O'Connor finds her place
by Susan Hay

If President Reagan doesn't do one more thing while in office, he will have accomplished one of his campaign promises—that of nominating a woman to serve on the United States Supreme Court. With the induction last month of Sandra Day O'Connor, a former Arizona state court judge, Reagan's administration must be credited with one of the most momentous actions to affect our highest court.

Justice O'Connor received a 99-0 Senate confirmation. That she was so overwhelmingly confirmed before she was solemnly sworn in on Friday, September 25, The abstaining senator, G. William Miller, could find no reason to object to her, an issue that first appeared as though it might cause some problems, because of the strong Senate approval—was O'Connor's "liberal" stand on abortion. Nevertheless, it is a question during the debate to determine her fitness for the position. O'Connor effectively unconvincingly argued that she was right for the job and that she maintained conservative ideals appropriate to the regime nominating her.

Beginning with the October term, Justice O'Connor will take a lifetime seat on the Court. Without federal court experience on which to rely, she will face the often complicated and crucial issues that the Court must decide. Additionally, the Court has many problems with GTE and more crucially, would not allow S.B.A. to enter a pre-bono relationship with the University's own law firm of Hepner, Wagner and Evans, who were willing to go on a pro bono basis for GTE and had experience practicing before the Public Service Commission of Indiana.

"If it's time," said Ray Merritt of the University's refusal to participate, "the University has had many problems with GTE in the past and simply does not want to get involved with it in the future." The reason for our lack of a pay phone stems back to a few years ago when O'Connor decided to use their own phone system, a move that more easily put phones in dorm rooms throughout the campus but necessitated a purchase of nearly all of GTE's underground cables. Of 17 pay phone booths that populated this campus, only two with their corresponding trouble remain.

Ray Merritt has been trying to work something out with the University to get a new pay phone installed. His efforts have been stymied to date. GTE will not connect a pay phone to the University's own phone system for maintenance reasons, but they are willing to lay a new line to the law school from a trunk line out in U.S. 30. Of course, they want $3400 and a guarantee of $44 monthly income from the University for their efforts.

The University graciously declined but countered with the offer of leasing a pay phone to GTE the line necessary to connect a new phone. Again, GTE wants its own line and nothing else.

The S.B.A. Executive Board seems to feel GTE's reasoning is faulty since the F.C.C. ruled several years ago that customers could not be prevented from owning their own equipment, which, they feel, should apply to underground cables as well as Donald Duck phones you can buy in discount houses. A law suit may very well decide this issue.

An attempt was also made to arrange for the downstairs phone to be hooked into the university system, thereby allowing internet students, like their undergraduate cohorts, to get a billing number from which they can charge long-distance calls. But the tariff issued to GTE by the Public Service Commission of Indiana requires that a student, to do so, must actually reside in a university dorm. So, the S.B.A. Executive Board is now considering the options before it:

1. Nothing could be done. Is a pay phone worth the litigation, especially considering that a new underground payphone is projected to be built in the nearest possible future?

2. S.B.A. could petition GTE to change its tariff to allow the law school to become part of the University's system.

3. An anti-trust complaint with the P.S.C. could be filed on the basis that students exclusion from adequate telephone service.

4. A complaint could be filed with the F.C.C. in Washington, D.C.

No recommendation has formally been made by Ray Merritt to the Board, and no decision has been reached.

First V.U. chair to Louis Bartelt

Valparaiso University School of Law Professor Louis F. Bartelt, Jr. has been chosen to occupy the first fully endowed faculty chair in the history of Valparaiso University. The chair has been established for the School of Law by Mr. Edward Seegers in honor of his parents, Louis and Anna Seegers. Professor Bartelt was installed as the Seegers Distinguished Service Professor of Law on Friday, October 8. Professor Bartelt is a former dean of the School of Law and is the senior professor of the law faculty. Professor Frederick Thomford, a School of Law alumnus and the Lindsay Young Professor of Law at the University of Tennessee, delivered a brief homily.

The entire law school community wishes to express its gratitude to Mr. Seegers for his generous gift. It also wants Professor Bartelt to know that everyone connected with the law school is very proud of his achievements.

Building plans on track
by Sally Schalk

Concern about crowding and inadequate facilities in Wesemann Hall has led a sub-committee of the Valparaiso University Board of Trustees to give preliminary approval to plans to build a new law school in the Heritage Park section of the campus, according to Ray Merritt, SBA President. The Board of Trustees has received input from a committee working on the project which is headed by Philipp Brockington Jr., Associate Professor of Law.

The idea which seems to have attracted the most support is to build a new facility at the Heritage Park site (formerly known as "old campus"). The building project housing the School of Law would in turn be used by the University's administration building, thus consolidating the many administrative offices scattered around the campus.

Architects working on the project have not recommended renovating an old building in Heritage Park for the new law school because of the possible long-range structural problems, Ray said. (Ray added that his statements are by no means authoritative. All plans are tentative.) It is possible that a Heritage Park building would be demolished in order to make room for the new law school. If the new facility's construction receives a go-ahead, the estimated completion time is around three to five years (so don't give up your private spot in the library yet). Financial support via alumni funds will be sought to add funds which have already been set aside for the project. The new building will probably be in use by the spring of 1982. There is an excess of twice the size of the building we are currently using. The size of the student body, however, will remain about the same. The faculty is proud of the student/faculty ratio at Valpo, Ray said. To improve facilities by increasing space is the primary focus of the proposal.

continued on page 3
Our law school is currently without a pay phone. It seems as though this building, or any other building on campus, will never have one installed. The reason is not money. The reason is a lack of interest. This whole campus is plagued with examples of some administrator's ineptitude.

Our campus is littered with purposeless buildings which get little or no heat during the year. There is a purposeless parking lot, purposeless facilities, buildings with only one or two entrances (which usually happen to be inconveniently located near the department which plants trees on baseball diamonds and does not plow snow off parking sidewalks). There is inadequate housing, office space, classrooms and library facilities. But better things could be just over the horizon, at least for us law students. Hopefully, a new law center will be completed within the next few years. While none of us (hopefully) will be here then, we should not allow present law students to suffer as we have. We have a unique opportunity to make our future law school far better than what we choose. The most obvious way is donating huge sums of money in the other cheaper way is in making our ideas for improvement known to those in charge. This is such a simple solution. Let's not screw up.

To the wall for graffiti

We've always thought the mark of an interesting place, perhaps a bar frequented by an intelligent clientele or an institution of higher learning, was the blessing of creative graffiti. And, of course, the best place for such urbane discourse eternally has been washroom walls. Assuming our School of Law is populated liberally with intelligent, literate, interesting human beings, we would want to conclude that good graffiti was a part of our washroom environment, also. But, alas, such a thing has not washed here. With a surprisingly keen eye, I recently noticed someone from either the theology or our maintenence staff takes it upon himself or herself to heartlessly wipe the fertile writings of our hopes and dreams, our anger and frustrations, our ripe political ferment and our most walled off areas of the men's room downstairs.

We can no longer take this desecration of our collective creativity sitting down. The sanguine of censorship that so stubbornly rests upon the free flow of our thoughts and literate talents makes us flush with anger. Just last week I read a paroxymally rhetoric, a well-researched quote of the late, great Winston Churchill, a rather good joke about Jack Hillel, and a humorous call to get the U.S. out of North America, to name just a few of the walled jottings which were so violently washed away.

Are we to leave nothing for our posterity but the reams of rigid legal writings we are forced to write? Is there room for nothing upon this seat of learning but the piles of books we must try to ingest?

We feel there's room for more, here, and we urge the administration to allow graffiti upon our washroom walls.

An immodest proposal

by Sally Schalk

It has come to my attention that modesty is creating quite a problem around here. Nowhere do I think this is an unift topic for an opening editorial. I believe there is a lack of truly juicy beets to write about. Nevertheless, because of MODESTY, I am writing to you in an immodest manner.

Room between classes is outrageous, day after day. Now really women, we think this is an unfit topic for an editorial or at least that it indicates a lower opinion, and to furnish that check upon government which no constitution has ever provided.

Notice:

Take notice

Notice: To make things easier for all of us please notice this important notice about notices. You may have noticed the increase in the amount of notices for you to notice. We notice some of our notices have not been noticed. This is very noticeable. It is noticed that the responses to the notices have been noticeably unnoticeable. This notice is to remind you to notice the notices and to respond to the notices, because we do not want our notices to go unnoticed.

If Chicago's Latin Kings can do graffiti, why can't we?

Anonymous says...

Dear Editor:

It is a fact of life that all things have a useful period, the things is relegated to less significant purposes. For example, race horses only run for a few years, and then are put out to pasture; professional athletes end up doing commercials or promotions; even noteworthy TV anchormen step down to do only commentaries or other services requiring less exposure. But what happens to law professors after their useful purposes? Unfortunately, they keep on teaching. Even worse, they teach courses such as Federal Income Tax.

I am sure many of you are aware, but for those of you who are not, the Tax grades last year were pitiful. I think a simple statistic can best illustrate this. Of the 113 people who took the class, 57 received grades below '70 (Yes, that's more than half the class). A fact like this can lend itself to numerous interesting discussions—such as, the students must have thought the class a "Blow-off;" the students must not have gone to class; or the students must not have studied very much. However, all of these inferences are erroneous. The fact is that the proposal is a required course which has many implications for future practice. Mr. H., the professor, required attendance every day for the four-hour class; many students (including me) spent more money on books for this class than any other; and many students (again, including me) spent more time studying for this class and test than any other. Furthermore, the exam was the most unfair test I have ever taken. It consisted of one-half essay questions and one-half objective questions. The essays were so general that three hours could easily have been spent on each one. The objective questions were live or die. No partial credit was awarded and we were instructed NOT to pre-emptive solutions even though the answers were not cut-and-dried. It is apparent to me that Mr. H. did not do a good job teaching Tax last year. It must have been apparent to the faculty also because Mr. H. is not going to teach Tax again this year. However, Mr. H. will still be teaching other courses, including such exciting offerings as Jurisprudence, also a required course. So what should we do with Mr. H.? Should we have him retire to spawn future Cardozos? Should he get a job promoting Gilbert's and Casenotes in the book store? Should he be restricted to mini-

P.S. I'm not foolish enough to put my name since I have to take still another Mr. H. test and I also believe the number code system is a joke.

More on the noise

To The Editor:

Due to the rising costs of legal education, few law students enjoy the luxury of spacious, private living quarters. Most of us have roommates with whom we share small, cramped apartments. Others live at home with families, often subjected to frequent disruption while attempting to study. For these and other reasons, it is especially important that we be able to escape to the peace and quiet of the law library for study purposes. The library was not designed to be a campus social center. It is a serious place for serious study. Students wishing to converse loudly and exclusively should retire to the lobby or lounge area. Greater consideration for those students wishing to use the law library for its intended purpose would be greatly appreciated.

Tula Kavadias
1st Year Law Student

Editor's note: The Forum has received several letters on this matter. We once again urge students to be considerate of their fellow students. Please, do not carry on conversations in the library.
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Are Ashtrays Lethal Weapons?

by Cathy Reid

"Feelings, emotions and irrational fear cannot be allowed to affect the course of future legislation," said National Rifle Association member David Hiducke during a handgun control debate held September 30 here on campus.

His opponent in the debate, Kathy Zartman, Committee of Handgun Control President, characterized her support of handgun control not as an attack on the "right to bear arms," but as an attempt to reduce handgun deaths over handgun misuse, making handgun deaths "a public health problem of epidemic proportion."

According to Hiducke, the Second Amendment guarantees every citizen the right to bear arms as a member of the citizen's militia. "Society is not the watchful, peaceful force stated Hiducke. "The ultimate donor is not with the people alone, but with the police and the state."

Hiducke went on to argue that police officers are more proficient than the average citizen in the availability of handguns and the extent of violent crime.

"If I really were worried about my safety," he told the audience, "I could hurt you with this ash tray just as easily as with a handgun." In support of his position that it is people and not guns that "put gun on the street," he cited statistics given to people "by Congress and the Lord!"

Kathy Zartman agreed with Hiducke that the handgun problem is one of misuse, but she argued that the problem will be more efficiently dealt with by expanding handgun control. "It is easier to deal with availability than with human foibles and faults," she said. "A handgun is a tool which facilitates the crime, and the presence of a weapon is an encouragement of crime, especially on the young, the weak and the meek who can't defend themselves."

Zartman pointed out that handgun control is not the people alone, but as an attempt to reduce the incidence of guns among children. "Madam, do not commit homicide," she told the audience. "Let us return to our own caseload. We conducted all our clinic students. We have succeeded in our clinic students.

Students Get

by Tomatin M. Alfaro-Garcia

Brett Gardner

Maggie Mawby

Anyone want to join the real world of legal battles? To finally apply all those learned principles of law to actual cases? To be delegated an enormous amount of discretion in the handling of live cases? Well, that's exactly the type of experience you will receive as a participant in the Prosecuting Attorney's Association of Michigan (PAAM) Intern Program.

Any intern selected under the PAAM program is eligible to practice law in Michigan under General Court Rule 921. GCR 921 allows law students who have successfully completed their first year of study to practice law under the auspices of the Prosecuting Attorney's Legal Aid or City Attorney's Offices. However, the PAAM program prefers students who have had Evidence, Speaking from experience, Criminal Procedure and Trial Advocacy would be helpful, too. As interns last year each of us had our own caseload. We conducted all police and witness interviews, performed investigative functions, and filed supplemental pleadings. Other duties included conducting preliminary exams, pre-trial conferences and plea negotiations. We gained open court experience through motions practice, handling mandamus in incompetency and juvenile hearings.

Most importantly, interns are assigned full responsibility for jury trials and bench trials. If anyone anywhere has a lot of responsibility, it's an intern! But PAAM will prepare interns for this responsibility with an intensive orientation seminar prior to their starting date.

The county prosecutors appreciate the work interns do and will give on-the-job direction and guidance. Upon selection, interns will be placed in selected Prosecutors offices throughout the state. Internship placements will be compensated $20 per hour and will extend for the duration of the semester. After completing 20 hours of work per week, PAAM will also assist interns in locating housing.

If you have any questions or wants more information, feel free to contact any one of us. A representative from PAAM can be at the Spring Intros. Wednesday, October 21, 1981 at 10:00 a.m. to meet with interested students.
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The Board of Trustees has special interest in the entire judicial system is based on the premise that a law school facility will be constructed and made available for students to see. The plan to use our building is effective is not a feasible alternative. "The building was never meant to be pro-female is incorrectly not meant to be added on to vertically, and to spread out horizontally doesn't look too good," Reid said. "The best interests of the public can be served with the Heritage Park/administrative building idea," he added.
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Timeless

2. What guitarist for a famous rock group built his own guitar and earned a Ph.D. in Astro-physics?
3. What do Pat Hadlin, Bill Bradley, and Kris Kristofferson have in common?
4. Who graded the centerfold of the first Playboy magazine?
5. Who was the first man to sing on S.C.U.B.A.

The short time I have been here. For Dear 3rd Year, has been written by someone who had discovered some amazing things in the Doors. AI is a funny guy. Jim is a band. Jim Morrison used to sing for who teaches Finance."

Dear 3rd Year,

"The J" stands for "Justice." For example, there was Justice Stewart and now there is Justice O'Connor. However, I find it incredible that no one minds changing the name to Justice. I'm sure they just get teased a lot by their friends. Dear 3rd Year,

Dear Confused,

You chucklehead! The "J" stands for "Justice." For example, there was Justice Stewart and now there is Justice O'Connor. However, I find it incredible that no one minds changing the name to Justice. I'm sure they just get teased a lot by their friends.

Dear 3rd Year,

How many law students does it take to change a light bulb? Signed, Ed McMahon

Five, one to climb the ladder, one to hold it, and three to stand around and talk about the possible tort liabilities if the guy on the ladder falls off. You can find this gem and many other funny things in Jack Hiller's latest release, Don't Understand Why They Don't Release, I.

Dear 3rd Year,

My wife and I recently attended a Myths		Answered on page 8

Trivia

1. What newspaper boasts “All the news that’s fit to print?”
2. I can think of eight “rock” groups whose names contain a part of the human body. How many can you name?
3. What is the chemical name for the plastic that is used to make record albums?
4. Only one state markets colorless theriess. What state is it?
5. What is the best selling book of all time?

Signed, Send, Moe

Law School Myths Exploded

REALITY: Who would know if Dean Ehren was missing?

MYTH: Professor Levinson is just another pretty face.

REALITY: Anyone who has sat in on any of her classes knows better.

MYTH: The library is a quiet place to study.

REALITY: The library is a veritable country club. If anyone wishes to study he had better stay home.

By Dea Wildlage

The recent increase in the number of undergraduate women appearing in the law library has filled me with an air of nostalgia. I am a reformed law school groupie. Although I never studied in the law library during my undergraduate days, I did attend every available law school event that I could manage to. In fact, I went to so many law school affairs, that when I actually started law school, several professors thought that I was going through for the second time.

My tenure as a law school groupie began my freshman year at Valpo. It was a typical Saturday evening spent at a fraternity on Old Campus. One of my friends introduced me to a group of male law students. From then on, I was hooked.

I was a dedicated law groupie. I could sniff out any law party within a ten-mile radius of campus. I went to all of the intramural sporting events that my law idols participated in. I even attended a few oral arguments. I now think I was a pretty sad case.

I want to make it perfectly clear that I was not out for my "M.R.S." degree. However, I did carry a net at all times, just in case. My motives are in a twist. I find myself with the law school crowd were that I felt law school was mentally, intellectually superior to, and far more mature than the garden variety undergraduate. I now know better.

Several of my friends were law groups as well. We each zeroed in on a particular male first-year law student (I said I was not out to catch a husband. I did, however, want to intercept a little romance into my life). If there is such a thing as killing with kindness, my friends and I were the grand masters of homicidal manias. One girl I know tried to cook her way into the hearts of countless law students. At the end of her college career, all she had to show for her efforts were dishpan hands and an impressive file recipe. The last time I heard she had opened a restaurant. If you are ever in Milwaukee, be sure to stop in at Doreen's Beanery—but do not mention that you are a law student.

Another friend of mine tried being seductive. All she got was "Thanks for the memory." She could have gained that much from watching a Bob Hope special on television. As for me, I was simultaneously witty and sneaky, since I am neither domestic nor seductive. I practiced for hours being clever—sneaking my vocabulary with legal terminology and mentally cataloging every lawyer joke I ever heard.

My stalking tactics would have made the CIA envious. The particular object of my adoration could never escape my surveillance techniques, no matter how hard he tried. To this day there is a young attorney in Michigan who still looks over his shoulder to make sure that I am not lurking in the shadows.

One of my closest friends managed to break out of the groupie mold. She committed matrimony with her idol (or should I say "ideal"?). I do not think that she was ever a law school groupie to begin with. She grew close to her friend, whereas the typical groupie worships from afar.

My jaded career as a law groupie came to an abrupt halt at the end of my junior year in college. My idols graduated. If I were paranoid, I would think that they did it just to get away from me. I grew up a great deal my senior year and by the time I officially graduated law school, I had my life net behind without a backward glance.

It is nice to have law students as genuine friends instead of craning my neck to get a glimpse of a person on a pedestal. Every time I see a perky undergraduate female enter our lion's den, I want to warn her of the dangers within. Then I think of the fun that I had stalking the savage law beast. I did learn something in the process.
by Cindy Potts
A word to those of us who feel walled behind the safe unreality of this ivory tower otherwise known as law school—‘it could be worse.

It is worse in Britain, where, according to Dr. William Rees, the problems of contemporary society go largely ignored within the law class.

The vast majority of British students are taught the traditional ‘black letter’ or book law, said Dr. Rees in his lecture at the law school September 22. Rees himself studied law at Cambridge and now teaches at the University of Durham.

Recalling his own experience as a law student, he depicted the English law professor’s approach to policy issues in a family law class as something relegated to the last five minutes of the period—if there was time. Indeed, such concerns with policy issues in class are considered by the British system to be ‘soft’ law, Rees said.

‘Hard’ law is the stuff that English lawyers are made of; the kind of law that appears in books, according to Rees. The English law student does not get a crack at reality until he/she is an apprentice, Rees said.

‘That is, after he/she finishes his/her academic work (only three years undergrad and one year of law school) the apprentice had a practical experience under a lawyer, Rees explained. The apprenticeship lasts one year and then the young lawyer is thrust on his/her own into the profession, according to Rees.

Placement Office Reopens
by Pat Swanson

Will Dean and others realized that something must be done during Millie’s absence and so they appointed Millie’s professional successor, Pat Swanson, as acting Placement Director.

The Placement Office will be open on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and I look forward to talking to each of you.

The dean and others realized that something must be done during Millie’s absence and so they appointed Pat Swanson, as acting Placement Director.

The Placement Office will be open on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and I look forward to talking to each of you.

We are busily making plans for the International Law Symposium to be held during the Spring semester. Anyone wishing to join the International Law Society or to work on the them properly duplicated, etc. The Placement Office also maintains a variety of material to help students find part-time or permanent employment.

In addition to helping with your resumes, the Placement Office also houses a collection of employment opportunities. If you have any publications out, please return them to the Placement Office. In addition, the Placement Office also offers a variety of information on part-time or permanent employment opportunities.

We look forward to working with all of you to make sure that your employment opportunities are as interesting and important as those of your colleagues.

Offering the proverbial “right arm for the job...”

by Dan Granquist
Rees speaks
continued from page 5
must at least graduate from a uni-
versity, he said.
Barriers are the prestigious mem-
bers of the profession who alone have the
right and audience in high court.
Rees said. They are the specialists,
while solicitors resemble general practi-
cers who are more likely to be businessmen and may not
plead in open court, he continued.

Further, the two branches are sub-
ject to a class distinction, as described by Rees. Aspiring students who wish to
become barristers must have an
Oxford accent or acquire one by way
of “elocution lessons,” he said. Even
with a Liverpool accent will be told to
become solicitors if they do not take
elocution lessons.

In contrast to his country’s legal
system, Rees depicted the United
States as “20 light years ahead” of its
British counterpart. He perceived the
American legal profession as taking
“an activist role in society” and
“trained to question” its place. He
remarked that the analysis of itself was
rae both in and out of the British
system.

However, he did point to some
gradual change in the English
approach to legal study. In the last 15
years law professors have become
dissatisfied with teaching the so-
called black-letter law, he said.
New courses have been introduced to
balance the rich/middle class law,
though there has been little shift from
hard to soft law, he confessed. And
although more students are being
encouraged to learn how the law
actually works in practice, most
students still get a traditional educa-
tion, Rees said.

Trivia
Announcing The Forum’s First
Annual Trivia Quiz. All law students,
faculty and staff are eligible to play.
All entrants must submit their an-
swers by Wednesday, October 26 at 4:00 p.m.
Answers must be placed in a
sealed envelope and placed in The
Forum mailbox, which is located in the
Dean’s office. Answers will be
published in the next issue of The
Forum. The winner will be announced at
the SBA Halloween Party. This
lucky person will receive a prize in
keeping with the nature of the contest.
The following trivia quiz was prepared
by Maurice Kelman, Professor of
Law, Wayne State University.

1. Recall FDR’s complaints about the
“Nine Old Men.” His Court-packing
plan would have added a new justice
for each incumbent over age 70. How
many of the Nine Old Men in 1937
were septuagenarians?
2. How many of the current justices
are over 70?
3. To date, Jimmy Carter has not had
a Supreme Court vacancy to fill.
Which other Presidents never had the
chance to appoint a justice?
4. Which President had the most
appointments to the Court?
5. Who was the last recess appointee
to the Court?
6. Which sitting justices once served
as Supreme Court law clerks?
7. Who was the longest serving
associate justice?
8. Which justice served for the
shortest period?
9. Who resigned from the Court and
was appointed to the Senate?
10. Which justices hailed from Mich-
igan?
11. How many states have never
produced a Supreme Court justice?
12. Who was the oldest person
appointed to the Court?
13. Who was the youngest justice?
14. Who was the youngest Chief
Justice?
15. How many Chief Justices have
there been?
16. Which of the Chief Justices
previously served as associate justic-
es?
17. William Howard Taft was the only
man to have been both President
and Chief Justice. Which President earlier

SBA News
by P.J. Stamper
Julie Blackburn, Trish Morris
and Christa States are hard at work
collecting ideas for remodeling the
lounge. They will be posting proposals
for students to comment on in the
near future. The Administration has
approved $10,500 for the project. The
committee contemplates buying new
furniture, carpeting and drapes.
Due to Beth Cutter’s initiative, the
law school is going to have an official
pin, ring and charm featuring the
design of the law school emblem
(Well, at least similar-looking
emblem). Balfour is going to create
a make-up of the design in the near
future, so be looking for posted
information.

Preparations for Law Day have
already begun. The site for the
Barrister’s Ball is the St. Sava
Serbian Hall in Hobart. Mark your calendar
and plan to attend on April 3, 1980.
A drafting committee has been
formed for the revision of the SBA
constitution, by-laws and formation of
an election code. Ed Biondi, Paul
Barrett, Chista States and John
Williamson are on the committee.
University President Schnabel has
approved the SBA’s request to include
students on the Dean Search Com-
mittee. Two appointments will be
made by the Executive Board in the
near future.
The SBA Board plans to file a Public
Service Commission complaint a
against GTE for refusing to install a
public pay telephone. See the story on
page one for further information.

Bottomley Visit
continued from page 5
On the situation in South West
Africa, he stated that the day will
come when South Africa will no longer
be allowed to illegally control Namibia
because of pressure from the rest of
the world, and apartheid will no longer
be viable in South Africa.
Students and faculty were also
invited to attend a Wine and Cheese
Party jointly sponsored by the SBA
Social Committee and the Interna-
tional Law Society. Discussions were
continued on the issues of Mr.
Bottomley’s speech and at that time,
Mr. Bottomley discussed the Zim-
babwe settlement, present Namibian
problems, and made comparisons
between United States and British
policies on economics, foreign affairs,
and legal systems.

HALLOWEEN
PARTY!

Yes, it’s that time of year again! time to be thinking about what
costume to wear to this year’s SBA HALLOWEEN PARTY! Last year
some law students came dressed as killer bees, Hawkins and McGeese,
Coneheads, Briefman, Law Books, Space People, Raggedy Ann and
Raggedy Andy, and yes, some people even came as law students and professors!
And by popular demand, this year’s party will be held at the same place as last year’s party because it was
so challenging to find and even more fun finding one’s way home. The
place is...

Jonynas Hall in Chesteron!
The date is...
Saturday, October 31, 1981

(yes! Halloween night!) from 8:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.

Cheap beer and mixed drinks!

FREE munchies and goodies! Music, Dancing, Laughter, Fun!
good time! BE THERE!
Gallipoli' is a filling meal of both beauty and war
by Dave Heidorn

How quickly we forget war's terror of insanity. A decade passes, long enough for those who lasted the previous horror and battle down to the potentially questioning reach of the young men who will fight out our next failed machinations.

Then, war slides quietly into conversations over cocktails once again. The main course of a presidency built in prepared bodies torn away by bullets contact, nothing more.
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Gallipoli' is a filling meal of both beauty and war
by Dave Heidorn

How quickly we forget war's terror of insanity. A decade passes, long enough for those who lasted the previous horror and battle down to the potentially questioning reach of the young men who will fight out our next failed machinations.

Then, war slides quietly into conversations over cocktails once again. The main course of a presidency built in prepared bodies torn away by bullets contact, nothing more.
The leaves are falling, the birds are wheezing, the flies are dying, the air is chilled and so is the beer. It is therefore time for another season of National Sports. This column will cover every sport known to man, and some not yet discovered.

Today’s article covers such national sports as baseball, golf, and psychotherapy. First we will explore an aftermath of the infamous baseball strike.

A Philadelphia lawyer has brought a $10 million class action suit against the owners of the National League. The lawyers have been unable to take out their aggressions at ballgames. He also filed suit against the National Labor Relations Board alleging the civil rights of assembly of all baseball fans were violated by the board's failure to appeal a federal judge's ruling that triggered the strike.

The man appears to have an imagination as well as a sense of humor. I'm not sure if he really plans to carry it to the limit. For example, he does not plan to turn in his Property exam. The two playoff teams assign the ‘best of five’ to B.A.R.D. players, during these two weeks. The winning team of Frazier and Fernandez has survived the first round, as has the second team. It is the first step towards going all the way.

Trivia Answers

Quarterback Perry Theodoros throws another pass for B.A.R.D.

The recent acquisitions of "Mous" and "Junction" have had some effect on B.A.R.D., as will be explained later. Theodoros and his corps of receivers. Theodoros has remained on the sidelines as coach and captain, and the team has vowed to "win one for the Boner." This added incentive may be what B.A.R.D. needs to go all the way this year.

The winning team of Frazier and Fernandez are on the second Semi-Annual Golf Tournament. It was the second of Steve's approach shots and Javier's putting that led them to win a 4-hole playoff round by one shot.