of, what am I going to argue to obtain a favorable verdict?" Mr. Bugliosi suggested working backwards from the final summation which must be based on the need to go to the bathroom. They do all their excreting by lecturing in class. Get your Cox right.
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Valparaiso University law students flew into intramural flag football action this fall with reckless abandon only to come up short in tournament play. Wagon Mound II won the independent league title with a perfect 80 record, but were eliminated in the first round of the all campus tournament.

The Mounds were led by Mark "Rodeett-arm" Cunningham who threw to such great receivers as Dave "Pretty boy" Frodeness caught the long bombs when Nelson unloaded the ball.

David "Perfect physique" Farnbach guided the defense along with George "Gimme the Greek" Tamvakis. Steve Supporta yelled Supporta, Supporta from the sidelines.

The Mounds were led by Mark "Rodeett-arm" Cunningham who threw to such great receivers as Dave "Pretty boy" Frodeness caught the long bombs when Nelson unloaded the ball.

By Michael W. Felke

Results in the law school tennis tournament have been posted for the men's singles and mixed doubles competition, and the men's double participants are awaiting the first snowfall before playing the final matches.

The Mounds were led by Mark "Rodeett-arm" Cunningham who threw to such great receivers as Dave "Pretty boy" Frodeness caught the long bombs when Nelson unloaded the ball.

Scott "I do it with finesse" Laue came out at the top of the heap in the singles competition, said the competition was fierce and compared the game to taking finals, "you must be constantly thinking on your feet and maintaining your composure."

Laue defeated Andy "service with a smile" Black 6-4, 6-1 in finals of the tournament. Laue said his most satisfying victory came against "fifth columnist" Ivan Bodensteiner, 2-6, 6-0.

Laue said that throughout the Bodensteiner match he kept thinking about how stupid the International Shoe decision was and that the Erie Doctrine should never have been adopted.

Bodensteiner teamed up with his "Perfect physique" Black 6-4, 6-1 in finals of the tournament. Laue said his most satisfying victory came against "fifth columnist" Ivan Bodensteiner, 2-6, 6-0.
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