The Dean: The Accreditation Report, and Some Advice

By Gail Hamm

ACCREDITATION: Time to Review VU Law Credentials Committee during the first two weeks of April a fact-finding team from the American Bar Association. The Committee's purpose is to evaluate our professional standards and determine whether to renew our accreditation.

Dean Ehren indicated in a recent interview that the process of accreditation is not an experience to take lightly. How do we approach the problem?

Dean Ehren has offered the following steps:

Unification with VU indicates faculty recognition of our school is not as developed as that of other schools. So how do we present evidence to the ABA?

Defining what it means to be accredited in areas of law that reflect the same tradition of the ABA.

Dean Ehren has offered these steps:

The Team Report is forwarded to the Law School's Legal Education Committee. The leadership of the Legal Education Committee then makes a recommendation to the ABA regarding our accreditation. The entire ABA officially votes on the report.

In addition to ABA accreditation, a copy of the inspection report also mailed to the Association of American Law Schools. Affiliation with this latter association indicates prestige among law schools.

A membership in the ABA includes the Honor Code Committee, which has agreed to work with our standards committee.

Honor Code Committee

The Honor Code Committee was comprised of the following: Chair: Man; Sharon Buckter; Tom Starnes, President; Mr. Phil Brockington, Faculty Representative.

Weather permitting, a meeting of the Student Body on April 28 will be held to discuss the Revised Honor Code and to vote on Constitutional revisions necessary to incorporate the Revised Honor Code into the Constitution and By-Laws of the S.B.A.

Questions concerning the changes from the present Honor Code System may be brought to the Committee members prior to the general meeting. If possible, Chair, The Committee urges your attendance at the meeting.

ARTICLE ONE: THE HONOR SYSTEM 1.30 The Law School Honor Code Committee (hereinafter called "the Committee") shall draft Rules and Regulations, and act as an appointee body. 1.40 The Law School Honor Court (hereinafter called "the Court") shall make recommendations to the President of the University. 1.50 The Presidents shall receive all allegations of possible conduct, conduct investigations, initiate proceedings, gather and present evidence, and appear before the Honor Court, and appeal before the Honor Court and the Honor Commission. 1.60 The President of the University shall appoint the members of the Committee, the Committee shall select, during the term of office, the Committee members.

The Honor Code Committee shall select, during the term of office, the Committee members.

Those standards will The Honor Code Committee shall select, during the term of office, the Committee members.

The Honor Code Committee...
Mr. Hess: Head Honcho
In the Library

Law students do a lot of complaining and one of our favorite topics is the law library. We decry the facilities, we bitch about the noise, and the clutter, and the dust. Despite all the verbal abuse suffered by the place we think it is time to admit that our law library is adequate. Without the books and services provided by the law library personnel, our work as students would be unbearable.

The law library is one of the most important keys to a legal education. This issue we are saluting the library and the people responsible for making it a satisfying, effective, place to study, and more importantly, to have the facilities we bitch about. No one wants to suffer by the noise, the cramped quarters, the lack of study materials, the lack of checking in and renewing materials, the lack of cooperation from the library staff to lay out a paper. No one wants to experience the repetitive and mind-numbing drudgery of tedious library work.

The library is a part of the law school. The law school is a part of the university. Mr. Hess, the law librarian, is a part of the university and of its students. The law students are a part of the university and the law school. We are a part of the university and of its students.

We want all students to work with Mr. Hess to improve the law library and to make it a part of the university. Mr. Hess is a part of the university and of its students. Mr. Hess is a part of the law school and of its students.

We want all students to work with Mr. Hess to improve the law library and to make it a part of the university. Mr. Hess is a part of the university and of its students. Mr. Hess is a part of the law school and of its students.

All right. There comes a time when all editors must take a break to re-evaluate time and policy. This is the time, and I am angry. I am tired. I would like to make it clear that this will not be an intellectual editorial. It may not even be rational. I am certain, however, that I need not apologize because in my righteous indignation I am sure of what I am going to say. Law students are a selfish, arrogant, despicable group of people. Yes, we are lawyers. So? Indeed, as a collective whole, we may seem to stand for something. Indeed, as individuals, we may be gentle, good sounding, well bred. However, I cannot be concerned or preoccupied with individuals today.

This is a law school newspaper. Made up of a law student staff. What we are as an editorial board and what caliber and quality of news we print is directly attributable to the input of the students. What I see is a small staff trying to publish a newspaper with no support from anyone but the administration.

Ironic? You better believe it. I doubt anyone could accuse me of conservative politics or philosophies. I print what I get. And I have been getting precious little from any class. At this moment, first year students are the backbone of this rag, and I am grateful for their interest. (Four of the seven staff are first year students.)

As editor I had few expectations. My perception of an editorial policy was intra-law school with an emphasis on legal issues. So, last semester I went to law review and Professor Nelson for some examples of our best scholastic journalism. What you see printed this issue is the total cooperation I received putting together an issue on BAKKE. Yes, there are no articles dealing with Allen Bakke because none I asked would write one. No time was the excuse. It’s an overworked issue was the comment. Criticism. Complaints. No ideas. No thoughts. Just negatives. Law review let me down. I am disappointed. We are all too busy. It’s just that some will make time to contribute.

Our library is currently receiving both the Appellate and Supreme Court briefs from the Indiana Supreme Court. The briefs are filed by docket number and are located near the North East Reporters. Mr. Hess would like to encourage students to examine both the government documents and the verbal abuse. (Those tall cabinets located near the card catalogues.) He advises students not to leave their personal belongings in the library, because of the possibility of theft. He is also concerned about the appearance of the library—books strewn around, chairs everywhere. Mr. Hess has been known to take an early morning rampage through the library at 5 a.m., just to clean up the mess.

So please, all students note. Try to cooperate and help keep the library clean. Remember, it isn’t nice to leave the mess for one of your fellow students.

Our friendly and informative desk attendant is Mrs. Richard Schroeder, known to most of us as Jeanne. She is the Mother of five children and is married to Dick Schroeder, who is a local sales manager for Folgers. Besides discussing a variety of topics with law students, Jeanne’s favorite hobbies in her leisure time include plants, dogs, disco, yard crafts, and reading. Jeanne has been with the law library staff for 3 years. Unfortunately we will lose her this summer as her family will be moving to the Grand Rapids area.

Jim Walsh officially began his duties as assistant law librarian on January 3, 1978. Mr. Walsh completed his Master’s degree in Law and Government (MSL) from Western Michigan University in December. He is originally from Pittsfield, Massachusetts, a city of about 40,000 people. Valparaiso is quite a change for him. Jim and his wife Beth live in Andover Park apartments, and like what they’ve seen of Valparaiso.

Mr. Walsh is directly responsible for the Interlibrary Loan program and the Government Depository system of the library. Jim would like to encourage students to utilize the Interlibrary loan system. Interlibrary loan permits students to use the reference materials from other university libraries. Those books and periodicals unavailable at our library can be specially ordered and mailed here. The request forms are available from Jeanne at the circulation desk. It usually takes a week to ten days to procure the resources. The student using the service will be assessed the charge of ordering.

Mr. Walsh is available to answer any reference questions Monday, Wednesday, and Friday from 8-4:30pm and Thursday and Tuesday from 1-9pm. Welcome Jim.

Know
Mrs. Anthony A. Trully has four young children and her husband "Tony" works at Anderson Co. Here is Valpo. Ollie is Mrs. Hess’s Personal Secretary. Ollie, as she fondly known by the library staff joined us at the start of this school year. Her work is important in the smooth and efficient operation of a law library. Ollie enjoys craft work, sewing, music, and reading. She and her husband are avid supporters of the Boone Grove Band and our University Music Department.

FORUM Staff

Jim Walsh Officially Began His Duties As Assistant Law Librarian On January 3, 1978. Mr. Walsh Completed His Master’s Degree In Law And Government (MSL) From Western Michigan University In December. He Is Originally From Pittsfield, Massachusetts, A City Of About 40,000 People. Valparaiso Is Quite A Change For Him. Jim And His Wife Beth Live In Andover Park Apartments, And Like What They’ve Seen Of Valparaiso. Mr. Walsh Is Directly Responsible For The Interlibrary Loan Program And The Government Depository System Of The Library. Jim Would Like To Encourage Students To Utilize The Interlibrary Loan System. Interlibrary Loan Permits Students To Use The Reference Materials From Other University Libraries. Those Books And Periodicals Unavailable At Our Library Can Be Specialy Ordered And Mailed Here. The Request Forms Are Available From Jeanne At The Circulation Desk. It Usually Takes A Week To Ten Days To Procure The Resources. The Student Using The Service Will Be Assessed The Charge Of Ordering. Mr. Walsh Is Available To Answer Any Reference Questions Monday, Wednesday, And Friday From 8-4:30pm, And Thursday And Tuesday From 1-9pm. Welcome Jim.

More Staff You Should Know

Mrs. Anthony A. Trully has four young children and her husband "Tony" works at Anderson Co. Here is Valpo. Ollie is Mrs. Hess’s Personal Secretary. Ollie, as she fondly known by the library staff joined us at the start of this school year. Her work is important in the smooth and efficient operation of a law library. Ollie enjoys craft work, sewing, music, and reading. She and her husband are avid supporters of the Boone Grove Band and our University Music Department.
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One of the concerns frequently expressed while awaiting the Supreme Court's decision in Bakke v. The Regents of the University of California is whether the Court will in effect create the constitutional quota. That is, will it say that the goals are not to become rigid and inflexible quotas, but that the plans must be flexible in order to achieve the desired results? There is no assurance that the plan of the University of California, for example, would pass such a test.

If the Court were to rule on the basis of a rigid and inflexible quota, it might have to strike down an affirmative action plan. However, if the Court were to rule on the basis of a flexible plan, it might have to strike down a plan that is not sufficiently flexible.

The Court has made it clear that it will not allow rigid quotas to be used in order to achieve the ends of affirmative action. The Court has also made it clear that it will not allow the use of rigid quotas to be used in order to achieve the ends of affirmative action.
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4.4 The Accused, for good cause shown, may petition the Honor Commission to excuse him from participation in a case when he believes he cannot serve impartially.

4.45 Such petition for disqualification shall be submitted to the Honor Commission no later than three (3) days after notification of the case to the Presiding Justice.

5.44 The assigned Presenter, the Accused, and the S.B.A. President shall review the petition for disqualification and shall either notify the Accused of any change, approval, or denial.

7.12 Upon receipt of such information, the Presenter shall immediately notify the Accused of any change, approval, or denial.

7.13 A justice or Alternate-Judge shall resign if the Accused obtains by virtue of his office, or is notified that he is being investigated by an administrative body, or is disqualified in the position of justice, he is governed by virtue of his position, except as provided in 5.44 above, and is disqualified from a Case in his position. The assigned Presenter, the Accused, and the S.B.A. President shall review the petition for disqualification and shall either notify the Accused of any change, approval, or denial.

7.14 Within five (5) days of the decision to investigate the alleged violation, the assigned Presenter shall inform the Accused that an investigation against him is being conducted, and provide a brief summary of the charge.

7.20 Notice to the Accused

7.21 Within one (1) day of the decision to investigate the alleged violation, the assigned Presenter shall inform the Accused that an investigation against him is being conducted, and provide a brief summary of the charge.

7.22 If any at any time after notice of the investigation of any other appeal, no Commissioner shall possess any information which he obtains by virtue of his office, or is notified that he is being investigated by an administrative body, or is disqualified in the position of justice, he is governed by virtue of his position, except as provided in 5.44 above, and is disqualified from a Case in his position. The assigned Presenter, the Accused, and the S.B.A. President shall review the petition for disqualification and shall either notify the Accused of any change, approval, or denial.

ARTICLE SEVEN: INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS

8.10 If, at any time after service of formal notice upon the Accused, but prior to the commence-
8.20 Both the assigned Presenter and the Accused shall have the continuing duty, in writing, changes, additions, and/or deletions to this document, and these shall be shared in accordance with 8.10 and 8.20.

8.40 Either the assigned Presenter or the Accused may petition the Honor Court to depose a witness prior to the Hearing, or to depose a witness concurrently with the Hearing. The Honor Court shall grant such petition for good cause shown, if a witness whose testimony is shown, no witness may be called by the assigned Presenter or the Accused, nor documents, papers, or exhibits be introduced by the assigned Presenter or the Accused after the dates required in 8.10, 8.20, or 8.40.

8.50 Upon the petition of either the assigned Presenter or the Accused to depose a witness prior to the Hearing, the Honor Court shall grant such petition provided that such witness will be unavailable at the Hearing, or the interests of justice require the deposition.

8.60 For consultation with the assigned Presenter, the Accused, and the witness to be called, the assigned Presenter or the Accused may enter a place for the deposition. The deposition shall be allowed, if the assigned Presenter or the Accused, and the witness to be deposed, the deposition shall be recorded. The place for the deposition. The Honor Court shall read the charge against the Accused, and/or the assigned Presenter, and shall forward to the President of the University, written notice of its decision within three (3) days of the Appeal Hearing. The Hearing shall also forward to the President of the University the record of the Appeal Hearing for the official text of the Rule.

9.20. The Hearing shall announce to all parties that the deposition shall be taken. The deposition shall be made available and it may be cross-examined by the opposing party. The witness may be cross-examined by the assigned Presenter, the Accused, and the witness to be deposed, the deposition shall be recorded. The place for the deposition. The Honor Court shall read the charge against the Accused, and/or the assigned Presenter, and shall forward to the President of the University, written notice of its decision within three (3) days of the Appeal Hearing. The Hearing shall also forward to the President of the University the record of the Appeal Hearing for the official text of the Rule.
play with a 7-4 mark. It should be pointed out, however, that three of the losses were by a total of six points, and each of these losses were definitely ready for the Hung Jury. As for the HJs of Teddy Oyler, they were unofficial 6-3 law school with an overall record of five wins and seven losses, having recorded. This season however, the attorneys of the hung court were definitely down!!

BARD, the law school banner carrier, got off to a slow start, at one time running a low and finished regular season

.. SPEAKING OF IM'S DEPT.

The IM rules prohibit playing a ten second rule and a five second jump rule are ridiculous and two gone games into absurdities. While it is understandable that a second call could be tough to make since two of the three IM courts have no full center stripe, the image to be able to call a jump ball is without question. Actually, when both the IM's need the officiating crew-preferably students, preferably paid, and - backed by the front office to hit a six after eight time tripping. It's been done elsewhere folks.

WATER BASKETBALL: The Bard Wabblers constantly scored in a 2-1 pool in competition. An eight point loss and three forfeit wins for Mr. Sproule's Wonder Women.

LAW DAY SPORTS: The Law School ALL STARS will play the local club team-also known as the "Solicitors."

For the first year-teams, let's just say the Flying Squirrels were the all time big-6, 7-3, and move quietly on. That left the IM's school with an overall record of 16-22. I am not impressed. At least I hope that everyone had at least one,

Something that was really disheartening this season was the IM's School was the only school in the basketball /league.

Last year the Solicitors won the League and a play-off contest. This year, no team. The sad part is the chance for a little fun, the chance for a little exercise and a little experience. I hope you got a whole lot of law learned during those two hours a week...

The Green Weenie Award goes for a little exercise and a little friendship all were missed. I hope Oyler, they were an unofficial 6-3 law school with an overall record of five wins and seven losses, having recorded. This season however, the attorneys of the hung court were definitely down!!

In what had originally been billed as a Law School Showdown turned into a Law School shoot up as the Battling BARD trampled the outmanned Hung Jury by a 71-34 spread in the opening round of IM tournament action. The "J's of Teddy "Old Thodosian" Oyler came out with upset intentions and they jumped out to a 16-6 lead on the shooting of Mike Pianowski (9 points) and Dave Geisler (4 points) in the second stanza, however, the tail end of the IM's hold on the first half as the "J's of Hj's 6-5 Bruce Van Heusen, took control of the boards and initiated the BARD fast-break process for 22 points to the J's 3. Another four point period sealed matters for the Jury who ended the season with a 6-3 mark in the BARD's front line of Peteckov, Tusik, Wiggins, combined for 41 points, (16, 02, 12, respectively) while Greg Babcock and Eddy Diersson added 10 apiece. Pianowski paced the losers with a dozen. The game marked the ends of the somewhat less glorious careers of R.H. (recording Hairiness) Hunt, and Dave Mylers (recording Statist figures for the three seasons, total), and added considerably more than his fore­

imenioned team mates. This game really melted the title remained alive two nights later. For Tusik and Wiggins, alms on the bench and the flu to spark a third quarter outburst that led to a 31-36 win over the IM's. Up by only 14-12 after two quarters, Tusik and Wiggins faced the struggle until "The Wigs" came on the bench to hit two quick buckets and grab a couple of fast break rebounds to shove the IM's back on the boards and by a 15-12 margin from there, BARD rode its spread offense and free-throw shooting to a win that was tougher than the last scorer indicated. Steve Tusik of BARD and Mike Pekovich added 10 each. The guard duo of Mike Cook and Babcock added eight apiece. The BARD will have tangled with the IM's again tonight-Thurs. and will hopefully be alive in the chase for the IM crown.

Hoosier Hysteria reached new heights last night in pre-game evening the Hairy Hand band began their trek down the Tourney trail with a rather dramatic, occasionally excitable 26-25 squeaker over the Wehrenberg Warriors. John Garber put the Handles in front with a 15 foot jumper and the WW's came back to take the lead at 77-76. 

Byerly, better known as "Mr. Squirrel", took over. Two nights later, however, the Cinderella story reach midnight as the Handles was handed a 44-29 shellacking by the tourney favorites, the Flying Circus. The Handles played well, trailing only 9-4 after the first 10 minutes and 21-12 at intermission. The Paws, in closing their season with a 5-4 mark, could not catch up however and eventually succumbed to what could only be called superior talent.

In other action since the break for Christmas, the Hairys lost 19-17 to Bad News Brand and romped over another Weseman Heart entry, the Flying Squirrels, by a 37-21 spread. In that one John Byerly, better known as "WOOOWOO...", of eight points while Tusik added 15. In a game with Tusik "Mr. Squirrel" paced the losers with 15.