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Bowen’s Speech a Disappointment
by Mark Heimsoth
On April 1, 1977 at the annual Law Day Luncheon, Indiana’s Governor Otis Bowen was the speaker, delivering a basic “law and order” campaign speech designed to attract the votes of the elderly. Unfortunately, there are relatively few people who attend such functions that are participating in medicine. As a result, it is probably most fortunate that the speech was relatively short.

The speech itself was a review of the efforts of his administration to revise the criminal and juvenile codes of Indiana. In opening, the Governor noted the need for order through law. For without law, brute force would be the only alternative. Yet he also observed that the law balanced a number of societal interests in the criminal justice system.

According to Bowen, however, it is now time to focus our attention on the balance between the criminal and the age and condition of the victim. In other words, our laws should be more protective of the elderly. Justifying such a position, Bowen stated that if the law can recognize the mentality of the criminal, it should also take notice of the age of the person injured. The Governor Bowen noted that the new penal code now has such a provision which allows judges to note an elderly victim in the sentencing of those who attack our elderly citizens.

The Governor concluded his speech by noting several other efforts on the part of his administration to modernize the criminal laws of Indiana. In addition to the recodification of the Indiana State Criminal Law, he has also formed a committee to reconsider how Indiana’s correctional law fits into the new criminal law. A Juvenile Code Study Commission is also to report to the 1978 legislature.

Initially, after this short speech, it appeared that the Governor would open himself to questions. One interesting one might have been how his new system altered the previous system of sentencing which already gave the judges latitude in sentencing convicted criminals.

However, the question and answer period came to a sudden halt when the Governor was asked to defend his choice of Judge Provenak of Porter County for the Supreme Court of Indiana.

Ready Off To Seek Fortunes
by Andrea Knish
The faculty will look a good bit different next year. Several professors will be either put up to the Governor, or for different (presumably) pastures. Many rumors have circulated about where these people will be. THE FORUM has in its never-ending battle to inform the student body of just what the faculty will be up to, that this has impressed more than expected.

Contrary to popular belief, Professor Berner is not off to sight see in Bergen County or become a Grand Master of Bridge; but rather he will put up to the Governor in Washington D.C. Through it he has up to this time no real information about the people who will be.

Professor Bodensteiner reports he will be off to seek his fortune in Washington D.C. After graduating from Valparaiso, he spent this year at Yale, provided of course his heat for what he termed "collateral financing" is successful. (Note to Professor Berner: In order to get your collateral financing off to a good start, THE FORUM has a check in an appropriate amount waiting for you.)

Professor Bodensteiner reports he will be off to seek his fortune in Washington D.C. After graduating from Valparaiso, he spent this year at Yale, provided of course his heat for what he termed "collateral financing" is successful. (Note to Professor Berner: In order to get your collateral financing off to a good start, THE FORUM has a check in an appropriate amount waiting for you.)

Professor Bodensteiner reports he will be off to seek his fortune in Washington D.C. After graduating from Valparaiso, he spent this year at Yale, provided of course his heat for what he termed "collateral financing" is successful. (Note to Professor Berner: In order to get your collateral financing off to a good start, THE FORUM has a check in an appropriate amount waiting for you.)

Professor Bodensteiner reports he will be off to seek his fortune in Washington D.C. After graduating from Valparaiso, he spent this year at Yale, provided of course his heat for what he termed "collateral financing" is successful. (Note to Professor Berner: In order to get your collateral financing off to a good start, THE FORUM has a check in an appropriate amount waiting for you.)

Consequently, the Governor declined to answer and effectively closed off any further questions.

Second-year students are reminded that if they will be graduating in December you must fill out an application for the Law Day Luncheon by April 15. These forms are available at the Registrar’s Office and from the offices of the various deans and, of course, fifteen dollars.

Alumni Return as Instructors
by Cindy Hodge
Of the five new faculty members next year, four are already familiar with the Valparaiso University School of Law. Only the incoming Dean, Charles A. Ehren, Jr., is a total stranger to the school.

Two faculty members, Thomas Nelson and Charles Potter, return to their alma mater four years after graduating. Presently, their teaching assignments for next year are unknown.

Nelson is presently an Assistant Professor at the University of Connecticut School of Law, in addition to serving as a government lawyer in litigation involving public utilities. His specialties include Administrative Law, Clinical Education and Legal Methods. Nelson received his masters of law degree from Yale University. Prior to this, he has served in the Peace Corps in Iran and has written for the Valparaiso University School of Law Review, of which he was editor-in-chief.

After graduating from the Valparaiso Law School, Potter became a Fellows’ Scholar in Australia. He presently teaches on the Faculty of Law at the University of New South Wales in Australia.

Two years returning to Valparaiso after a year’s absence are Eugene Henning and Richard T. Smith. III, Henning spent this year clerking for one of the justices of the Minnesota Supreme Court, while Smith wrote an ethics code for the St. Louis University School of Medicine.

Stith, Assistant Professor of Law, received his A.B. from Harvard College in 1965, his M.A. from the University of California, Berkeley, in 1967, a M.Phil. in 1971 and a Ph.D. and J.D. in 1973 from Yale University. He has taught Jurisprudence, the Rights of Animals and the Medically Handicapped, along with a course at Christ College.

Also returning to his alma mater, Eugene Henning will take over the first year Legal Problems course next year. His teaching assignment during the 1975-76 and 1976-77 school years. Last year, he also taught Insurance and Family Law.

His assignment for next year, except for more legal problems, is presently uncertain.

Replacements for Federal Clinic Director and Teaching Assistant for State Clinic have not been hired yet.
Parting Shots

As I slowly tip toward the status of editor emeritus, a position of low renown last filled by a predecessor named William Roman, I am filled with a sense of loss that is slowly eroding.

One fact which has become clearly evident is that the faculty has become dissatisfied with the present curriculum structure and are determined to modify it. Whether or not student participation is relatively unimportant because the need for change is strongly felt among the faculty. In the past year the SBA failed to recognize this concern of the faculty and provide positive student input. As a result next year’s SBA faces a tough but necessary assignment. It must promote positive changes which students feel are necessary to the present curriculum.

To that end THE FORUM advocates the formation of a student curriculum committee whose job would be to monitor the curriculum, study faculty proposals, and suggest modifications where necessary. This committee ought to be formed from outside the student rep’s and ought to be required to submit reports at least twice a semester which would analyze proposed changes by the faculty and propose changes for the SBA to consider and submit to the faculty.

A second major concern of the SBA should be the Honor Code. As a hands-on observer of THE FORUM by several authors have pointed out that the operation of the code is unfair and that there is much student sentiment for a change. In this particular case, this year’s SBA has laid the groundwork for change. But the job of formulating new standards and procedures remains to be done.

Finally, it seems evident that a school is composed of the interests and needs of its students and faculty. To succeed the school must be sensitive to both. Essentially it is a question of balance. While academic excellence is a quality for which to strive, we should not let that goal obscure the fact that we are all very human beings. At a time when alumni support is more needed than ever, a school cannot afford to produce demoralized, antagonistic, turned-off graduates.

The SBA should be a meeting ground through which the student-faculty relationship is channeled and in which there can be a creative mix. This is a tall order to fill, requiring tough, dynamic, and fearless leadership from both segments of the community. But spring is a time of new beginnings, new hopes, . . .

BANSA Notes

by John Johnson

When is the President’s guest’s fault? Immediately after news of the retroactive evidence rule hit, several students approached the Dean explained that during policy discussion our student-faculty representatives were mentioned holding a predetermination open hearing, we failed to point that same finger at our own.

Dramatically affected by the item may have a closing time last September, inut first-your student-faculty representatives into senting to registering that Dean Meyer extend the hour. The Dean and the faculty were happy, but were unaware that the panel members were inattentive to the Library Committee who knew or should have known of the newly enacted proposals to limit both weekend and weekday Library hours, and had the drive to argue our position and then tell us it happened.

We demand student input and “lose respect and/or feel you are not living up to your potential” when we don’t get it. But, it’s understandable when you think of student “student interest” during that policy discussion when one suddenly realizes that we don’t see that minute influence we already have-and how do we begin?

How many grievances do you have? Did you know that we have an SBA Grievance Committee that is supposed to address those grievances? Did you also know that you may deposit your written complaint and an articulation of those interests to the Dean, Gayle Rien. There’s a note in the can dated May 9, 1976. Either that means the SBA is deluged with back-complaints or the job is not getting done. How can we expect the faculty to be aware of and heed our complaints when we ignore ourselves?

Is it fair to criticize the faculty for their interests if we fail to articulate them? Should our student-faculty committee, SBA and student committee meetings and proposals be held public or confidential? The real reason to exist if it must be provoked to initiate action in our behalf?

Sure, we may have several problems with the faculty but we have even more among ourselves.

The SBA has recently elected its 1974-75 officers for the 1975-76 academic year. The election of the SBA President is a Valparaiso law school tradition. Perhaps our new leader, cognizant of this year’s interests, is sensitive to the needs of the students, in an effort to unite the student body through a sensitivity to our needs rather than a school-wide reflection of the faculty. Our instructors will not address themselves to our concerns if we do not push our concerns before them.

Letters

Dear Editor,

I wish to take this opportunity to thank everyone who worked on our Legal Rights Workshop. Special thanks to multimedia experts David Kedney and Chado McAndrew for arrangements考虑到各种因素。亦名，thanks to Mr. Grunberg for being one of our speakers, Ms. Levine for planning the StudentSBA program, and to Ms. Marta, Mr. Bowen, and the others involved in participating in this program. It was great to see faculty interested in this subject. Again, thank-you everyone.

Lin Schacht

Special Programs Director

Dear Editor,

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest sympathy to the family and friends of Mr. Bork, as a faculty member, to bring a spirit of reflection to the question of what to do when one suddenly finds oneself in legal trouble. I believe that we have a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it.

In this context, I believe that it is important to point out that the SBA has a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it. In this context, I believe that it is important to point out that the SBA has a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it.

Sincerely,

Bob Zenz

Editorial Note

Dear Mr. Bork, I am writing to you as a faculty member, to bring a spirit of reflection to the question of what to do when one suddenly finds oneself in legal trouble. I believe that we have a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it.

In this context, I believe that it is important to point out that the SBA has a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it. In this context, I believe that it is important to point out that the SBA has a responsibility to the public to educate them about the legal system and to provide them with the tools they need to navigate it.

Sincerely,

Bob Zenz
Entropy

by Dave Heritzer

"Life is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury and signifying nothing." (Shakespeare) (Faustian) (others) So Here's to Cardinal Fuzz for the last time in trying to get this last effort ready. I was reminded of a number of things that my main man William Faulkner said about writing. He said such notable things as "before the writing comes easy it is good because it is easy and it is bad because it is easy. When writing comes only with great effort and pain it is either very good or very bad." This will either be good or bad.

Doing this column has been fun and frustrating. Responses to it have ranged from "shoddy" to "not nasty enough." The last response was particularly deflating to me, since I am quite nasty by nature, and one hates to be accused of being in bad form. Atrophy, use it or lose it, is a fact of life. So it goes. (Vonnegut) (Others)

One topic which I never had the chance to deal with in the absurdity of what passes for legal scholarship. The difference between creative scholarship and legal, more scientific scholarship was made painfully clear to me during my first year here. The differences in "style" and "purpose" not withstanding, I have yet to see much legal writing which would be acceptable to teachers of freshman composition courses. Big deal. Most journals can't read any better than most lawyers can write, I suspect. There's my nati­ness for the year. Vindication.

Other topics with which I had hoped to deal will of necessity be left to future FORUM columnists.

I hope that future staffs will maintain the incredibly high quality of this year's paper, and will carry the student body on to new heights of journalistic sophistica­tion. My thanks to the editors who allowed me this opportunity, and to those students who, through their feedback, made it worthwhile.

Spring Moot Court Meet Held

by Lovetta Sheridan

The 1977 Tri-State Moot Court Competition was held on April 1st and 2nd in the Monroe County Courthouse, Bloomington, Ind. The nine competing teams, from Indiana, Ohio and Kentucky represented the University of Cincinnati, the University of Ohio, Indiana University, and Valparaiso University.

Valparaiso was represented by two teams: 1. Chris Hunt, Jeff Holstrom and Les Albin and 2. Lovetta Sheridan, Steve Sprangle and Mark Raymer. Each team went two rounds on Friday evening and two on Saturday, followed by a boonacon at which the two finalists were announced. The Roast, which was determined by taste and number of "votes" given by the judges.

The three judges of each argument listened to their decision as well as ranking the individual participants on the five following points: organization, evidence, reasoning, refutation, and delivery. The participants were deter­mined by with­losses and total point score. The Valparaiso teams are appreciative to Professor Greg Schroeder, and Pran Schafer who was made painfully clear to me along with a few local attorneys. The star of the show was another of our Roaster, who put all of the "Roasters" in their places. The morning of the Roast started with a concert of jazz and charm and humor. At the end of the show, Professor Grumley was presented with an engraved desk clock and a box of imported chocolate with an impressive note.

April Fool's Day proved to be a good time for a Celebrity Roast of Professor Gromley. The Delta Theta Phi Law Fraternity sponsored the event which featured 190 students who were able to view the proceedings of the Roast. The show was well received by the attendees.

The event was well attended by the students and faculty members: Judge Willis, Mr. Martz, Mr. Cockrell, the dedication of a student, the dedication of a student, and Mr. Hedges.

The University of Cincinnati who argued against each other that...

The three judges of each argument listened to their decision as well as ranking the individual participants on the five following points: organization, evidence, reasoning, refutation, and delivery. The participants were determined by with-losses and total point score. The Valparaiso teams are appreciative to Professors Love to be a Chucky from Kentucky.

"Life is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury and signifying nothing." (Shakespeare) (Faustian) (others) So Here's to Cardinal Fuzz for the last time in trying to get this last effort ready. I was reminded of a number of things that my main man William Faulkner said about writing. He said such notable things as "before the writing comes easy it is good because it is easy and it is bad because it is easy. When writing comes only with great effort and pain it is either very good or very bad." This will either be good or bad.

Doing this column has been fun and frustrating. Responses to it have ranged from "shoddy" to "not nasty enough." The last response was particularly deflating to me, since I am quite nasty by nature, and one hates to be accused of being in bad form. Atrophy, use it or lose it, is a fact of life. So it goes. (Vonnegut) (Others)

One topic which I never had the chance to deal with in the absurdity of what passes for legal scholarship. The difference between creative scholarship and legal, more scientific scholarship was made painfully clear to me during my first year here. The differences in "style" and "purpose" not withstanding, I have yet to see much legal writing which would be acceptable to teachers of freshman composition courses. Big deal. Most journals can't read any better than most lawyers can write, I suspect. There's my nati­ness for the year. Vindication.

Other topics with which I had hoped to deal will of necessity be left to future FORUM columnists.

I hope that future staffs will maintain the incredibly high quality of this year's paper, and will carry the student body on to new heights of journalistic sophistica­tion. My thanks to the editors who allowed me this opportunity, and to those students who, through their feedback, made it worthwhile.

The three judges of each argument listened to their decision as well as ranking the individual participants on the five following points: organization, evidence, reasoning, refutation, and delivery. The participants were determined by with-losses and total point score. The Valparaiso teams are appreciative to Professors Gromley, Berner, and Stevenson and to the 1976 Valparaiso Na­tional Moot Court Team for their coaching and encouragement.
Dave Myers’ Sport Shorts

Ah spring, and a young man’s fancy turns to softball. Well only to a certain point, I guess.

Congrats to the Solicitors for their undefeated season and league title, the third conference crown won by the law school this year (BARD in football, the JURY in basketball) along with the overall cross-country title.

Since the Jim Balls Sports Illustrated Football Team has appeared to have succeeded to lethargy and a lack of games (poor attendance, etc.), I’d like to remind all of you with team cards to give them back Frase.

On a more serious note, it is good to see the faculty playing softball and basketball. It definitely contributes to the sense of community which is so unique, and so important to this school. I think, with good reason, that this aspect was recently wounded, and I hope that faculty participation will, in some part, help heal those wounds. P.S. If the weather is good, why don’t some of you non-participatory faculty types get a few laughs).

In one of the most stunning upsets in the history of the Big Eight, the Solicitors pulled off a sensational 27-1 victory over the Phi Psi’s.

Roger had took fourth in the IM wrestling championship held in mid-March. Washington won his three matches by a pin, 18-4 and 5-3 while the pride of N.Y. went pin, 10-4 and 11-7. Also contributing was Jim Todd who won a 6-1 decision before pooping out.

Central Indiana Solicitors Win!

BARD 12 Law Review 1

The season opener for these two plus unpredictable and unheralded teams was closed out by the Solicitors who have to come from behind to nipt the nubile Buzzards, 15-12.

The season is taking off with the flakiness of Jimmy Ralls, a home run for the Law Review. Rick Bolhouse doubled twice, scored four times. Down Eddies.

Hairy Hand 23 Frankie’s Fighters 15

Faculty 18 Law Review Citators 9

The season opener for these two plus unpredictable and unheralded teams was closed out by the Solicitors who have to come from behind to nipt the nubile Buzzards, 15-12.

Myers, next year Law Review Broom and trash can editor was the winning pitcher.

BARD 15

The season opener for these two plus unpredictable and unheralded teams was closed out by the Solicitors who have to come from behind to nipt the nubile Buzzards, 15-12.

Solicitors had boosted their record to 13-12, and the buzzards’ record to 7-21 for the year. (Each match consists of three games, all of which count toward the season.) Prior to that, the day, or the two days, I suppose, in March, the BARD, made up of athletes who wish to remain nameless, but are coached by Mr. IM, Chuck “sampson” Hunt, were 7-5. The IVB councilers had 2-2 draw split over a good Theta Chi squad who were in fact the IM defending champions.

The season opener for these two plus unpredictable and unheralded teams was closed out by the Solicitors who have to come from behind to nipt the nubile Buzzards, 15-12.

The Solicitors’ Solicitors Win!

Volleyball-BARD 15--Faculty 5

Softball-BARD 19--Faculty 5

The season opener for these two plus unpredictable and unheralded teams was closed out by the Solicitors who have to come from behind to nipt the nubile Buzzards, 15-12.

The Solicitors had boosted their record to 13-12, and the buzzards’ record to 7-21 for the year. (Each match consists of three games, all of which count toward the season.) Prior to that, the day, or the two days, I suppose, in March, the BARD, made up of athletes who wish to remain nameless, but are coached by Mr. IM, Chuck “sampson” Hunt, were 7-5. The IVB councilers had 2-2 draw split over a good Theta Chi squad who were in fact the IM defending champions.

The Solicitors had boosted their record to 13-12, and the buzzards’ record to 7-21 for the year. (Each match consists of three games, all of which count toward the season.) Prior to that, the day, or the two days, I suppose, in March, the BARD, made up of athletes who wish to remain nameless, but are coached by Mr. IM, Chuck “sampson” Hunt, were 7-5. The IVB councilers had 2-2 draw split over a good Theta Chi squad who were in fact the IM defending champions.