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Thomas Todd Addresses Law Luncheon

Without a doubt, the highlight of all the Law Week festivities was the Luncheon on Friday, April 7th. Besides being treated to an excellent repast and listening to kudos extended to Professors Willis and Stevenson for their efforts on behalf of the Mock Trial Team, we had the pleasure of the company and comments of Chicago attorney Thomas Todd. Mr. Todd is a superlative speaker, able to entice and capture his audience by his inspiring oration. Mr. Todd's lecture concerned itself with "Law and your Access to Justice." He traced the difficult course minorities in the law have had to take in order to gain basic liberties such as the right not to be sold, the right to be a citizen, the right to vote, the right to equal educational opportunities, and the right to compete equally for jobs. Starting with the Dred Scott decision Mr. Todd examined the snail paced progress the Blacks and other minorities have made in expanding their access to justice in all forms. Beyond addressing us on the Constitutional history of the Civil Liberties movement Mr. Todd stressed that the heart of all possible solutions and improvements lay with the attitudes that we, as the lawyers, judges, and administrators of the future, foster within ourselves as we now sit within our law school buildings in all parts of the Nation. We damn well better learn to accept and get along with each other. After completion of his speech Mr. Todd and the law school community was invited over to DTP for a reception in Mr. Todd's name. While there Mr. Todd continued to discourse with a number of students on the present state of affairs between Black-White relationships and minority problems.

Friday evening, April 7th found about 200 people of the law school and Valparaiso community enjoying the Annual Delta Theta Phi Faculty Roast. This year the Honoree was Judge Russell Willis. Our own "Mr. Procedures" himself, Professor Meyers admirably conducted the course of the evening as the Masters of Ceremonies. Among those doing the Roasting was a professor who was a visiting teacher here three years ago.

Mr. Schriener flew up from Houston when he heard the Roaster was to be "The Judge". He presented his own unique view of the capabilities and hobbies of Mr. Willis, as did the other speakers. It was a different Judge that was seen through the comments of an attorney who argued before him, one of Willis' law partners, and a local attorney who has known him for quite some time. Mr. Bartelt also gushed his contribution to the affair.

We offer John and Ray our sincerest congratulations and hope they will not be embarrassed by our sense of pride in them.
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Congrats to Top Students

As usual, the annual Law Day Banquet provided everyone with an opportunity to dust off their best clothes and enjoy a good meal, several drinks, and dancing 'til 11 am. The awards for academic excellence were given out to the students who justify merit them. Keith Vandenberg won the Advocacy Award— a hearty congrats to him. The reigns of government passed to Joe Jaskowiak and his new crew. We wish them luck in the coming year. All in all the evening provided a needed occasion to sit back, relax, and forget the frustrations and cares of being legal scholars.

Congratulations to the following students on attaining the highest grades in courses they were studying: Tony Bertig, Arthur Boos, Richard Brown, Chris Buonanno, Dennis Carlson, Richard Coffie, Charles Covey, Elizabeth Gauldieles, Daniel Lane, John Lansing, Terry Miller, Daniel Murphy, Richard Palmer, James Roehr, J. Schmidt, J. Schneider, Eugene Schoon, Susan Schulze-Clasen, Donald Selerger, James Todd, David Westendorf, and Keith Vandenberg. Congratulations also to Gene Schoon, Richard Coffee, and Keith Vandenberg for being named first in their respective classes.

Dick Coffee. C'mon Dick. Smile a little!
Reflections on a Year

by Charles Weiner

In the past year the VU School of Law has seen many changes, a new Dean, a new placement office, a new assistant librarian, and several new professors. These changes were for the better, and we hope they will continue.

It was a very reactive year. When students saw problems, they sought solutions. Whether the problem was the building parade through the library to leave the building or the disappearance of books, corrections came quickly.

This is not to say that our job is over, the task is done. Within the library, there is still an important need for individual study units so that capacity can be increased. Money should also be budgeted for current periodicals, whether it is Newsweek, Fortune, or whatever, the student must be aware of what is occurring outside of Valparaiso. Moreover, more newspapers in addition to the WSJ and The New York Times should be received. The feasibility of a 24-hour library should be investigated. For those working and attending school simultaneously, an access equivalent to the non-workers should be expected.

The placement office could be VU’s most important asset. With proper morale and financial support, our graduates worst fears-no jobs-will not be realized. A proper first step could be an organized program encompassing a several-county area. Through coordination, much of the waste that occurs when dozens of law students seeking clerkships duplicate efforts can be avoided.

Myers Thanks Law Helpers

As retired vice-president of the SBA (where!), I would like to take this opportunity to publicly thank all those who assisted in putting together the law day festivities. Special thanks go to Steve “Hot Dog” Taut, Joe Jaszkowski, and Shelly Wright for handling the grill and the picnic. Les Allison saved us more than a few dollars in procuring the liquor, while Geof Wiggins, Keith Vanderberg, and Hugh Metz, and truck, helped deliver same to the Hall. Special thanks also go to Melissa Nelson and George Burgasser for helping in getting Mr. Todd as a speaker, and also to Frank Dalla for helping with the tickets. Commercially, thanks are in order to Trail-Inn Liquors and Boy-Conn Printers. Knowing that I have forgotten a few names, thanks to all those who assisted in some small or large way.

Dave Myers

The issue of summer school and accelerated graduation has surfaced again. For those married and area people who habitually study or do not wish to waste their three months of the year, VU offers few alternatives. Six credit hours maximum is insufficient. Proper attention must be given to this problem which affects many.

Other items of note include the revision of our catalog to contain a more realistic reflection of courses actually taught. Along with this institutional system needs improvements so that important announcements are not missed. Graduation ceremonies should be separate from the undergrads, and diplomas should be available in the law school so that the graduates need not compete with the undergrads at the business office in long lines.

Finally, it is our hope that future hiring policies will include the student’s voice before contract signing rather than afterward, since in the past, this has not been true. We will be losing four faculty over the summer, Professors Dawson, Hennig, Nelson and Potter along with our wonderful library desk clerk, Jeanne Schroeder, so we will again have the opportunity to assist in the selection process. We only wish those persons leaving our very best.

The potential is here. Our school has made great strides in the past. Expansion. Minority programs. Sponsorships. It is up to us to continue the progress and remind the faculty and the powers in control that we are interested in the finest education and more. Neither can be ignored.

Appellate Briefs and Other Ethical Considerations

by Kathy K. Kubik

A high point, or low, depending upon your particular views toward oral advocacy, is presently underway at Weseman Hall. Entering the Courtroom any day after 3:30, one can watch flailing or confident first year students in their first attempt at oral argument. Some advocates, according to the ever-flowing Valpo grapevine, have done exceptionally well, and are no doubt marker for truly famed future defense counsel.

Of course, at this point we must consider the realization of the first year students were furnished by our respective T.A.’s and our Legal Problems prof, Gene Hennig. While our T.A.’s provided us with horror stories of intimidating answer questions by sadistic judges, and the importance of maintaining a confident, yet cordial air toward our judges, the arguments, don’t be cocky. Gene Hennig reminded us to dress nicely, and to be polite at all times. This advice, no doubt, had some effect on the students, and with good results; so far, no one has shown up for his argument dressed in street wear, blue jeans, or gotten into a verbal or physical fight with the judges.

What is the connection between this exemmenesting case and my exemplary results? The most obvious is that by analyzing and caring teaching, students will learn those things vital to their profession. They are not taught in the non-stop drone of our substantive courses. This is, in effect, the crucial difference between a law school, and a school dedicated to the training of legal technicians. The law school teaches moral considerations for what we do as lawyers, the technical school is devoted to black-letter law, and no consideration given to the ethical considerations from which no lawyer can divest himself.

Valpo, in the recent throes of a reputation-increasing hysteria, must devote itself to producing and guiding the development of lawyers who are not only knowledgeable in current law, but can apply an understanding of current law and past doctrines, to the practice of law, and the development and change which occurs almost daily in today's courts.

Is VU School of Law meeting this challenge? A recent occurrence in a first year class belies the illusion. A first year student queried Prof. Willis during a lecture on the scope of discovery. Should an attorney in a

criminal case, upon being told by his client, that the client had committed crime, was obliged to allow discovery of that fact to the prosecutor? The response by Judge Willis, stressing the sanctity of privileged communication, the obligation of the prosecutor to prove his case against the defendant, and the obligation of the defense attorney to defend his client, guilt or innocence notwithstanding, was nothing short of outstanding. It appears clear that any of us who currently practice criminal law will no doubt undergo some moral dilemma in having to defend a person for whom we have nothing but moral disapproval, if not downright contempt. It is clear that one’s personal disapproval or indignation with the quality of legal ability with which we will serve our client. Does the societal devise save any less protection in our courts than the theatricality of the defendant countlessly goes beserk and commits a crime, why?

Analogously, an example can be shown of a drunk who walks through the streets, and who falls into an unprotected hole left by a negligent contractor. Should the drunk’s own negligence excuse that of the contractor? The court in this case

What is the connection between this exempmenesting case and my exemplary results? The most obvious is that by analyzing and caring teaching, students will learn those things vital to their profession. They are not taught in the non-stop drone of our substantive courses. This is, in effect, the crucial difference between a law school, and a school dedicated to the training of legal technicians. The law school teaches moral considerations for what we do as lawyers, the technical school is devoted to black-letter law, and no consideration given to the ethical considerations from which no lawyer can divest himself.

Valpo, in the recent throes of a reputation-increasing hysteria, must devote itself to producing and guiding the development of lawyers who are not only knowledgeable in current law, but can apply an understanding of current law and past doctrines, to the practice of law, and the development and change which occurs almost daily in today's courts.

Is VU School of Law meeting this challenge? A recent occurrence in a first year class belies the illusion. A first year student queried Prof. Willis during a lecture on the scope of discovery. Should an attorney in a

suddenly noted that “Not only is the entity entitled to a safe street upon which to walk, but he is twice as badly in need of it!!!!”

The self-proclaimed “guilty” defendant was personally distressed as he may be, is similarly not only entitled to exemplary counsel, but twice as much in need as the “innocent”, upstanding client. It is clear that the grasp of this concept should be as much a goal of our legal education as learning the essential elements of a negligence case, the common law property rules, or even, how to correctly show one’s respect for the court.

Kudos to Judge Willis for providing the first year class with an important facet of the mindset of the ethical lawyer. I find it regrettable that such instruction on ethical behavior occurs so infrequently in this institution. Perhaps, with an increased education in the ethics of the VU School of Law, increased attention will be paid to the heavy exposure of the first year law students to the ethical considerations of their chosen profession.

Or maybe, like chivalry, the training of the ethical tradition is a dying tradition.
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Salary Negotiations Underway for Rock Star Dylan to Teach at VU Law

Rumor has it that negotiations are progressing smoothly in the signing of Bob Dylan to next year's faculty. Lawyers for Dylan report that, "he's trying to get out of the Malibu Beach swinging scene and into something more dignified." However, he's still balking at the $13,500 starting salary.

The VU front office has been mum on the subject. Dean Ehren is currently out of town and the hearsay is that they are presently conferring. Charles Gromley is one of Dylan's faculty sponsors as Gromley heard Dylan plays a mean shortstop and wields a hot bat.

Dylan is reportedly interested in teaching Family Law, Criminal Law and taxation.

Blow-out time again, kiddies. For those of you who will be feeling pleased about how the finals went, and those who will be contemplating revenge on individual professors—the SBA end of the year extravaganza will be Friday evening, May 12, 8-11 p.m., at the elegant American Legion Hall in scenic downtown Valpo. There will be snacks, drinks, dancing, lots of fun, and drinks. Admission is free so there is nothing stopping you from coming and forgetting how good or how rotten this lousy year has been.

Gromley Recruiting for VU L. Rev. Baseball Team

The last issue of the Sorghum reported Valparaiso Law School's Dean Ehren was unhappy the Law Review was included in this year's baseball league expansion. The Dean had hoped that Law Review members would write more and play less.

Charles Gromley, pictured, suggested a massive recruiting drive to replace the brainless, brawny team members. It is reported that money allocated to next year's library budget will instead be spent on recruiting and signing. Gromley also hoped enough money would be available to sponsor a moot Court baseball team.
ABA Accreditation Committee- Routine Rubber Stamping?

A ABA Accreditation Committee amended through the hallowed halls of Wesmen last week. They poked and prodded their way into the library, classrooms, faculty offices, Law Rev. offices, and even the Forum office in their ceaseless effort to determine if we deserve the honor of a nod of the head from the American Bar Association.

They heard all about our marvelous plans to expand the library, create more classes, add more students, and have more money and our hopes to expand the Clinic (if and when there’s money), and with luck before it closes down due to lack of interest. How we’re going to upgrade the quality of faculty by insisting that faculty members add their literary talents to the ever-growing mountains of legal memoranda that threaten to devour our profession whole. How the faculty will indeed be paid more (when there’s money). How the curriculum will not remain stagnant but blossom out to include myriad expanding and relevant courses. (If and when there’s money and faculty to do so.)

They heard that some students enjoy the way certain professors teach certain courses and other pupils are infuriated with the same course and prof. They observed passive and not-so-passive students, discovered that even adult students will fake interest in a course when a stranger is present in the classroom, and that even adults will give a sigh of relief when the observer leaves.) They encountered the content student, the bitter student, the vocal character and the quiet voice. They were insistent that there’s money and our hopes to expand the Clinic (if and when there’s money), and with luck before it closes down due to lack of interest. How we’re going to upgrade the quality of faculty by insisting that faculty members add their literary talents to the ever-growing mountains of legal memoranda that threaten to devour our profession whole. How the faculty will indeed be paid more (when there’s money). How the curriculum will not remain stagnant but blossom out to include myriad expanding and relevant courses. (If and when there’s money and faculty to do so.)

They heard that some students enjoy the way certain professors teach certain courses and other pupils are infuriated with the same course and prof. They observed passive and not-so-passive students, discovered that even adult students will fake interest in a course when a stranger is present in the classroom, and that even adults will give a sigh of relief when the observer leaves.) They encountered the content student, the bitter student, the vocal character and the quiet voice. They were insistent that there’s money and our hopes to expand the Clinic (if and when there’s money), and with luck before it closes down due to lack of interest. How we’re going to upgrade the quality of faculty by insisting that faculty members add their literary talents to the ever-growing mountains of legal memoranda that threaten to devour our profession whole. How the faculty will indeed be paid more (when there’s money). How the curriculum will not remain stagnant but blossom out to include myriad expanding and relevant courses. (If and when there’s money and faculty to do so.)
A Proposal for a Reputation of Excellence

Hugo E. Martz

Some time ago, you, the Forum, asked me to submit my written thoughts on a subject of my choice. I am writing on a growing concern of mine, which is the need to improve the law school, that we make Christ's teachings a living, vital part of our legal education process. My thoughts are in part the result of recent reflections and the feelings of hope and aspiration I get from St. Peter's and the need for us to consider this question in the context of the recent Law Week Celebration. This is the season when we can try to bring the "personal" and the "professional" together into a more meaningful, consistent focus.

At the outset I want to be clear that I feel I have been woefully inadequate at practicing, in the sense of 'being there' to preach. My suggestions call for a collective striving and gifts of time and students working together.

What to be clear that I want to do is to encourage the community, to examine the values and goals we are striving for to be open to persons of other faiths. However, I contend that our failure to actively seek and manifest Christian values as a cornerstone of our law school experience is one of the key problems facing us.

Several key questions face us at Valpo: 1) Do we have something special to offer to the world? 2) Is there a societal need we should address through our values that few others are fulfilling? 3) Are we willing to commit ourselves to that task?

There are some very important reasons for emphasizing Christian values in our approach to legal education. First, we would be living up to the heritage and commitment that Christ died for and cared about. Secondly, perhaps now more than ever before, the capacity to enter into society and means to achieve community and personal growth within a complex society. The law, which provides the framework for an orderly society, has a significant impact on our individual lives in this regard. Lawyers who hold Christian ideals are called to apply Christian principles in the law. Thirdly, the fact that other church-related law schools are living up to their original commitments makes it even more imperative that we live up to our own.

I am reminded that many of the applicants to our school apparently expect a certain Christian influence because they speak of it as one of the special attractions, in the essay portion of their applications. I wonder if we are fulfilling our expectations by our performance.

To me, it is not in the least bit important for all of us at Valpo to believe that Christ died for our sins. I believe that what He said is highly relevant to life, as much today as it was thousands of years ago. As a Christian institution, we are called to carry the special message of His teachings to the public through our work.

Central to Christ's teachings is the Golden Rule, the great command of love and property. He said we should love people and use property, instead of often causing us in using people and loving property. He also said that relationships among people are the essence of life; that how we relate to each other determines our relationship to God. His commandment "Love thy neighbor as thyself" is what we find God in our brothers and sisters. It is the transition of goods and services which will mutually help us and "love our neighbor as we love ourselves." Perhaps most difficult for us to understand and apply is what He said about sacrifice, key to true living. He said we should not stop searching while yet alive, for the benefit of others, must like a grain of what plants in the earth and grows and dies in order to send forth tithes and treasures of the new life. What does all of this mean to persons who are lawyers and who pursue their profession in a capitalistic system in which there is a great deal of competition on property, commercial trans-
The normal perils of the press include admissions to the openings of symphonies, theatrical performances, movies, art galleries and other events. Sometimes this includes free products. However, the FORUM is not a member of that press. Therefore we have no obligation to review or promote those same events and products. But when a book is published with its sole purpose the benefit of a small class of persons—namely us—the obligation does exist to inform our readers. The American Bar Association has just released the 1978 Washington Want Ads: A Guide to Legal Careers in the Federal Government. Authored by Susan Gilmore, The Ads represents the most extensive guide to legal careers in our government—the largest single employer of lawyers in this country. The book lists complete and concise descriptions of thousands of jobs in more than a hundred legal offices in the country’s executive departments, judicial organizations, and independent agencies. Each listing contains information on job locations, qualifications needed, salary, promotional possibilities, and when and how to apply. In addition, the Ads, lists the available summer-employment opportunities for law students. According to the October 1975 Civil Service Commission statistics, there are over 13,500 attorneys working for the federal government in general practice. The figure does not include patent attorneys, law clerks, hearing examiners and administrative law judges. Nearly half are located in Washington with the remaining in field offices scattered throughout the U.S. The ratio of male to female attorneys is ten to one. A federal attorney is assured of two commutes; a steady flow of business and no worries about collecting the bills. There are psychic rewards as well; the realization that the work involves legal controversies pertaining to issues of national significance that can have repercussions for millions of citizens. The financial rewards include a starting salary of $14,000-$17,000 with a top salary of over $40,000.

In the legislative branch, the job listings range from the Library of Congress to the Architect of the Capitol. The Judicial branch offers opportunities to clerk in Supreme Court and to practice in the U.S. Customs and Tax Courts. The Executive branch offers jobs in the Cabinet legal departments of HUD, HEW, Transportation, Commerce, Interior, Labor, and other non-Cabinet agencies. The independent agencies constitute the most Diversity. From ACTION to SEC, The Civil Aeronautics Board to the Federal Trade Commission, and Panama Canal Company to the Tennessee Valley Authority, The Washington Want Ads are available for $7.50, or $5.00 if you are a member of the ABA Law School Division of the ABA. Write: Dept. 527 1155 E. Street, Chicago, 60637. This book is a must for all first and second year students whose inklings run towards government service. Nor is it too late for graduating students to avail themselves of the books benefit.
The Women’s Conference
-On the Road to Atlanta

By Gail Hamm

The Ninth Annual Conference on Women in the Law was held last weekend, April 6-9, in Atlanta, Georgia. Linda Burton and I attended. The conference was well attended considering the ERA boycott underway, since Georgia remains an unratified state. Apparently, even President Jimmy Carter has no influence within the Georgia legislature. Several law schools and women attorneys across the country refused to come to Georgia until the ERA is passed there. The conference proceeded anyway with approximately 1200 people, (men and women) in attendance. Indeed, those of us who did attend had to do some personal conscience, and social issues concerning women.

As the reader finishes this report, it is conceivable to believe that this National Conference was primarily, or only about ratification of the ERA. That is not a fair analysis. Had this annual conference simply been one more attempt to talk about the Equal Rights Amendment, none of us would have gone to Atlanta. It is true that the focus in Atlanta was on ERA. It is also true that we patronized only the local merchants who had signed notarized affidavits of their support for ERA. It is important to understand, however, that these few things were only part of Atlanta. We did much thinking and talking and eating about the ERA because of our location. It was important to us. We did make a statement about the ERA while we were present in the state. We, those of us who decided to go, believed that our presence in Atlanta was an active, professional body in support of ERA would be an effective, visible means of demonstrating our seriousness about the Equal Rights Amendment, and its importance to women nationwide. It was also important that we demonstrated our dedication to working on all legal and social issues concerning women.

The latter point was what Atlanta was really about. There were workshops spread over three days which emphasized litigation and organizing techniques for every issue possible. The workshops varied from Title VII to representation of rural women in Appalachia, from custody rights to abortion and sterilization abuse. These workshops were conducted by active women lawyers, some known, some not. There were three women present that I’d like to mention, simply as a consciousness raising tool - to show my male colleagues that women are achieving the top of their profession. Ruth Weyland, lead council for the women plaintiffs in Generally Electric v. country. The other woman whose name you must remember had graduated school before the Revered . Curiously, her legal theory was not sex discrimination or equal protection. Not so curiously, the woman attorney argued before a different court. Catherine Rorabeck, a leading attorney from Yale, successfully began women’s recent struggle for equality through the courts, in the landmark decision of Griswold v. Connecticut. Atlanta was an inspiring experience for me as a person, as a woman, and most importantly, as an attorney. We heard leading speakers. Representative Shirley Chishold, lesbian rights advocate Charlotte Bunch, and New York City Council President Carol Bellamy were all in Atlanta to share thoughts, frustrations, and energies with us.

The last comment that must be made about Atlanta and this conference; Headquarters for the conference was the Peachtree Plaza Hotel (a supporter of the ERA). I would recommend that everyone visit the Peachtree, it is one very fancy hotel. It is 10 stories tall, with glass elevator on the outside of the hot-l and a real lake on the ground floor. Atlanta night life is jumping; a good city to live in if one is single and seeking a social life.

The weather was 87 degrees every day. The dogwoods were in bloom. Atlanta was a throbbing city. The people breathe constantly. Unfortunately, the throbbing is more painfully felt by women.

I Vote!

Gilbert conducted a workshop on pregnancy discrimination. Wendy Webster Williams, an assistant professor at Georgetown, attended the conference. Ms. Williams argued the 14th Amendment Equal Protection theory before the Supreme Court in the case Geduld v. Arello. Both Ms. Weyland and Ms. Williams were unable to persuade a majority of the Supreme Court that women were being discriminated against in this...
Well, folks here it is, Auld Lang Syne, the New Year's Eve party and fearless sports writer. With this piece of journalism, I will wrap up a career which extends from the Centralia High Sphixs, thru the MacMurray Charybdis, I just wrote for 'em, I didn't name them, the All Station Alameda Times, and finally the Forum. It's been something we've always enjoyed, and usually do when someone has been doing something else, and this column is no exception. (See, seminar paper due April 21).

On to current events. Rumors are flying around. It seems the professors high in the administration are somewhat opposed to the Law Review's and faculty's participation in the softball league. So, our fingers are crossed, folded, although probably due more to the lack of Berner, Gromley, and Bodenheimer than any other reason, and as for the L. Rev., we're absolutely positive that the reason that the "intellectual superstars" can't go out and have a drink is that Law Review must be notified that this school is predominantly made up of middle western men (and women) who have grown up actively participating in sports. And so it comes time to wrap up the literary career with a bit of a down memory lane. There have been some highlights--two league football titles with BARD, including our big win over the Justice League of America in our second year. Ballast had the undefeated season last year with the Hung Jury, and watching Dave Geller and Benny Logan score from inside and outside, respectively, reaching the softball finals our first year, and there have some disappointments, last season in soccer and not winning the title our first year for two. But mostly I've enjoyed the people, the friends and acquaintances who participated with and against me over the past three years and gave me a lot to write about. After apologies to Chris Hunter for bugging him about his hairline for 36 months, thanks for your time, this time. Good luck and God Bless.

The Editors & Staff of the Forum.

Rip-Beye:

Rib-Eye NOW $2.89
Chopped Sirloin Dinner
Now $2.59
Includes Choice of Potato and All-You-Can-Eat Salad Bar

East of Hwy.2 on U.S.30

All good things must come to an end and such was the case last week when the Valparaiso Nolo Condottiers (second year varsity baseball team) were defeated in the game winning streak of the defending champion Hairy Hand. 4-3. The defense faltered again, but with a solo run in the first, the Nolos were down 1-0. In the third inning, it tied back with three in the second. John Smith started the frame by reaching first with a triple. A Hairy (rude clammy) Hand miscue allowed Smith to score when C. G. (Chopped) Duggan advanced with a double into the busses before Dan "passing" Lane lifted a towering homer. Jimmy Kraemer slugged the ball to the downhill homer. Jimmy Kraemer followed with a hit, "Sissy" Dennis slugged the fence for a double, and Ken "the Elder" Anderson chased Kraemer home with a sacrifice fly. Bumper Hanturtz kicked the scoring with a single that sent Dennis home with the fifth run of the inning. The Weseman Wonders added a pair in the second as Hessler singled and Wiggs bombed one home for four bases. The All-Stars, under the masterful tutelage of "Wise Robert" Stoeckel, put the game neatly away in the third and even run away. The winners sandwiched seven singles around a walk, and then added a solo run in the sixth inning before coasting to the win. The losers made a couple of hard to sort in the sixth inning against the way reliever Dave "Money in the Bank" Swigert. Sweet, finishing up for the gnaury, Dave "Boo-Boo" Walden, gave up a single to Gary "D.A." German and a double to John Hochen. The Nolo hurler, "ac" Chees, made it to the minors for the season home with a single for the practitioner's last appeal. A remaining Hairy Hand is still struggling in a last place for May 30, with some token of proficiency with a last container of some alcoholic beverage, being at stake.

The Editors of the Forum.

All stars cop law day Tilt

Playing on the wind-swept hill of Roger's park, the Law School Celebrated V.U.'s Law Day with a 15-5 rout. While the local Young Lawyer's Division squad, the Nolo Condottiers. Featuring several, but rapidly aging veterans and U.V. alumni, the lawyers struck for three runs in the opening frame with doubles by Bowman and Steele being the big blows. The students did not lay back, however, and bounded back with five in their half of the frame. With one out Mike Cook singled, "Daddy" Wiggins followed with a base and Ken Schnack knocked the socks off, downhill homer. Jimmy Kraemer followed with a hit, "Sissy" Dennis slugged the fence for a double, and Ken "the Elder" Anderson chased Kraemer home with a sacrifice fly. Bumper Hanturtz ended the scoring with a single that sent Dennis home with the fifth run of the inning.

The Weseman Wonders added a pair in the second as Hessler singled and Wiggs bombed one home for four bases. The All-Stars, under the masterful tutelage of "Wise Robert" Stoeckel, put the game neatly away in the third and even run away. The winners sandwiched seven singles around a walk, and then added a solo run in the sixth inning before coasting to the win. The losers made a couple of hard to sort in the sixth inning against the way reliever Dave "Money in the Bank" Swigert. Sweet, finishing up for the gnaury, Dave "Boo-Boo" Walden, gave up a single to Gary "D.A." German and a double to John Hochen. The Nolo hurler, "ac" Chees, made it to the minors for the season home with a single for the practitioner's last appeal. A remaining Hairy Hand is still struggling in a last place for May 30, with some token of proficiency with a last container of some alcoholic beverage, being at stake.