The team and Prof. Charles Gromley, their coach, vehemently protested but to no avail. Finally, the briefing score was announced. The competition directors refused to announce them even following the first round. When the team members traveled to Chicago on Nov. 15 to check the brief scores, the director informed them he couldn’t provide them because “they were across town.”

Drayton said quietly, “It is just unfortu­nate that was the case. It is (moot court) a good experience. Every year you make good contacts for jobs. This is the second year in a row that members from Valparaiso’s team have been given offers at moot court. Even though teams from Valparaiso have been successful, I think we have got a pretty good reputation.”

During a round, a team argues the position it has developed in the brief, as a petitioning or responding argument as necessary. The briefs and oral arguments are developed from records of an actual case that the team received in late August, Gromley said. Stated in its most simple terms, this year’s arguments were based on the issue of whether organisms created in a laboratory through re-combiant DNA or other research may be patented. During the second round, a team switches sides. There are 14 regional competitions, the final competition being held in December. A member of the United States Supreme Court customarily is a member of the judges panel for the final Moot Court Competition.

Team Aims For Texas

The Valparaiso University Law School Mock Trial Team will participate in the 1980 National Mock Trial Competition to be held sometime in January. Although the exact time and place of the competition has not yet been set, Professor Richard Stevenson and stewen Rennell Willis have put together what Stevenson thinks should be another recent team.

This year’s team consisting of third year students Michael Davis, Gregg Haffley, Ken Kingsma, Karen Krodel, Tom Parry, and Randy Shafiee. The Valparaiso team to be there, but admits that the team has been hurt by the fact that it was run so badly this year. I think it is the best possible. There is easily enough evidence for 50-60 winners to handle so any assistance would be appreciated. Volunteers may leave their names at Professor Stevenson’s office and he will contact you as soon as possible.

Profs Speak At Symposium

On November 29 and December 1, professors Paul Brietzke and Jack A. Hiller of the School of Law participated in a Symposium on Law and Sexism Development held at the Faculty of Law, University of Windsor in Ontario, Canada. The subject of the symposium was “Law and Development: Lessons from the North American Experience.”

Professor Brietzke spoke on the contribution and relevance of American and British Administrative Law to development theory and served as the discussant for Professor Stevenson’s paper. Professor Hiller, who has delivered papers at previous symposia in Windsor and had been one of the organizers of this symposium, chaired three of its sessions and presented a summary and assignment of the three days’ discussions.

Professors Brietzke and Hiller, along with professors of law at the University of Windsor, University of Western Ontario, and Professor James Paul of the Rutgers Law School participated in a symposium conducted at the Faculty of Law, University of Windsor, Canada; Professor James Paul of the Rutgers Law School and Professor James Paul of the University of Wisconsin later distributed the papers written during the symposium. The papers will be published in a forthcoming volume of the law school’s Oceania.
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Valparaiso Law School Professor Richard S. Ruth, author of "Thanksgiving For Life," was the keynote speaker at the 39th Annual Thanksgiving For Life convention in Minneapolis on November 23-25.

The Conference also held workshops teaching strategy and tactics in support of the Nestle boycott. Also, the law school's law clinic distributed abortion clinic sitio, ratification of SALT II, treaty for the World, abolition of the death sentence.

About 400 delegates, mostly from college campuses, attended the three-day convention which is a summary of Professor Ruth's remarks:

"Doing "pro-life" means something more than being against abortion. Every pro-life person has the positive attitude of helping others. But exactly what else it means is not quite clear. Does it mean helping? Does it mean fighting? Or, what is our job to be a kind of counterbalance to selfish tendencies in human nature and to protect those who are discredited, who are denied their rights which self-interest would strongly try to deny? We want to argue that the specific role of our movement is to be an exact mirror-image of those selfish tendencies in human nature and to be working to help everyone in every way.

In order to make my point clear, let me set out a model line of a selfish society and the three things that pro-life movement should get involved in trying to do:

1. "I want to argue that the specific role of our movement is to be an exact mirror-image of selfish tendencies in human nature and to be working to help everyone in every way.

We should add two additional points: first, strength may be measured by group action. Second, selfishness is least when it is least perceived to be selfishness. Pro-lifers, in other words, do not think selfishness is least when it is least perceived to be selfishness. Pro-lifers should get involved in trying to do something more exact. I think that there is a full potentiality in the movement of selfishness and that we must try to make use of all the good things, but rather only those things which we think selfishness is least likely to happen."

If this letter were entitled as an article I suppose it could be called "Reflections of a Seminarian at the "Thanksgiving For Life" Semester Finals". There are many things law school has taught me, but at this point one thing is standing out most clearly. That is that law school is at least as much a socialization as a head knowledge indoctrination of the teachings we call our legal system. And this socialization of the manipulation of legalities uncontrolled in us, carries significant dangers to our humanities.

"Let us not forget, as well as during this second year I have experienced inner turmoil while studying the law and it has taken some time for me to experience and think, and speak, what causes this problem. This turmoil which many of us experience is a sort of experience of the pain of thinking, acting, and speaking.

Law school teaches us to scrutinize fact situations, to scrutinize the meaning of law and its impact on our society and the world. As strange as it sounds, if we believe what we read in our textbooks, we are working to do the exact opposite of what we believe the law is for. When we have separated the chaff from the wheat, we are instructed, in a world of legalities, to focus on our work. That is why we must go back and think about what we have just decided were the important legal issues. Absolutely! Why? Because:

We are told this process is essential to our legal education and our legal careers. Yet, as the result of the fact that people's lives (more often people's hard-earned money) depend upon the outcomes of our work, this is an issue that can be drawn further away from the important questions of the world. So, it is important that we draw back from the fact that people's lives (more often people's hard-earned money) depend upon the outcomes of our work, this is an issue that can be drawn further away from the important questions of the world. So, it is important that we release the facts of the world from the fact that people's lives (more often people's hard-earned money) depend upon the outcomes of our work. As lawyers we will deal with people situations and people problems—and people have a right to be heard. We do not mean to say that lawyers must provide a shoulder for clients to cry on, but they must be able to provide a shoulder to clients who are losing their jobs. They must be able to provide a shoulder for clients who are losing their homes, because they are denied them, or if they are rejected by the government. They must be able to provide a shoulder to those who are discriminated, who are denied their rights, who are tortured, and exterminated. With those for whom there is no room, Christ is present in the world. (From Radens on the Uncompahgre, by Thomas Morton.)"
Students Recall Lobsters, Pooh Bear, Lemons and Last Snowball in Christmas Greetings

A CANT For Human Voices
Juri, the prudent reindeer
Always wrote in shining prose
And if you analyzed it
Law was everything you sposed.

All of the other reindeer
Used to scoff at Juri's fame;
They never thought poor Juri
Even clock twice just the same.

Juri, with your sense of right,
Decide once though it's not bright."

The scoffists all eschewed him,
Shouting, "There's no cert in thee!"
Juri, the prudent reindeer,
Saved with the lag with e-qui-t-y.

My dandruff is white, my eyes are blue,
Those cutting Jurisprudence,
The joke's on you!!

Juris, the prudent reindeer,
Wear your blue shirt and beige pants for a change. Thanks for letting me stay, and for all the good times. Stay gold.

Dear Bjorn,
Please wear your blue shirt and beige pants for a change. Happy Holidays.

Signed,
International Association of Tuna Boats

Margret, Terry, Mac, and Jim
Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.
No more outlines until May. Enjoy.

forum staff:
You're beautiful. Don't ever change.

Merry Christmas to Donn Wray, Valpo's most famous victim of Ayatullah Kho-mania.

Merry Christmas to Mr. Stith, another notch in your belt.

To the lunch group,
Watch out for the Camels of the Magi.

The Dean of D.T.P. Law Fraternity would like to wish all his cronies a Merry Christmas in 25 words or less, and he has.

Eskimos in Minnesota for Christmas - have a Happy Christmas "Tio Raul!"

Matt Downs
Have a Merry Christmas and stop sucking on lemons, sour pusses.

Millie,
Coke is the real thing and so is Christmas, have a happy one.

Merry Christmas Mr. Stith and I hope you realize I now can credit you with giving me another notch in your belt.

Thank you Mr. Stevenson for babbling everyday from 12:40 to 1:30. Have a Merry and a Happy.

Sincerely,
Brook

J.C. (the lady killer)
Merry Christmas to the stud of the second year class. Hope Santa treats you well! Perhaps another notch in your belt.

Luv,
Your Fans

To the lunch group,
Pulling metal - Friday movies - shooting - shots - good friends - Nikon night swim - coffeehouse debut - no more outlines until May. Enjoy.

Prof. Berner:
Merry Christmas. Now get out of here - we all love ya.

A:
Niagara waits for you - Merry Christmas and Enjoy.

May Confused Football Partner, 1980 begins a new season, so you better start your game face, as my "O" will be better. Stay gold, Merry Christmas.

NY Kid

Have a Merry Christmas since it is Res Judicata as to fall '79 grades.

Class of '81

Thank you Mr. Stevenson for babbling everyday from 12:40 to 1:30. Have a Merry and a Happy.

Sincerely,
Brook

All I want for Christmas is a blue suit.
S. Gerken
Make mine a double-knit.
D. Stevenson

With red plaid pants.
J. O'Hara
And a sweatshirt.
M. Witkowski

Happy New Year another semester of Con Law to wish through.
Love,
Const. Law Art.3, Sec. 2

Peggy, Autumn, Mabel, Burgess, Jerry, Much luck on your exams and have a Merry and a Happy.
Best Study Group in the whole of Valparaiso

Trial Ad students make better psychotics.
Evidence
Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year to Mr. Gromley, winner of the molasses award.
Sincerely,
Plato T. Socrates
Van Heukelem Begins Recruitment Process

by Carol Pavey

Bruce Van Heukelem is the tall, blond fellow whose face is fairly new to the administrative wing of the School of Law. As a recent graduate himself, he is not new to the other wings, however. Fortunately for everyone, he accepted the newly created position of Director of Admissions this year. The job involves more than enough work for him in this first year, so Mr. Van Heukelem wonders how other people, especially the Assistant Dean, did admissions along with their administrative work in past years. This fall, in addition to his administrative duties in admissions, which include providing information to many and varied individuals and groups, and answering multiple and diverse questions, he has begun the program of recruitment for the year.

The word "recruitment" might surprise some readers, as everyone knows there are many applicants for every opening at law school. Van Heukelem explains that there is increasing effort to find students who may want and need the particular atmosphere, orientation, and advantages Valparaiso School of Law offers, and then let them know it's here. The law school is quite a bit larger than it was six or seven years ago, but it is still small enough to offer a personal approach. Students and professors know each other and, because Van Heukelem has a great deal of Christain tradition of V.U., the professors and administrators really care about the success of the students, in all their multiple and varied areas and qualities of the professors and the education, in addition, is high. There are students in more distant areas who would want just this kind of law school experience, but do not know it's here. Bruce Van Heukelem is working to remedy this situation.

Van Heukelem has just returned from a week at the Midwest Association of Pre-Law Advisors at the University of Chicago. About sixty schools participated, and he has been part of a group of about forty-five who formed a caravan and organized "Law Fairs" in several locations throughout the Midwest. These were highly-publicized events, which drew many people into the fair-like atmosphere, where each school had its own information booth. This should give some idea of the scope and outreach of the program.

Meanwhile, a new program is underway, which will attempt to coordinate alumni admissions, by having former graduates meet with prospective students to discuss their interests in their needs and goals, and explains Valparaiso to them. If this does not keep Van Heukelem busy enough, decisions which will attempt to coordinate alumni into admissions, by having former graduates sponsor the National Appellate Advocacy Conference, will again be made.

Van Heukelem is still a sports enthusiast, participant and spectator. He especially likes snowmobiling, snowboarding, snowmobiling, and sailing. He has appreciated Lake Michigan and the winter sports here. He and his wife, who is now working as an assistant dean at Valparaiso, love to live in a small town near a very large cultural city. Students from elsewhere will probably feel somewhat bombarded by the extreme diversities here: rural versus urban, professionals and working-class people, and endless styles, in nationalities, to name a few. It is known to those who have studied it, that the area is a unique area in the diversities, one lives with in a more intimate manner than one would have in a large city. How each person handles an individual matter, but Van Heukelem feels the opportunity for the law school experience in this way in invaluable too. He hopes everyone can find the many opportunities for growth and enrichment being offered here, while learning law at Valparaiso University Law School.

Above all, he wants us all to know how much potential we have, how far we can go. It was a real pleasure to talk with you, Bruce Van Heukelem!

Baepler continued from page 1
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the promises by which we live and make trans­

tions, restrain the gross interferences with our fundamental liberties and our properties.---All of this is the gift of the presence of law and the legal system. It is the gift of God for our good.

"The lawyers who make this system work are among the last of the true generalists. Their work is done only rarely in the courtroom. Most of it is in a private or government sector. Much of it is dealing with people of all conditions and is not unlike the work of a good pastor. It is broad based, never neatly arranged into spiritual, personal, or legal categories. "

"A single act like making a will confronts us with our mortality, the nature of our relationships to family, friends and enemies, our desire to control others even beyond our deaths. To bring together good people in the midst of conflict is an enormous challenge to our compassion ---this is an enormous challenge to legal education and to the young lawyers in this place."

"But deeper than the law and the professional activities of all of us are the vital sources of human love and human life. The vitality of life and of civilization flow from the loves, hates, passions and imaginations of people, but mostly from love which seeks mutuality and community. The Gospel deals with these vitalities. The Gospel of forgive­

ness in Christ is God's way of breaking our self hatred and inhibitions, releasing the vitality of love, sustained by faith and nourished by the sure hope that God intends us not for wrath and judgment, but for life.

"Let us attend to these vitalities. Let us come into the decencies which demarcate our society. Let us send out signals and set up signposts that God's Kingdom is coming, that God is here among His people, that indeed He has a people who will struggle on behalf of all other people."

Law Student Division Sponsors Contest

The Law Student Division, in conjunction with the Section of Litigation and the Appellate Judges Conference, will again sponsor the National Appellate Advocacy Competition (a moot court competition). The Regionals will take place in March and early April.

The Regional winners, which are five ABA-approved law schools each year, will be chosen from teams composed of two or three law students each. To enter, the entry form and a thirty-five dollar entry fee must be received by the LSD's Chicago address by March 31, 1980.

For further information contact: American Bar Association Law Student Division 1155 East 60th Street Chicago, IL 60637 (312) 947-3918 or 19