The law school faculty met on Wednesday, March 21, and Thursday, March 22, at Weeseman Hall. Resolution number 9, adopted by the S.B.A. Honor Code Committee to formulate recommendations to the Honor system, states that all students will receive the survey as they enter Property classes on Wednesday. Second and third-year students will be handed the questionnaire at Taxation and Federal Practice on March 23, and Thursday, March 22, at Weeseman Hall. On Thursday, third-year students attending Ehrin will receive the survey. Students not participating in the survey are requested to obtain a questionnaire from any of the following S.B.A. representatives: John Mayfield, Leonard Washington, Dan Callahan, Ken Anderson, Bob Zents, Jill Olson, and Steve King. Completed questionnaires should be returned to the Student Bar Association office, which is located in a box which will be put in front of the dorms.

The anonymous survey will include at least the following questions:

1. Do you favor abolishing the Honor Code?
   - By Andrea Knish

2. Would you favor retaining the Honor Code as it presently stands?
   - By Andy Olson

3. If the Honor Code was retained, which of the following changes would you favor?
   - by John Mayfield
   - a) Limiting exam-taking to four classes
   - b) Elimination of the requirement that you inform other students who you know have violated the Honor Code
   - c) Establishing a requirement that professors put on bulletin boards an explanation of what violations of the Honor Code are
   - d) More faculty supervision of exams, including a more definite time limit on exams, with lowered scores for essays when students are required to stop and retype any material
denied use of unauthorized aid, "on written work is the average law stu- dent's only realization that the Law School operates on an honor system. Some students know that violations of the Honor Code may come before the Law School Court. A few students, very few, know anything about the court. According to its jurisdictional statement, the court was 'formed pursuant to the Instrument for Incorporation of the Law School established by Valparaiso University. It is intended to apply to transfers for "enforcement of any non-university Academic regulations." University Senate Regulations, including those which are also state and federal law; Law School Regulations, and Administration of the Indiana Senate. This jurisdictional statement is part of a set of procedures rules drafted by the Law School Court to afford an accused due process. The procedures are not an attempt to make the Honor Code, nor are they intended to outline the basic procedural guidelines to the Court which continues to reviwe the regulations based on its experience. The procedures will eventually be presented to the faculty and SBA for approval. (Committee members have copies of the procedures.)

The Court consists of two faculty members recommended by the Dean and two law students recommended by the SBA presidents. The court is chaired by the Executive Board. President Hyvonen formally presents the proposed procedures which presently consists of Professors Berner and Willis and Paul Hyvonen. Any student member serves a one-year term and is elected to a second term.

The Court operates with a 'President whose function is
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Law Faculty adopts Course Change Survey

by Steve King

Students' opinions on the Honor Code will be sought in a survey to be conducted Wednesday, March 2, and Thursday, March 3, at Weeseman Hall. Resolution number 9, adopted by the S.B.A. Honor Code Committee to formulate recommendations to the Honor system, states that all students will receive the survey as they enter Property classes on Wednesday. Second and third-year students will be handed the questionnaire at Taxation and Federal Practice on March 23, and Thursday, March 22, at Weeseman Hall. On Thursday, third-year students attending Ehrin will receive the survey. Students not participating in the survey are requested to obtain a questionnaire from any of the following S.B.A. representatives: John Mayfield, Leonard Washington, Dan Callahan, Ken Anderson, Bob Zents, Jill Olson, and Steve King. Completed questionnaires should be returned to the Student Bar Association office, which is located in a box which will be put in front of the dorms.

The anonymous survey will include at least the following questions:

1. Do you favor abolishing the Honor Code?
   - By Andrea Knish

2. Would you favor retaining the Honor Code as it presently stands?
   - By Andy Olson

3. If the Honor Code was retained, which of the following changes would you favor?
   - by John Mayfield
   - a) Limiting exam-taking to four classes
   - b) Elimination of the requirement that you inform other students who you know have violated the Honor Code
   - c) Establishing a requirement that professors put on bulletin boards an explanation of what violations of the Honor Code are
   - d) More faculty supervision of exams, including a more definite time limit on exams, with lowered scores for essays when students are required to stop and retype any material

Your comments and suggestions will also be solicited as a part of the survey. Participation in the survey is, of course, voluntary. All responses will be anonymous and data collected will be used for research purposes only. Your participation will probably not constitute an Honor Code violation.

Please get your opinion on the record, though. The validity of your participation is dependent on your participation.

BASLA brings in former Law School president

Valparaiso University is one of the largest universities in the nation that have a policy whereby students for the first time in Valpo history have had the opportunity to vote on curriculum changes that they enter, and those classes admitted thereafter, but would not apply to current courses. Thus, students will still have two more years to elect from among its ranks three candidates for the SBA election. The current first-year class will vote on March 5 at 5:00 p.m., and by Andrea Knish
**Rules**

continued from page one

Typical cases within the Court's jurisdiction include plagarism, writing past the allotted examination time, theft of library materials, and violation of the Student honor code. This system is by an outline of the course content actually is, the course content is the individual's required courses at another school? Then will they accept committee members were apparently surprised by the proposal. The proposal then came before the faculty was again as a relative surprise, and was passed. And so, with no student input and with a questionable amount on input in the entire process the new law school regulation was passed.

In discussion this chain of events with other students who were there at the time, the fact that the faculty et al. be an ignorant kid. See, I never had much respect for these stool pigeons. Well, let me put these childish values behind me. At law school I learned that rat finking is an honorable calling. The reason you see is that we will all be honest lawyers if only we learn to turn each other in. The honor code could be a tool for Watergate, World War II, the killing of Christ, and further, is a cure for good. I mean its possible use is absolutely endless. I can hardly wait to find out for what I was never analogous to a catalog description from the semester before. But, as far as I can tell, in the one form this is allowable while it is not in the other.

In reply to Steve King: What do I think of the Honor Code— I think it's the best thing since sliced bread. I mean if you don't like it, maybe the Hitler youth. The best thing about it is—it's not unconsti­tutional. The case law on it is applied in a fair and evenhanded fashion.

When I was a kid the worst thing next to lying and stealing was being a tattletale. My parents, my companions and yes, even the school teachers hated a tattletale. There have been various terms to describe people who tell on other kids. I'm sure you could come up with a list of words. (The other day someone actually stole some P.T.'s. He just didn't have much to show for it. And I think this person's orientation was no. two. Do you think that person is going to be welcome?"

Welcome by named by request.

**Legs - Containers Needed**

Professor Dawson's wife, a special education teacher at Hayes School in Valparaiso, needs certain materials for her learning disabilities class to make table. The requested materials are Legs pantry boxes or something similar. Any pantry boxes or certain something that Professor Dawson thinks those who are willing to help.

**Letters to the Editor**

Dear Staff

Some student members feel that the system is by an ignorant kid. See, I never had much respect for these stool pigeons. Well, let me put these childish values behind me. At law school I learned that rat finking is an honorable calling. The reason you see is that we will all be honest lawyers if only we learn to turn each other in. The honor code could be a tool for Watergate, World War II, the killing of Christ, and further, is a cure for good. I mean its possible use is absolutely endless. I can hardly wait to find out for what I was never analogous to a catalog description from the semester before. But, as far as I can tell, in the one form this is allowable while it is not in the other.

In reply to Steve King: What do I think of the Honor Code— I think it's the best thing since sliced bread. I mean if you don't like it, maybe the Hitler youth. The best thing about it is—it's not unconsti­tutional. The case law on it is applied in a fair and evenhanded fashion.

When I was a kid the worst thing next to lying and stealing was being a tattletale. My parents, my companions and yes, even the school teachers hated a tattletale. There have been various terms to describe people who tell on other kids. I'm sure you could come up with a list of words. (The other day someone actually stole some P.T.'s. He just didn't have much to show for it. And I think this person's orientation was no. two. Do you think that person is going to be welcome?"

Welcome by named by request.
Entropy

by Dave Heter

"You hang around, you learn. I think the people we talk to think we have all learned a few things about the complexity of the fact that the purported system whereby students have input and influence is just not exactly that all. We do have considerable influence when it comes to decisions about where and when to hold the next kegger, but beyond that the student voice is little more than background noise. As long as the students are known this, as have any of you who can count to twelve, but it doesn't make the disappointment of recent days any less upsetting." (continued on next page)

Canal Breeds Ill Health

by John Manning and Ray Berger

Last Tuesday evening, the Honors Council explored the question of whether the situation of our law school warrants the destruction of the Honor Code. We also posed several questions that were to be answered in this issue. One question posed was: "How does the present system, as we know and understand it, remove the possibility that students might act in such a way that they have betrayed their rights? The members of the faculty I have talked with seem to feel that the code is that was entirely within their power to accept and reject the system as they are. The national goal on which the decision and the action were based is sound. That action was purportedly based on a desire to turn out from this law school a student body well-versed and well qualified "product." What the faculty has done, with the genuine desire to remove from this system many students' impressions that the faculty doesn't give a damn about student's opinions, or about them as human beings. This reaction is not logical. The faculty action was taken without student input, and regardless of the intentions, or the logic of that decision, it was badly implemented. What other reaction can individuals have to such an action? Students are faced with the fact that the purported system are encouraged to participate in and support such actions, toils, they think the decision and the faculty action is a total disaster for the law school and will benefit the law school in the long run. I abhor the means and method of the action. It is just as a duty, and duty, to carry out policy making process within the structure of the system they themselves have approved and adopted. This decision to the faculty to suspend this action and to take it up again is a necessary one. If the suspension would take the same position I have been to make every second Tuesday free beer and lunch day. I have already pointed out, no way for student participation is any longer seen, however reasoned or logical, to upset anything the faculty really wants to happen. The probability is that it should be. After all, what do students gain by bringing it to the attention of those who are the result of putting the screws to us, they should at least go through proper channels to do it. "Smile.

Legal Form

SPECIAL:

As a service to senior who are busy interviewing in their endeavors for permanent employment, we offer the following:

Gavel Modern Legal Forms

G13-57 Letter of Rejection

Dear:

I very much enjoyed meeting with you in early autumn of this past year and I find your firm to be simply wonderful.

Unfortunately, the "science" of selecting a law firm with which to associate is, at best, imperfect, and the decision always extremely difficult. After careful evaluation of the various firm policies afforded by your firm, I have decided to eliminate you from further consideration. I am aware that with the may fine young visitors found both the university environment and Mr. Gregory's speech stimulating and providing an alternative for future achievement.

In an effort to continue university and community involvement, Jack Johnson and Phil Carruthers seek to encourage positive attitude in the lives of these young people. For more information about the honors program and the purposes of the Code will be able to satisfactorily fill any positions which you may have available.

I wish you the very best of luck in all your endeavors.

Very truly yours,

Courteby of the GAVEL, Cleveland–Marshall College of Law.

116 E. Lincolnway
Valparaiso, Ind.
Phone 462-4188
This column is pleased to announce the pairings for the V.U. Intramural Playoffs which will have ended yesterday. (Long leads can be a pain.) Weems-Hall (that's the name of the edifice in which we are receiving a legal education) no less than five representatives in the 26 team tourney, paced by the Brown League Champs, Hung Jury (8-0) and Jay Lamer's Battling BARD (8-1).

Other Legal type entries include the young doll Nofo Cundemers, who turned in a 8-1 mark as runners up in the Cruader League, the Incredible Hulk (6-2) and the Hairy Hand (5-3).

Worth a mention is the press basketball poll conducted by the BARD, 3. Nolos, 4. Hulk, 5. Hairy Handies. The BARD Bowlers, with a 2-6 record, but 37-13 is not too shabby either.


Mike "I Love the Dorms" Bush, reports that on behalf of the faculty, "Mike dunk." Berner has issued a challenge to whom no better team is considered to be Numero Uno. All the appropriate captains have consented and all details will be worked out shortly.

Team captains, get your softball uniforms ready—spring is just around the corner, boys.

Mike Cook (Really!) unloads jumper for BARD.

**Hairy Hand**

Splits Pair

The Hairy Hand split two recent decisions, edging a much taller Webersburg IV team by a 59-50 count and then slipping in a disappointing 36-34 loss to the Fortbesters. In the victory, the Paws jumped off to a 4-0 lead and led by 5-7 points throughout the contest in upsetting the 5-2 dorm fives.

The key to the upset was the ability of Jeff "Carley" Holstrom to penetrate the defense and dump the ball to Glenn "ABA" Virian and Pete Hessler who contributed 20 and 15 points respectively. Steve Gonz, newly incorporated into the Hand attack added nine. Holstrom, John Garber and "a guy named Hessler" (quote attributable to who) paced the defense.

The following Sunday, the short-handed Handies were ripe for an upset and as such fell by two. Down by seven at the half, the lawyers battled back and had a chance to pull the contest out late in the game but victory slipped away from the grasp of a "spent" (was a better term) Pete Hessler in the closing seconds. Virtan again led all Paw scores with 10, Garber added eight, and Hessler seven.

The BARD Bowlers, getting their most balanced scoring of the year defeated the Big Brick 3-0 to wrap up an undefeated season in intramural bowling competition. Captain Dan "Berk Alley" Webersburg paced the league champs with a 531 series, followed closely by Bumper Hostetler's 550 series and Mike "King Fin" Weis'a 513. Fast lane Fred Simmons rolled a snappy 442 and Steve "Urrah" Portiel added a meager 329 (worst series of the year) for 605.

The BARD will now enter tourney play hoping to nail down another title for Wesemann.

In other bowling news, Gale Garrett holds an early second round lead in the campus individual tourney with an impressive 605 series. (Let one get away didn't you, Dan?) Dan Webersburg is also in the top twenty but this writer can't take the time to go to the union to find out exactly where. Good luck!